

Research Article: New Research | Development

Development of local circuit connections to hilar mossy cells in the mouse dentate gyrus

Yulin Shi¹, Steven F. Grieco¹, Todd C. Holmes² and Xiangmin Xu^{1,3,4}

https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0370-18.2019

Received: 21 September 2018

Revised: 15 February 2019

Accepted: 22 February 2019

Published: 7 March 2019

Y.S., S.F.G., T.C.H., and X.X. designed research; Y.S. and X.X. performed research; Y.S., S.F.G., T.C.H., and X.X. analyzed data; Y.S., S.F.G., T.C.H., and X.X. wrote the paper; X.X. contributed unpublished reagents/ analytic tools.

Funding: NIH NS078434 MH105427

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Y.S. and S.F.G. These authors contribute equally to this work.

Correspondence should be addressed to Address all correspondence to: Dr. Xiangmin Xu at xiangmin.xu@uci.edu

Cite as: eNeuro 2019; 10.1523/ENEURO.0370-18.2019

Alerts: Sign up at www.eneuro.org/alerts to receive customized email alerts when the fully formatted version of this article is published.

Accepted manuscripts are peer-reviewed but have not been through the copyediting, formatting, or proofreading process.

¹Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, School of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-1275

²Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697- 4560

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-2715

⁴Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-4025

	1	Development of local circuit connections to hilar mossy cells in the mouse dentate gyrus
	2 3	Running title: Circuit development of hilar mossy cells
	4	V " OL'1* OL
	5	Yulin Shi ^{1*} , Steven F. Grieco ^{1*} , Todd C. Holmes ² , Xiangmin Xu ^{1, 3, 4}
	6	1. Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology, School of Medicine, University of California,
_	7	Irvine, CA 92697-1275
שייים ואומוועיין	8 9	 Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697- 4560
_	10	3. Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697-2715
7	11	4. Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, University of California, Irvine, CA
	12	92697-4025
2	13	* These authors contribute equally to this work.
7	14	Those dathers continue equally to the work.
_	15	Author contributions
	16	Y.S., S.F.G and X.X. performed experiments. Y.S. and S.F.G. analyzed the data and wrote the
-	17	paper. T.C. and X.X. designed the research and wrote the paper.
U	18	#A.I.I
	19 20	*Address all correspondence to:
2	20	Dr. Xiangmin Xu Department of Anatomy and Neurobiology
	22	School of Medicine
_	23	University of California, Irvine
2	24	Irvine, CA 92697-1275
11	25	Tel: (949) 824-0040
	26	Fax: (949) 824-8549
_)	27	Email: xiangmin.xu@uci.edu
	28	<u> </u>
4	29	Key Words: Hippocampus, synaptic connections, excitatory input, inhibitory input, development
	30	Pages: 31
	31	Figures: 6
	32	Tables: 3
)	33	Word Counts: Abstract=247; Significance Statement=70; Introduction=569; Discussion=1,477
	34 35	Funding courses and asknowledgements
	36	Funding sources and acknowledgements This work was funded by US National Institutes of Health grant MH105427 and BRAIN Initiative
	37	Grant, R01NS104897. This work was also made possible in part through access to the confocal
	38	facility of the Optical Biology Shared Resource of the Cancer Center Support Grant (CA-62203)
	39	at the University of California, Irvine.
_	40	
₹	41	Statement of conflict of interests
	42	The authors declare no competing financial interests.
D)	43	
) -)	44	
4	4.5	
11	45	
	46	

Development of local circuit connections to hilar mossy cells in the mouse dentate gyrus

Abstract

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

Hilar mossy cells in the dentate gyrus (DG) shape the firing and function of the hippocampal circuit. However, the neural circuitry providing afferent input to mossy cells is incompletely understood, and little is known about the development of these inputs. Thus, we used whole-cell recording and laser scanning photostimulation (LSPS) to characterize the developmental trajectory of local excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs to mossy cells in the mouse hippocampus. Hilar mossy cells were targeted by visualizing non-red fluorescent cells in the dentate hilus of GAD2-Cre; Ai9 mice, which expressed tdTomato in GAD+ neurons, and were confirmed by post hoc morphological characterization. Our results show that at postnatal days 6-7 (P6-7), mossy cells received more excitatory input from neurons in the proximal CA3 versus those in the DG. In contrast, at P13-14 and P21-28, the largest source of excitatory input originated in DG cells, while the strength of CA3 and hilar inputs declined. developmental trend was also evident for inhibitory inputs. Overall inhibitory input at P6-7 was weak, while inhibitory inputs from the DG cell layer and the hilus predominated at P13-14 and P21-28. The strength of local DG excitation and inhibition to mossy cells peaked at P13-14 and decreased slightly in older P21-28 mice. Together, these data provide new detailed information on the development of local synaptic connectivity of mossy cells, and suggests mechanisms through which developmental changes in local circuit inputs to hilar mossy cells shape their physiology and vulnerability to injury during postnatal periods.

Significance statement

Mossy cells of the dentate gyrus (DG) have been implicated in hippocampal circuits regulating pattern separation, an important function attributed to the DG. However, physiological inputs regulating mossy cell activity are incompletely understood. Here, we show that sources of both excitatory and inhibitory inputs arise developmentally. Our results suggest that excitatory inputs from the DG and local inhibitory inputs are well-positioned to powerfully sculpt receptive fields in mature mossy cells.

Introduction

Mossy cells are principal excitatory neurons in the dentate gyrus (DG) of the hippocampal formation (Scharfman, 1995; Scharfman and Myers, 2012; Soriano and Frotscher, 1994). Mossy cells are of significant interest, as they are an important circuit element within the DG, which has been implicated in mediating cognitive functions such as pattern separation (Myers and Scharfman, 2011; Sass et al., 1992; Scharfman, 2007). In addition, mossy cells have been proposed to play an important role in temporal lobe epilepsy, as selective loss of DG neurons accompanies this disorder, and mossy cells appear to be among the neurons most vulnerable to injury and cell death (Sloviter, 1987). Very recently, three studies functionally characterized mossy cells, focusing particularly on *in vivo* firing properties distinguishing mossy cells from granule cells, another major neuron type in the DG, during behavior (Danielson et al., 2017; GoodSmith et al., 2017; Senzai and Buzsaki, 2017). Mossy cells fire frequently and possess multiple place fields, while granule cells exhibit extremely sparse and selective firing and the majority of these neurons possess a single place field. The new findings prompt intriguing questions regarding mossy cell circuit connections and information flow within the DG circuitry (Nakazawa, 2017a).

Anatomical circuit connections within the DG have received significant experimental attention, with many studies focusing on the DG granule cells (Amaral, 1978; Buckmaster and Schwartzkroin, 1994; Buckmaster et al., 1992; Buckmaster et al., 1996; Scharfman, 2007; Scharfman and Bernstein, 2015; Scharfman and Myers, 2012). However, a detailed understanding of the excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs to hilar mossy cells is still lacking. Furthermore, little is known about the development of local circuit connections to mossy cells. Our recent rabies tracing work supports that mossy cells are major local circuit integrators (Sun et al., 2017), and exert feedback modulation of DG functioning. In addition, the evolution of functional circuit connections is correlated to the development of the spatial representation system in the rodent hippocampal formation (Langston et al., 2010). It is important to note that

a rudimentary map of space is already present when young rat pups (2.5 weeks old) explore an open environment outside their nest for the first time; grid and place cells continue to evolve, with many grid cells not reaching adult-like formation until about 4 weeks of age (Langston et al., 2010). Thus, characterizing the development of afferent inputs to mossy cells is instrumental for understanding mossy cell place-specific firing properties, and their contributions to hippocampal function.

In the present study, we use a laser scanning photostimulation (LSPS)-based approach to map and compare synaptic inputs of mossy cells across postnatal development (at ages P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28). LSPS combined with whole-cell recordings has been an effective approach in elucidating cortical circuit organization, as it allows presynaptic inputs to single neurons to be mapped with high resolution glutamate-uncaging across a large anatomical area (Kuhlman et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016a; Xu et al., 2010b). Using this physiological mapping approach, we provide a quantitative assessment of the spatial distribution and input strength of excitatory and inhibitory inputs to mossy cells across the DG and CA3 areas. Our results provide a detailed characterization of the functional organization of afferent inputs to mossy cells at different postnatal ages. These findings are relevant to understanding the *in vivo* physiology and function of mossy cells, and will advance our understanding of the role of mossy cells in both health and disease.

Materials and Methods

Hippocampal slice preparations

Sixty double-transgenic Ai9-tdTomato (RRID:IMSR_JAX:007905) X GAD2-ires-Cre (RRID:IMSR_JAX:010802) male and female mice were used in these experiments. All experiments were conducted in accordance with procedures approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. We obtained 1–3 high-quality hippocampal horizontal slices from each mouse in which the DG and CA3 structures were clearly visible. To prepare living brain slices, animals of 3 different ages (postnatal days 6–7, 13-14, and 21-28) were deeply anesthetized with Nembutal (>100 mg/kg IP), rapidly decapitated, and their brains removed.

Hippocampal slices (400 μm thick) were cut at an angle of 20–30° to the horizontal plane to conserve intrahippocampal axonal projections (Kopanitsa et al., 2006) in well oxygenated (95% O₂-5% CO₂), ice-cold sucrose-containing cutting solutions (85 mM NaCl, 75 mM sucrose, 2.5 mM KCl, 25 mM glucose, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 4 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM CaCl₂, and 24 mM NaHCO₃). Slices were incubated for at least 30 minutes in sucrose-containing ACSF at 32°C before being transferred into slice-recording chambers with standard ACSF (126 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 2 mM CaCl₂, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, and 10 mM glucose). Throughout cutting, incubation, and recording, ACSF was continuously supplied with 95% O₂–5% CO₂.

Electrophysiology and laser-scanning photostimulation

We have previously described our methods for electrophysiological recording, imaging, and photostimulation in detail, including the definitions of all reported parameters (Xu et al., 2010a; Xu et al., 2006). For our more recent publications using these same methods see, (Kuhlman et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016a; Xu et al., 2010b). Briefly, whole-cell recordings were performed in oxygenated ACSF at room temperature under a differential interference contrast (DIC)/fluorescent Olympus microscope (BX51WI). ACSF was fed into the

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

slice recording chamber through a custom-designed flow system driven by pressurized 95% O₂-5% CO₂ (3 PSI) with a perfusion flow rate of about 2 mL/minute. Slices were first carefully examined under a 4x objective to target the DG using visual landmarks (Amaral, 1978). To perform whole-cell recordings, neurons were visualized at high magnification (60x objective, 0.9 NA; LUMPlanFI/IR, Olympus America Inc). Mossy cells targeted for recording were at least 50 µm below the surface of the slice and were initially identified based on the multipolar appearance of the cell soma and presence of a thick apical dendrite, and later with fluorescent imaging confirming the absence of GAD expression (Fig 1). Patch pipettes (4–6 M Ω resistance) made of borosilicate glass were filled with an internal solution containing 126 mM K-gluconate, 4 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM ATP-Mg, 0.3 mM GTP-Na, and 10 mM phosphocreatine (pH 7.2, 300 mOsm) when measuring excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) and action potentials (APs). No correction was made for the liquid junction potential. Once the glass pipette formed a gigaohm (G Ω) seal with the recorded cell membrane, the capacitance compensation function of the Multiclamp 700B was used for automatic compensations of cell membrane capacitance. In separate experiments, a cesium-based internal solution containing 130 mM CsOH, 130 mM D-gluconic acid, 0.2 mM EGTA, 2 mM MgCl₂, 6 mM CsCl, 10 mM HEPES, 2.5 mM ATP-Na, 0.5 mM GTP-Na, and 10 mM phosphocreatine (pH 7.2, 300 mOsm) was used to voltage clamp pyramidal neurons at the excitatory reversal potential (0-5mV) and measure inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs). Electrodes also contained 0.1% biocytin for posthoc anatomical cell labeling. Once stable whole-cell recordings were achieved with good access resistance (usually ~ 30 MΩ), basic electrophysiological properties were characterized using hyperpolarizing and depolarizing current injections. Electrophysiological data were acquired with a Multiclamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices), data acquisition boards (models PCI MIO 16E-4 and 6713, National Instruments), and a custom-modified version of Ephus software 5 (Ephus, available at https://openwiki.janelia.org/). Data were digitized at 10 kHz and stored on a computer.

During photostimulation experiments, the microscope objective was switched from 60x to 4x for LSPS. The same low-power objective lens was used for delivering ultraviolet flash stimuli. Stock solution of MNI-caged-I-glutamate (Tocris Bioscience) was added to 20 mL of circulating ACSF for a concentration of 0.2 mM caged glutamate. Hippocampal slice images were acquired using the 4x objective with a high-resolution digital CCD camera, which in turn was used for guiding and registering photostimulation sites. A laser unit (DPSS Lasers) was used to generate 355 nm UV laser pulses for glutamate uncaging. Short pulses of laser flashes (1 ms, 20 mW) were delivered using an electro-optical modulator and a mechanical shutter. The laser beam formed uncaging spots, each approximating a Gaussian profile with a width of 100 µm in the focal plane.

As in previous studies, whole-cell recording of a single neuron was accompanied by laser stimulation at nearby sites, generating action potentials from neurons in targeted areas via LSPS-guided glutamate uncaging (Kuhlman et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2016; Xu et al., 2016a). Voltage clamping the recorded neuron allowed determination of sites contributing synaptic input (Fig. 3). By systematically surveying synaptic inputs from hundreds of different sites across a large region, aggregate synaptic input maps were generated for individual neurons. For our mapping experiments, a standard stimulus grid (12×12 stimulation sites covering an area of 500 X 600 µm) was used to survey synaptic input arising from hippocampal regions of interest, including the DG, hilus, CA3, and CA1 (Fig 3A). The LSPS site spacing was empirically determined to separate adjacent stimulation sites by the smallest predicted distance in which photostimulation differentially activated adjacent neurons. Glutamate uncaging laser pulses were delivered sequentially in a nonraster, nonrandom sequence, following a "shifting-X" pattern designed to avoid revisiting the vicinity of recently stimulated sites (Shepherd et al., 2003). Because glutamate uncaging agnostically activates both excitatory and inhibitory neurons, we empirically determined the excitatory and inhibitory reversal potentials in mossy cells to isolate

EPSCs and IPSCs. We voltage clamped the targeted cells at -70 mV to detect EPSCs, and use the holding potential (0-5 mV) for IPSC detection with the cesium-containing internal solution.

Photostimulation data analysis has been described in detail (Shi et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2016). Also see Figure 3. Responses occurring within the 10-ms window from laser onset are considered direct. Synaptic currents with such short latencies are not possible because they occur before the generation of action potentials in photostimulated neurons. Therefore, direct responses are excluded from synaptic input analysis. It is possible that smaller synaptic responses could be masked by direct glutamate responses. However, at some locations, synaptic responses were over-riding on the relatively small direct responses and were identified and included in synaptic input analysis. The input value of each stimulation site was measured by the temporal summation (i.e., area under a curve) of individual EPSCs or IPSCs from each photostimulation site with the baseline spontaneous response subtracted, and then normalized by the analysis window of 150 ms after photostimulation. While the value actually represents synaptic charge, for consistency with previous studies and because synaptic current is a more familiar unit, this average integrated value is expressed in picoamperes (pA). As for individual map construction, input measurements from different stimulation sites were assigned to their corresponding anatomical locations in the hippocampus; color-coded maps of average input amplitude and the number of events per site were plotted to illustrate overall input patterns to the recorded cell.

To quantitatively compare input strengths and patterns across cell groups, we summed the ESPC/IPSC input amplitudes and the numbers of EPSCs/IPSCs within and across specific hippocampal subfields for individual cells. These measurements are termed the summed or total input (excitation / inhibition) and the summed or total numbers of EPSCs/IPSCs of each cell. We also performed analysis of EPSC/IPSC characteristics including their rise time, time constant, and onset latency.

219

218

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

Morphological examination and cell-type identification

After electrophysiological recording, brain slices were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight, then transferred to 30% sucrose solution in PBS. Slices were stained against biocytin with 1:500 Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated streptavidin (Jackson ImmunoResearch) to visualize the morphology of recorded cells. Slices were also stained for 4'-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich) to identify laminar boundaries. Cell morphology was visualized using Olympus BX 61 epifluorescent microscopy and the MetaMorph imaging suite (Molecular Devices).

Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis

Data are reported as mean values ± standard error of the mean (SEM). All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.00 for Windows (GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA, www.graphpad.com"). For statistical comparisons between groups, data were checked for normality and equal variance. For statistical comparisons across the three developmental ages, we used the Kruskal–Wallis test (a non-parametric 1-way ANOVA). Mann–Whitney U-tests and 1-way ANOVAs with Tukey's post-hoc tests were used for direct comparisons of groups. In all experiments, the level of statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Results

Development changes in intrinsic physiological properties of hilar mossy cells

Currently, there are no transgenic methods for directly distinguishing mossy cells from other hilar neurons. Therefore, we use GAD2-Cre; Ai9 mice to facilitate mossy cell identification and recording. In these mice, non-mossy cell inhibitory neurons (GAD-expressing) are fluorescently labeled. Using a 60x objective, excitatory mossy cells are targeted for recording. These neurons appeared as shadowed cell bodies, surrounded by fluorescently labeled GAD-

expressing inhibitory neurons (Fig 1B). Biocytin infusion during whole-cell recording and post-hoc staining was used to identify all mossy cells based on morphology (Fig 1C). The identity of mossy cells could be verified by their characteristic regular-adapting spiking patterns in response to suprathreshold current injection when the K+ containing internal solution was used for electrical recordings (Fig 1D).

Intrinsic physiological properties of each recorded neuron were acquired immediately after successful break-in with the recording electrode of the K+ containing internal solution. Significant differences in physiological property measures were found in comparing the three developmental age groups studied (P6-7, P13-14, P21-28) (Fig 2a, D). Input resistance, measured with the membrane voltage change by hyperpolarizing current injection during whole cell recording, (Rinput, in the unit of $M\Omega$) declined with increasing age (Table 1). The Rinput of P6-7 mossy cells was significantly larger than that of P13-14 and P21-28 neurons (p = 0.02 and p = 0.008, respectively). The average R input of P6-7 mossy cells (N=11) was 637.7 \pm 74 vs 439.8 \pm 37.7in P13-14 mossy cells (N=12) and 341.7 \pm 63.5 in P21-28 (N=13) mossy cells. Monotonically declining Rinput values could result from increased conductance of ion channels, or increased ion channel number in the cell membrane. Membrane capacitance (Cm) increased in older animals, with significantly lower values present in the P6-7 group (Table 1; p = 0.02 and p = 0.08 vs P13-14 and P21-28, respectively). However, resting membrane potential (RMP) did not change significantly across developmental ages.

After recording, neurons were immunostained with biocytin and cell morphology was recovered using confocal imaging (Fig 2B,C). Young neurons (P6-7) had relatively smooth proximal dendrites while dendrites in older animals (P13-P14 and P21-28) had many thorny excrescences that were confined to the hilus. These morphological changes are consistent with changes in the membrane capacitance that is proportional to the cell surface area. Compared to smooth dendritic surfaces of mossy cells in young ages, the larger thorny surfaces at older ages are correlated to larger cell membrane capacitance (see above). Further, thorny excrescences are clusters of complex spines on proximal dendrites of mossy cells, which indicate stronger synaptic connections between dentate granule cells and their postsynaptic target neurons in older age groups. Thus these morphological changes are expected to be correlated with stronger excitatory inputs from dentate granule cells to mossy cells in older ages (see below).

Temporal precision of laser scanning photostimulation

LSPS can induce two major forms of responses: (1) direct activation of the recorded neuron's glutamate receptors after glutamate uncaging; and (2) synaptically-mediated EPSCs or IPSCs resulting from suprathreshold activation of presynaptic neurons. Excitatory responses occurring within 10 ms after laser onset are caused by direct activation and exhibited larger amplitudes and a distinct shape (longer rise time) occurring at short latencies after laser stimulation (Fig 3C). Direct responses are excluded from local synaptic input analysis. However, at some locations, synaptic responses are superimposed on relatively small direct responses and were included in synaptic input analysis.

After each LSPS trial, we obtain a map of the raw signal traces (Fig 3B). A short detection window (10–160ms after stimulation) is used to reduce the probability of detecting spontaneous and polysynaptic events. Custom-written software (Shi et al., 2010) is used to isolate the synaptically-mediated responses from direct responses and to calculate the average

amplitude, integrated input, event number, first event delay, rise time and decay constant of each synaptic response. These quantitative data are turned into color-coded maps for further region-specific analysis (Fig 3D–F). To reduce contamination by spontaneous events, we average results from multiple photostimulation trials, and only anatomical sites with EPSCs or IPSCs in all experiments are identified as presynaptic inputs. This temporally precise approach shows that LSPS can be used for local circuit mapping of monosynaptic excitatory and inhibitory connections to hilar mossy cells.

Spatial precision of laser scanning photostimulation

Prior to mapping synaptic inputs, we first characterize the spatial extent over which neurons within the photostimulation area respond to laser pulses. We recorded from neurons in the current clamp mode and examined action potentials elicited by photostimulating in the area around the recorded neuron (Fig 4). The stimulation pattern is typically an 8 X 8 grid centered on the recorded neuron, with width and length dimensions that ranged from 75 μ m to 100 μ m, depending on the age of the slice. Generally, photostimulation proximal to the clamped neuron is required to elicit action potentials. Across the developmental ages studied, the average distance of photostimulation-evoked spikes from recorded cell bodies was 115.7 \pm 12.7 μ m (N = 7 slices), 133.3 \pm 26.7 μ m (N=3), and 127.5 \pm 8.9 μ m (N=12), for DG granule cells, hilar neurons, and proximal CA3 cells, respectively. Further, the excitability of the presynaptic sources that is measured by the average number of evoked spikes per cells did not differ significantly across the age groups. These data show that LSPS can be used for local circuit mapping of monosynaptic excitatory and inhibitory connections to hilar mossy cells.

Excitatory synaptic inputs to mossy cells

A total of 31 mossy cells were recorded from hippocampal slices taken from P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28 mice (Fig 5; N = 12, 9, and 10 neurons, respectively). The amplitude of summed

excitatory inputs, excitatory inputs from multiple neurons averaged (see (Shi et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2016b)), from the DG was weak in P6-7 mice, and significantly increased in P13-14 and P21-28 mice (Fig 5A, 5C-D). Although the excitation from the DG declined slightly at P21-28, this was not a statistically significant change (vs P13-14 mice). We found that mossy cells at P6-7 receive a majority of their excitatory inputs from the CA3 (Fig 5C, right panel, and Figure 5D), indicating the presence of a strong CA3 backprojection. As development proceeded, the strength of CA3 and hilar inputs diminished and mossy cells received more of their excitatory input from the DG (Fig 5C-D). Consistent with summed input measurements, recorded cells received average integrated excitatory input of 44.8 ± 8.4 pA from the DG at P6-7; 189.9 ± 59.0 pA at P13-14; and 105.9 ± 12.4 pA at P21-28. The DG input constituted 29.1% \pm 6.1% of the total excitation received by mossy cells at P6-7, $62.7\% \pm 6.2\%$ at P13-14, and $72.2\% \pm 7.1\%$ at P21-28. The per stimulation site input also increased from 3.6 ± 0.5 pA at P6-7 to 9.6 ± 1.5 pA and 8.3 ± 1.3 pA at P13-14 and P21-28, respectively (Table 2A).

Relative to the input from the DG, the CA3 provided more input at P6-7 and much less input at P13-14 and P21-28 (Fig. 5C-D). The integrated input from CA3 was 73.1 \pm 19.9 pA at P6-7, 43.7 \pm 14.6 pA at P13-14 and 20.7 \pm 7.9 pA at P21-28. Input from the hilus followed a similar pattern. The integrated input from the hilus was 18.8 \pm 4.6 pA at P6-7, 10.9 \pm 6.6 pA at P13-14 and 5.3 \pm 2.5 pA at P21-28. The average percent of evoked excitatory inputs from the hilus was 18.2% \pm 6.3%, 4.8% \pm 1.7%, and 3.6% \pm 1.7% for P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28, respectively. The per-stimulation site input decreased from 4.1 \pm 1.0 pA at P7 to 3.1 \pm 1.3 pA and 1.4 \pm 0.5 pA at P13-14 and P21-28, respectively (Table 2A).

The event frequency, which represents the number of evoked synaptic events per second to a recorded neuron was also measured (Fig 5B). The number of EPSCs evoked per DG stimulation site was 3.2 ± 0.5 , 3.9 ± 0.4 , and 3.4 ± 0.1 in P6-7, P13-14, and P21-P28 mice, respectively. The number of evoked EPSCs per hilar stimulation site was 3.3 ± 0.6 , 1.7 ± 0.5 , and 0 ± 00 in P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28 groups, respectively. The number of EPSCs evoked

per CA3 stimulation site was 3.4 ± 0.4 , 2.4 ± 0.3 , and 1.7 ± 0.3 in P6-7, P13-14, and P21-P28 groups, respectively. The average latencies of EPSCs did not differ significantly between the three age groups (Table 2B).

We calculated the number of input locations activated as a DG/CA3 connectivity ratio and found that the ratio for EPSCs trends very strongly (P=.0539; Kruskal-Wallis test) towards increasing from p6-7 to p21-28 supporting our hypothesis that excitatory inputs shift to the DG by the end of development. We also analyzed the distances of recorded mossy cells to the DG and CA3 and confirmed that systemic differences between age groups did not account for our results.

Inhibitory synaptic inputs to mossy cells

A total of 33 mossy cells were recorded from P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28 mice (Fig 6; N=10, 7, and 16). Based on region specific-analysis of inhibitory input sources, we found that at P6-7, mossy cells received roughly equivalent inhibitory input from the DG, hilus, and CA3 (Fig 6A, 6C). As mice aged, inhibition from the DG and CA3 peaked at P13-P14 and then decreased at older ages (P21-28; Fig 6C-D). The amplitude of inhibitory input from the DG was significantly larger than that from the CA3. The magnitude of hilar inhibition did not significantly change over the course of development. Mossy cells received $68.5 \pm 24.0 \, \text{pA}$ of integrated inhibitory input from the DG at P6-7, $490.7 \pm 100.6 \, \text{pA}$ at P13-14, and $195.7 \pm 37.0 \, \text{pA}$ at P21-28. DG input constituted $31.2\% \pm 6.1\%$ of excitatory input at P6-7, $62.7\% \pm 6.2\%$ at P13-14, and $64.9\% \pm 3.5\%$ at P21-28. The magnitude of inhibition per stimulation site was $3.9 \pm 0.9 \, \text{pA}$, $12.8 \pm 1.4 \, \text{pA}$, and $6.6 \pm 0.8 \, \text{pA}$ at P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28, respectively (Table 3A). The CA3 provided more inhibition at P13-14 and substantially less input at P6-7 and P21-28. Integrated inhibitory input from CA3 neurons was $77.1 \pm 20.5 \, \text{pA}$ at P6-7, $150.6 \pm 30.4 \, \text{pA}$ at P13-14 and $11.6\% \pm 2.9\%$ of inhibitory input to mossy cells at P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28, respectively.

Average inhibition per stimulation site was 4.3 ± 0.8 pA, 10.8 ± 2.1 pA, and 4.2 ± 0.5 pA at P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28 (Table 3A).

Inhibitory input from the hilus was generally weak and diffuse across all ages studied. Average integrated input was $28.1 \pm 8.8 \,\mathrm{pA}$ at P6-7, $132.2 \pm 35.2 \,\mathrm{pA}$ at P13-14, and $31.5 \pm 4.7 \,\mathrm{pA}$ at P21-28. Input from the hilus accounted for $18.2\% \pm 3.9\%$, $14.9\% \pm 2.9\%$, and $17.8\% \pm 4.5\%$ of the inhibitory input to mossy cells. Input per stimulation site was $4.7 \pm 1.3 \,\mathrm{pA}$, $10.7 \pm 2.1 \,\mathrm{pA}$, and $4.7 \pm 0.5 \,\mathrm{pA}$ at P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28, respectively (Table 3A).

The frequency of LSPS-evoked IPSCs was also measured independently from IPSC strength (Fig 6B). The number of evoked IPSCs per stimulation site in the DG was 2.0 ± 0.4 , 3.7 ± 0.4 , and 2.9 ± 0.2 at P6-7, P13-14, and P21-P28. The number of evoked IPSCs per stimulation site in the hilus was 2.6 ± 0.6 , 3.4 ± 0.6 , and 2.6 ± 0.2 in P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28 mice, respectively. Finally, the number of evoked IPSCs per stimulation site in the CA3 was 2.2 ± 0.4 , 3.0 ± 0.5 and 1.8 ± 0.3 in P6-7, P13-14, and P21-P28 mice (Table 3B).

There was a general trend for the latency of IPSC onset after photostimulation to decrease from P6-7 to P13-14, and increase from P13-14 to P21-28. For DG-evoked IPSCs, the latency to IPSC onset was significantly longer at P6-7 vs other ages, while the latency to IPSC onset in P13-14 mice was significantly shorter than in P21-28 animals. For CA3-evoked IPSCs, the latency to IPSC onset was significantly longer in P6-7 mice vs other ages. Differences in the IPSC onset latency after hilar stimulation did not reach significance (Table 3C).

We calculated the number of input locations activated as a DG/CA3 connectivity ratio. The DG/CA3 input number ratio for IPSCs increases from p6-7 to p21-28 and from p13-14 to p21-28, also supporting our hypothesis that inhibitory inputs to mossy cells shift to the DG by the end of development as well. We also analyzed the distances of recorded mossy cells to the DG and CA3 to confirm that systemic differences between age groups did not account for our results. A difference was only observed for calculated distances of IPSC map sites in CA3 and mossy cells for p6-7 versus p21-28.

Discussion

In the present study, LSPS combined with whole-cell patch clamping was used to assess excitatory and inhibitory synaptic inputs to mossy cells over the course of postnatal development in mice. Our study is the first to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the spatial distribution and input strength of local circuit connections to mossy cells. Both excitatory and inhibitory input to hilar mossy cells evolved dynamically over the course of development. At P6-7, the majority of excitatory input to mossy cells came from CA3, with summed ESPC input that nearly doubled that of input from the DG. Input from the hilus was modest. Within a week (at P13-14), input from the DG had substantially increased (nearly a four-fold increase), while excitatory inputs from both the CA3 and the hilus declined. Input from the DG remained the strongest source of excitatory drive to mossy cells in young adulthood (P21-28).

A roughly similar pattern was apparent in the development of inhibitory input to mossy cells. At the youngest postnatal age studied (P6-7), input from the CA3 predominated, with less robust input from the DG. By P13-14, the relative strength of inhibitory input from these sources reversed, with the strongest input from the DG. This balance of inhibitory input persisted through young adulthood. Inhibitory input from the hilus was modest at all ages studied. For both excitatory and inhibitory drive, summed input strength was relatively weak at early postnatal ages, peaked at P13-14, and declined in early adulthood at P21-28.

Multiple inputs shape mossy cell physiology

Within the dentate gyrus, mossy cells, granule cells, and local interneurons display a complex pattern of recurrent connectivity that has been implicated in pattern separation, an important role of the DG. Recent studies have shown that the electrophysiological characteristics of mossy cells differ greatly from those of granule cells (Danielson et al., 2017; GoodSmith et al., 2017; Senzai and Buzsaki, 2017). While granule cells fire sparsely (at low

frequency) and selectively and typically have only a single place field, mossy cells fire at higher frequencies and more promiscuously, with multiple place fields. Moreover, mossy cells remap place fields more rapidly when exposed to new environmental cues. Though mossy cells receive strong, monosynaptic excitatory drive directly from granule cells, these studies found that mossy cell firing was only rarely driven by granule cells with overlapping place fields, suggesting excitatory drive creating place fields in mossy cells likely stems from other sources. The sources of this input, important for understanding circuits underlying pattern separation, remains undefined. CA3 pyramidal cells and dentate semilunar granule cells have been proposed as candidate sources of input (Nakazawa, 2017b). There are reports of place field representations developing into adulthood in hippocampus as well (Langston et al., 2010). Indeed, while additional studies are needed to fully understand the contribution of dentate gyrus and CA3 neurons to mossy cell place fields, CA3 excitatory inputs likely can contribute to interesting mossy cell physiology *in vivo* as discussed above.

Our results show that while excitatory drive from CA3 provides the bulk of the excitatory input to mossy cells in very young animals (P6-7), this is a developmentally transient state that does not persist into adulthood. In the mature hippocampal circuit (in P21-28 mice), a majority of the excitatory drive to mossy cells originates from neurons with cell bodies in the DG. It is possible that semilunar granule neurons provide a defining excitatory input to hilar mossy cells, as semilunar neurons are glutamatergic neurons in the inner molecular layer that provide mossy cells with excitatory input creating persistent bursting activity (Larimer and Strowbridge, 2010). Further investigation is required to determine how these neurons may contribute to receptive field structure in mossy cells.

Comparison of excitatory and inhibitory inputs to mossy cells

Our experimental results confirm the finding that mossy cells receive strong excitatory innervations from DG granule cells in the mature hippocampus. However, our results show for

the first time that excitation from the DG is weak at P6-7 (Table 2A). This is consistent with the finding that thorny excrescences appear only at rather late ages (around P9) and do not become common until P14 in the rodent (Ribak et al., 1985). Young mossy cells (in P6-7 mice) had most of their excitatory input from CA3, although the strength of this input decreased significantly at later ages. Excitatory input from the hilus, which was overall weak at all ages studied, could result from recurrent connections originating from other mossy cells.

Inhibition of mossy cells originated predominantly from synaptic inputs from the DG and CA3. At the youngest ages studied, inhibition was relatively weak overall and came from DG, hilar, and CA3 inputs. As animals aged, inhibition from the DG increased significantly, while inhibition from the CA3 and hilus declined. Both excitation and inhibition from the DG peaked at P14 and decreased slightly at P21-P28. Although further studies are necessary to reveal the mechanism of this reduction, pruning of initially exuberant synaptic connections and decreases in DG cell density could contribute to decreased mossy cell input (Sadgrove et al., 2006; Seress, 1977).

Overall, local excitation and inhibition to mossy cells showed different developmental trends. However, excitatory and inhibitory synaptic connectivity was broadly balanced across local networks during each postnatal period studied.

Mossy cell development and formation of canonical trisynaptic circuits

The DG-CA3-CA1 trisynaptic circuit is considered a fundamental principle of neuronal organization in the hippocampus. Cortical information enters the DG from the entorhinal cortex. DG granule cells project to CA3 pyramidal neurons through mossy fibers (which also synapse on local mossy cells). CA3 pyramidal neurons send Shaffer collaterals that synapse on CA1 pyramidal neurons (as well as forming local connections within the CA3). CA1 neurons then send efferent connections back to the entorhinal cortex. Information flow through this circuit is typically considered to be unidirectional in the mature hippocampus.

However, exceptions to this unidirectional flow, particularly in the immature hippocampus, have been documented. In a previous study we found that network activity propagated bidirectionally through this circuit (Shi et al., 2014). Activity that originated in the distal CA3 propagated towards the DG and CA1 at the same time in the young hippocampus (in P1-14 mice). This propagation was mediated by AMPA receptors, and was developmentally transient, gradually disappearing by P14.

It is not clear how this bidirectional propagation develops, nor how the flow of activity becomes predominantly unidirectional in the mature hippocampal circuit. In past studies, biocytin staining and paired recording have shown that axon collaterals of CA3 pyramidal neurons directly innervate and activate mossy cells and GABAergic neurons (Scharfman, 1994) in the dentate and hilus. These neurons in turn sends axons to the molecular layer targeting granule cells. In the present study, we discovered that mossy cells received a relatively strong excitatory backprojection from the CA3 at P6-7. The strength of this input declined at older ages. While inhibitory input from CA3 also decreased over the course of development, the latency to evoke inhibitory responses was faster than that for excitatory responses. Rapid onset of inhibition in mossy cells could prevent these neurons from activating granule cells, contributing to a unidirectional flow of information through the mature hippocampal circuit.

In addition to their potential roles in cognitive function mediated by the dentate gyrus, hilar mossy cells are interesting due to their vulnerability to excitotoxicity in temporal lobe epilepsy (Scharfman and Myers, 2012). Mossy cell loss has been observed both in humans with temporal lobe epilepsy and in animal epilepsy models. The massive excitatory inputs from both the DG and the CA3 could put mossy cells at risk of cell death during epileptic firing, when levels of inhibitory input are chronically decreased. Indeed, in slice and *in vivo* recordings, we and others have observed that mossy cells receive a great deal of excitatory drive from local circuits via a continuous barrage of large spontaneous EPSPs (Buckmaster and Schwartzkroin, 1995; Scharfman, 1993; Scharfman and Schwartzkroin, 1988; Strowbridge and Schwartzkroin,

- 498 1996). Our present results suggest that robust excitatory drive from the DG in the mature
- 499 hippocampus may provide the afferent inputs that lead to excitotoxicity.

500 References

- Amaral, D.G. (1978). A Golgi study of cell types in the hilar region of the hippocampus in the
- 502 rat. J Comp Neurol 182, 851-914.
- 503 Buckmaster, P.S., and Schwartzkroin, P.A. (1994). Hippocampal mossy cell function: a
- 504 speculative view. Hippocampus 4, 393-402.
- 505 Buckmaster, P.S., and Schwartzkroin, P.A. (1995). Interneurons and inhibition in the dentate
- 506 gyrus of the rat in vivo. J Neurosci 15, 774-789.
- 507 Buckmaster, P.S., Strowbridge, B.W., Kunkel, D.D., Schmiege, D.L., and Schwartzkroin, P.A.
- 508 (1992). Mossy cell axonal projections to the dentate gyrus molecular layer in the rat hippocampal
- 509 slice. Hippocampus 2, 349-362.
- 510 Buckmaster, P.S., Wenzel, H.J., Kunkel, D.D., and Schwartzkroin, P.A. (1996). Axon arbors and
- synaptic connections of hippocampal mossy cells in the rat in vivo. J Comp Neurol 366, 271-
- 512 292.
- 513 Danielson, N.B., Turi, G.F., Ladow, M., Chavlis, S., Petrantonakis, P.C., Poirazi, P., and
- Losonczy, A. (2017). In Vivo Imaging of Dentate Gyrus Mossy Cells in Behaving Mice. Neuron
- 515 93, 552-559 e554.
- 516 GoodSmith, D., Chen, X., Wang, C., Kim, S.H., Song, H., Burgalossi, A., Christian, K.M., and
- 517 Knierim, J.J. (2017). Spatial Representations of Granule Cells and Mossy Cells of the Dentate
- 518 Gyrus. Neuron 93, 677-690 e675.
- 519 Kopanitsa, M.V., Afinowi, N.O., and Grant, S.G. (2006). Recording long-term potentiation of
- 520 synaptic transmission by three-dimensional multi-electrode arrays. BMC Neurosci 7, 61.
- 521 Kuhlman, S.J., Olivas, N.D., Tring, E., Ikrar, T., Xu, X., and Trachtenberg, J.T. (2013). A
- disinhibitory microcircuit initiates critical-period plasticity in the visual cortex. Nature 501, 543-
- 523 546.
- 524 Langston, R.F., Stevenson, C.H., Wilson, C.L., Saunders, I., and Wood, E.R. (2010). The role of
- 525 hippocampal subregions in memory for stimulus associations. Behav Brain Res 215, 275-291.
- 526 Larimer, P., and Strowbridge, B.W. (2010). Representing information in cell assemblies:
- 527 persistent activity mediated by semilunar granule cells. Nat Neurosci 13, 213-222.
- 528 Myers, C.E., and Scharfman, H.E. (2011). Pattern separation in the dentate gyrus: a role for the
- 529 CA3 backprojection. Hippocampus 21, 1190-1215.
- 530 Nakazawa, K. (2017a). Dentate Mossy Cell and Pattern Separation. Neuron 93, 1236.
- 531 Nakazawa, K. (2017b). Dentate Mossy Cell and Pattern Separation. Neuron 93, 465-467.
- 532 Ribak, C.E., Seress, L., and Amaral, D.G. (1985). The development, ultrastructure and synaptic
- connections of the mossy cells of the dentate gyrus. J Neurocytol 14, 835-857.
- 534 Sadgrove, M.P., Laskowski, A., and Gray, W.P. (2006). Examination of granule layer cell count,
- 535 cell density, and single-pulse BrdU incorporation in rat organotypic hippocampal slice cultures
- with respect to culture medium, septotemporal position, and time in vitro. J Comp Neurol 497,
- 537 397-415
- 538 Sass, K.J., Sass, A., Westerveld, M., Lencz, T., Novelly, R.A., Kim, J.H., and Spencer, D.D.
- 539 (1992). Specificity in the correlation of verbal memory and hippocampal neuron loss:
- dissociation of memory, language, and verbal intellectual ability. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 14,
- 541 662-672.
- 542 Scharfman, H.E. (1993). Characteristics of spontaneous and evoked EPSPs recorded from
- dentate spiny hilar cells in rat hippocampal slices. J Neurophysiol 70, 742-757.

- 544 Scharfman, H.E. (1994). Evidence from simultaneous intracellular recordings in rat hippocampal
- slices that area CA3 pyramidal cells innervate dentate hilar mossy cells. J Neurophysiol 72,
- 546 2167-2180.
- 547 Scharfman, H.E. (1995). Electrophysiological evidence that dentate hilar mossy cells are
- 548 excitatory and innervate both granule cells and interneurons. J Neurophysiol 74, 179-194.
- 549 Scharfman, H.E. (2007). The CA3 "backprojection" to the dentate gyrus. Prog Brain Res 163,
- 550 627-637.
- 551 Scharfman, H.E., and Bernstein, H.L. (2015). Potential implications of a monosynaptic pathway
- from mossy cells to adult-born granule cells of the dentate gyrus. Front Syst Neurosci 9, 112.
- 553 Scharfman, H.E., and Myers, C.E. (2012). Hilar mossy cells of the dentate gyrus: a historical
- 554 perspective. Front Neural Circuits 6, 106.
- 555 Scharfman, H.E., and Schwartzkroin, P.A. (1988). Electrophysiology of morphologically
- 556 identified mossy cells of the dentate hilus recorded in guinea pig hippocampal slices. J Neurosci
- 557 8, 3812-3821.
- 558 Senzai, Y., and Buzsaki, G. (2017). Physiological Properties and Behavioral Correlates of
- Hippocampal Granule Cells and Mossy Cells. Neuron 93, 691-704 e695.
- 560 Seress, L. (1977). The postnatal development of rat dentate gyrus and the effect of early thyroid
- hormone treatment. Anat Embryol (Berl) *151*, 335-339.
- 562 Shepherd, G.M., Pologruto, T.A., and Svoboda, K. (2003). Circuit analysis of experience-
- dependent plasticity in the developing rat barrel cortex. Neuron 38, 277-289.
- 564 Shi, Y., Ikrar, T., Olivas, N.D., and Xu, X. (2014). Bidirectional global spontaneous network
- activity precedes the canonical unidirectional circuit organization in the developing
- 566 hippocampus. J Comp Neurol 522, 2191-2208.
- 567 Shi, Y., Nenadic, Z., and Xu, X. (2010). Novel use of matched filtering for synaptic event
- detection and extraction. PLoS One 5, e15517.
- 569 Sloviter, R.S. (1987). Decreased hippocampal inhibition and a selective loss of interneurons in
- 570 experimental epilepsy. Science 235, 73-76.
- 571 Soriano, E., and Frotscher, M. (1994). Mossy cells of the rat fascia dentata are glutamate-
- 572 immunoreactive. Hippocampus 4, 65-69.
- 573 Strowbridge, B.W., and Schwartzkroin, P.A. (1996). Transient potentiation of spontaneous
- 574 EPSPs in rat mossy cells induced by depolarization of a single neurone. J Physiol 494 (Pt 2),
- 575 493-510.
- 576 Sun, Y., Grieco, S.F., Holmes, T.C., and Xu, X. (2017). Local and Long-Range Circuit
- 577 Connections to Hilar Mossy Cells in the Dentate Gyrus. eNeuro 4.
- 578 Sun, Y., Ikrar, T., Davis, M.F., Gong, N., Zheng, X., Luo, Z.D., Lai, C., Mei, L., Holmes, T.C.,
- 579 Gandhi, S.P., et al. (2016). Neuregulin-1/ErbB4 Signaling Regulates Visual Cortical Plasticity.
- 580 Neuron 92, 160-173.
- 581 Sun, Y., Nguyen, A.Q., Nguyen, J.P., Le, L., Saur, D., Choi, J., Callaway, E.M., and Xu, X.
- 582 (2014). Cell-type-specific circuit connectivity of hippocampal CA1 revealed through Cre-
- dependent rabies tracing. Cell Rep 7, 269-280.
- 584 Xu, X., Olivas, N.D., Ikrar, T., Peng, T., Holmes, T.C., Nie, Q., and Shi, Y. (2016a). Primary
- visual cortex shows laminar-specific and balanced circuit organization of excitatory and
- inhibitory synaptic connectivity. J Physiol *594*, 1891-1910.
- 587 Xu, X., Olivas, N.D., Levi, R., Ikrar, T., and Nenadic, Z. (2010a). High precision and fast
- functional mapping of cortical circuitry through a combination of voltage sensitive dye imaging
- and laser scanning photostimulation. J Neurophysiol 103, 2301-2312.

- 590 Xu, X., Olivas, N.D., Levi, R., Ikrar, T., and Nenadic, Z. (2010b). High precision and fast
- 591 functional mapping of cortical circuitry through a novel combination of voltage sensitive dye
- imaging and laser scanning photostimulation. J Neurophysiol 103, 2301-2312.
- 593 Xu, X., Roby, K.D., and Callaway, E.M. (2006). Mouse cortical inhibitory neuron type that
- 594 coexpresses somatostatin and calretinin. J Comp Neurol 499, 144-160.
- 595 Xu, X., Sun, Y., Holmes, T.C., and Lopez, A.J. (2016b). Noncanonical connections between the

subiculum and hippocampal CA1. J Comp Neurol *524*, 3666-3673.

597

598

599

600 Table 1. Intrinsic physiological properties of mossy cells at different ages

Mouse age	RMP (mV)	Rs (MΩ)	Rinput (MΩ)	Cm (pF)	Evoked spike rate (Hz)
P6-7 (N = 11)	-60.3 ± 1.7	32.6 ± 2.4	637.7 ± 74.0	70.4 ± 10.3	5.6 ± 1.1
P13-14 (N = 12)	-61.5 ± 1.1	27.2 ± 3.0	439.8 ± 37.7	111.8 ± 10.7	1.5 ± 0.6
P21-28 (N = 13)	-62.7 ± 1.6	33.4 ±	341.7 ± 63.5	99.9 ± 13	3.1 ± 0.9
P value	ns	ns	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.02 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.008	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.02 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.008	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.006

601 602

RMP, resting membrane potential; Rs, access resistance; Cm, membrane capacity; Rinput, input resistance. ns, not significant for statistical comparison.

603 resistanc

Table 2. Statistics of LSPS-mapped excitatory postsynaptic current (EPSC) responses to hilar mossy cells at different ages

a. Average EPSC Integrated Input

	ago El Go III				608
		P6-7	P13-14	P21-28	Significance le
DG	Photostimu lation Evoked Input (EI)	44.8 ± 8.4	189.9 ± 59.0	105.9 ± 12.4	* P6-7 vs P13-640 0.05 611 * P6-7 vs P21-682 0.0005 613
	El per Site	3.6 ± 0.5	9.6 ± 1.5	8.3 ± 1.4	ns 614
	% EI	29.1 ± 6.1	62.7 ± 6.2	72.1 ± 8.4	ns 615
hilm	EI	18.8 ± 4.6	10.9 ± 6.6	5.3 ± 2.6	* P6-7 vs P21-28 0.022 617
hilus	El per Site	4.1 ± 1.0	3.1 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 0.4	ns (10
	% EI	18.2 ± 6.3	4.8 ± 1.7	3.6 ± 1.8	ns 618
CA3	EI	73.1± 19.9	43.7 ± 14.6	20.7 ± 7.9	* P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.056 620 * P13-14 vs P2 628, 0.091 622
	El per Site	3.7 ± 0.5	3.9 ± 0.7	2.5 ± 6.3	ns 623
	% EI	32.0 ± 5.4	22.4 ± 4.6	14.0 ± 5.3	ns 624

Note that the recorded cells used for this table include 12 cells (P6-7), 9 cells (P13-14) and 10 (P21-28). El: photostimulation-evoked postsynaptic input measured from recorded neurons; %El: the regional percentage of total evoked input; El per site: evoked input per photostimulation.

b. Number of EPSCs recorded from mossy cells at different ages

		P6-7	P13-14	P21-28	Significance level
	Total Numbers	38.9 ± 7.0	70.7 ± 21.2	50.3 ± 9.3	ns
DG	Numbers Per Site	3.2 ± 0.5	3.9 ± 0.4	3.4 ± 0.1	ns
	% Total Events	31.2 ± 5.0	56.6 ± 6.4	56.7 ± 10.4	ns
hilm	Total Numbers	15.1 ± 3.4	4.9 ± 2.5	5.4 ± 2.7	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.05 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.0117
hilus	Numbers Per Site	3.3 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 0.5	0.0	ns
	% Total Events	13.3 ± 4.3	5.4 ± 2.1	6.0 ± 3.0	ns
	Total Numbers	60.4 ± 13.9	25.5 ± 8.4	20.5 ± 9.1	* P6-7 vs P21-28 0.0193
CA3	Numbers Per Site	3.4 ± 0.4	2.4 ± 0.3	1.7 ± 0.3	ns
	% Total Events	33.4 ± 5.8	26.2 ± 4.7	23.1 ± 10.9	ns

 $\begin{array}{c} 633 \\ 634 \end{array}$

c. Rise time, time constants, and onset latency of EPSC

		P6-7	P13-14	P21-28	Significance level
	Rise time(ms)	2.4 ± 0.12	2.6 ± 1.3	2.5 ± 0.1	ns
DG	Time Constant (ms)	4.2 ± 0.2	4.2± 0.3	5.0 ± 0.6	ns
	Latency (ms)	50.5 ± 5.6	46.2 ± 6.7	42.1 ± 4.8	ns
	Rise time(ms)	2.3 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.6	2.2 ± 0.2	ns
hilus	Time Constant (ms)	6.7 ± 1.0	5.7 ± 0.5	6.2 ± 1.5	ns
	Latency (ms)	46.9 ± 4.9	59.1 ± 7.3	39.2 ± 9.1	ns
	Rise time(ms)	2.4 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.1	2.7 ± 0.3	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.004 * P13-14 vs P21-28, 0.04
CA3	Time Constant (ms)	7.2 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.3	3.4 ± 0.9	ns
	Latency (ms)	44.1 ± 3.0	51.0 ± 7.4	47.2 ± 10.3	ns

Table 3. Statistics of LSPS-mapped inhibitory postsynaptic current (IPSC) responses to hilar mossy cells at different ages

a. Average IPSC Integrated Input

		P6-7	P13-14	P21-28	Significance level
DG	Photostimu lation Evoked Inout (EI)	68.5 ± 24.0	490.7 ± 100.6	195.7 ± 37.0	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.005 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.0001
	El Per Site	3.9 ± 0.9	12.8 ± 1.4	6.6 ± 0.8	ns
	% EI	31.2 ± 5.5	62.3 ± 5.0	64.8 ± 3.6	ns
hilus	EI	28.1 ± 8.8	132.2 ± 35.2	31.6 ± 4.8	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.002 *P13-14 VS P21-28 0.004
	El Per Site	4.7 ± 1.3	10.7 ± 2.1	4.7 ± 0.50	ns
	% EI	18.2 ± 3.9	14.9 ± 3.0	17.9 ± 4.5	ns
CA3	EI	77.1 ± 20.5	150.6 ± 30.4	51.8 ± 13.6	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.05 *P13-14 VS P1-28 0.002
	El Per Site	4.3 ± 0.8	10.8 ± 2.1	4.2 ± 0.9	ns
	% EI	40.5 ± 6.2	18.5 ± 2.5	11.7 ± 2.9	ns

Note that the recorded cells used for this table include 10 cells (P6-7), 7 cells (P13-14) and 16 (P21-28).

639 EI, EI per site, and %EI are the same as in Table 2a.

b. Number of IPSCs recorded from mossy cells at different ages

 $\begin{array}{c} 640 \\ 641 \end{array}$

		P6-7	P13-14	P21-28	Significance level
DG	Total Numbers	32.6 ± 11.7	105.3 ± 21.1	80.4 ± 14.3	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.01 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.003
DG	Numbers Per Site	2.0 ± 0.4	3.7 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 0.2	ns
	% Total Events	31.1 ± 5.6	56.3 ± 4.8	63.1 ± 3.2	ns
hilus	Total Numbers	15.2 ±4.2	35.4 ± 9.8	17.2 ± 2.4	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.05 * P13-14 vs P21-28, 0.02
illus	Numbers Per Site	2.6 ± 0.6	3.4 ± 0.6	2.6 ± 0.2	ns
	% Total Events	19.3 ± 3.9	17.1 ± 2.6	19.1 ± 4.0	ns
	Total Numbers	36.2 ± 9.1	44.3 ± 13.2	21.4 ± 4.9	ns
CA3	Numbers Per Site	2.2 ± 0.36	3.0 ± 0.5	1.8 ± 0.3	ns
	% Total Events	39.3 ± 6.4	19.9 ± 2.7	11.9 ± 2.8	ns

c. Rise time, time constants, and onset latency of IPSCs

		P6-7	P13-14	P21-28	Significance level
	Rise time(ms)	4.1 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.2	ns
	Time Constant (ms)	9.3 ± 0.1	7.7 ± 0.8	7.4 ± 0.3	*P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.02
DG	latency(ms)	57.1 ± 2.2	34.8 ± 1.6	40.2 ± 2.5	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.0003 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.00009 P13-14 vs P21-28, 0.07
	Rise time(ms)	4.6 ± 0.3	4.4 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 0.2	ns
hilus	Time Constant (ms)	7.3 ± 0.0	7.7 ± 1.0	9.4 ± 0.8	ns
	latency(ms)	39.3 ± 6.5	28.7 ± 4.3	33.0 ± 2.9	ns
	Rise time(ms)	4.4 ± 0.3	3.5 ± 0.4	4.5 ± 0.2	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.05 * P13-14 vs P21-28, 0.03
CA3	Time Constant (ms)	8.8 ± 0.1	7.0 ± 0.8	8.6 ± 0.6	ns
	Latency (ms)	61.5 ± 6.2	34.0 ± 4.5	35.8 ± 2.5	* P6-7 vs P13-14, 0.008 * P6-7 vs P21-28, 0.003

Figure legends

Figure 1. Targeted recordings of hilar mossy cells. A. Horizontal hippocampal slices are acutely prepared from GAD-Cre: Ai9 tdTomato double transgenic mice and are visualized under a 4x objective. Whole-cell recordings are made from mossy cells in the dentate gyrus (green circle). Scale bar=250uM. B. Mossy cells, as shown with a 60x objective, are first identified by their lack of tdTomato fluorescence (under the pipette, white square) in the hilar region of GAD-Cre: Ai9 mice. Scale bar=50uM. C. Morphology of recorded mossy cells (white arrows), which are injected with biocytin (green), demonstrates multipolar soma and thick dendrites with thorny excrescences. Scale bar=50uM. D. Mossy cells have regular/adapting spiking in response to current injection (horizontal black line) through the patch pipette.

Figure 2. Developmental changes in intrinsic physiological and morphological properties of mossy cells. A. Example responses to current injection (horizontal lines) in example mossy cells recorded from P7, P14, and P21 mice. B-C. Example morphology of biocytin-labeled mossy neurons (green) and surrounding DAPI stained tissue (blue) at P7, P14, and P21. The labeled neurons in the P7 mouse had proximal dendrites with branches penetrated into the fascia dentate (arrows for P7 mouse in B), and were relatively smooth (image shown in C). While neurons recorded in P14 and P21 mice have obvious thorny excrescence (arrows in C) on proximal dendrites. Scale bar=250uM in B. Scale bar=25uM in C. All labeled neurons had large, multipolar somata and thick thorny proximal dendrites. A neuron (circle in B) in the P14 mouse is in CA3. D. The input resistance (Left, measured in MΩ) decreased with age from P6-7 to P13-14, and P21-28. The membrane capacity (Middle, measured in pF), is the capacitance of the cell membrane, and increased with age from P6-7 to P13-14, and P21-28. The spike rate (Right, measured in Hz), is the number of evoked spikes in response to current injection of 100 pA during recording, and decreased with age from P6-7 to p13-14.

Figure 3. LSPS mapping and data analysis. A. A horizontal hippocampal slice under a 4x objective with a patched neuron (red circle) and laser stimulation sites overlaid (cyan asterisks).

B. Raw signal traces recorded from the patched neuron during laser stimulation. C. Examples of a direct response (top green trace) which has a large amplitude and a short response latency, and synaptic responses (bottom red) which have smaller amplitudes and longer latencies. D-F. Synaptic responses were detected and extracted using automatic software processing (Shi et al, 2010). Input amplitude, as defined by the distance of the baseline to the peak of the EPSC (D), the number of evoked synaptic events, as defined by the number of EPSCs elicited per laser pulse (E), and the number of spontaneous events (F) were plotted in heat maps.

Figure 4. Spatial precision of LSPS mapping for neurons in the DG, hilus, and CA3c. A-B. Excitation profile of a recorded DG granule cell from a mouse hippocampal slice. The excitation profile shows the spatial distribution of uncaging sites that produce action potentials. The cell is held in current clamp mode. The cyan asterisks in A are the stimulation sites (75 μm spacing). The evoked action potentials were restricted to a small region (yellow square). Raw signal traces within the yellow square are shown in B. C-D. Excitation profile of a hilar mossy cell from a mouse hippocampal slice (100 μm spacing). E-F. Excitation profile of CA3 pyramid cells from mouse hippocampal slice (100 μm spacing). Excitation profiles show no spike-evoking sites distal from the perisomatic area of the recorded neuron, demonstrating that LSPS maps represent input from monosynaptic connections.

Figure 5. Anatomical map of excitatory input to mossy cells across developmental ages.
A. Strength of excitatory input (input amplitudes) at P7, P14, and P24 for a representative
mossy cell. B. Frequency of EPSC events (number of evoked synaptic events per second) for a
representative mossy cell. C-D . Summed (averaged) amplitude of excitatory inputs to mossy
cells. We recorded from 12, 9, and 10 cells from P6-7, P13-14 and P21-28 mice, respectively.
In the left two panels of C, the y-axis indicates input strength. In the right panel in D, the y-axis
shows the ratio of input strengths.
Figure 6. Anatomical map of inhibitory input to mossy cells across developmental ages.
Figure 6. Anatomical map of inhibitory input to mossy cells across developmental ages. A. Strength of inhibitory input amplitude at P7, P14 and P24 for a representative mossy cell. B.
A. Strength of inhibitory input amplitude at P7, P14 and P24 for a representative mossy cell. B.
A. Strength of inhibitory input amplitude at P7, P14 and P24 for a representative mossy cell. B. Frequency of IPSC events (number of evoked synaptic events per second) for a representative
A. Strength of inhibitory input amplitude at P7, P14 and P24 for a representative mossy cell. B. Frequency of IPSC events (number of evoked synaptic events per second) for a representative mossy cell. C-D. Summed (averaged) amplitude of inhibitory inputs to mossy cells. We mapped
A. Strength of inhibitory input amplitude at P7, P14 and P24 for a representative mossy cell. B. Frequency of IPSC events (number of evoked synaptic events per second) for a representative mossy cell. C-D. Summed (averaged) amplitude of inhibitory inputs to mossy cells. We mapped 10, 7, and 16 cells from P6-7, P13-14, and P21-28 mice, respectively. In the left two panels of











