

Research Article: New Research | Development

# Caveolin1 identifies a specific subpopulation of cerebral cortex callosal projection neurons (CPN) including dual projecting cortical callosal/frontal projection neurons (CPN/FPN)

Jessica L. MacDonald<sup>1</sup>, R. M. Fame<sup>1</sup>, E. M. Gillis-Buck<sup>1</sup> and Jeffrey D. Macklis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Center for Brain Science, and Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA

DOI: 10.1523/ENEURO.0234-17.2017

Received: 5 July 2017

Revised: 11 December 2017
Accepted: 19 December 2017

Published: 8 January 2018

**Author Contributions:** JLM, RMF, and JDM designed research; JLM, RMF, and EGB performed experiments; JLM and RMF analyzed data; JLM, RMF, and JDM wrote the paper.

Funding: http://doi.org/10.13039/100000065HHS | NIH | National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)

(NINDS) R37 NS41590 NS45523 NS49553 NS075672

Funding: Jane and Lee Seidman FundFunding: Emily and Robert Pearlstein Fund

Funding: United Sydney Association

Funding: http://doi.org/10.13039/100000002HHS | National Institutes of Health (NIH)

F31 NS073163

Conflict of Interest: Authors report no conflict of interest.

This work was supported by grants from the N.I.H. (R37 NS41590, with additional infrastructure support by NS45523, NS49553, and NS075672), the Jane and Lee Seidman Fund, the Emily and Robert Pearlstein Fund, and the United Sydney Association (to J.D.M); National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program (GRFP) fellowship, and National Institutes of Health predoctoral NRSA fellowship F31 NS073163 (to R.M.F).

J.L.M. and R.M.F. contributed equally to this work

Correspondence should be addressed to: Jeffrey D. Macklis, jeffrey\_macklis@harvard.edu or Jessica L. MacDonald: jemacdon@syr.edu

Cite as: eNeuro 2018; 10.1523/ENEURO.0234-17.2017

Alerts: Sign up at eneuro.org/alerts to receive customized email alerts when the fully formatted version of this article is published.

Accepted manuscripts are peer-reviewed but have not been through the copyediting, formatting, or proofreading process.

Copyright © 2018 MacDonald et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

1 2 3	1.	<b>Title:</b> Caveolin1 identifies a specific subpopulation of cerebral cortex callosal projection neurons (CPN) including dual projecting cortical callosal/frontal projection neurons (CPN/FPN)
4	2.	Abbreviated Title: Cav1 identifies dual-projecting CPN/FPN
5	3.	<b>Authors:</b> MacDonald, JL*§1,2; Fame, RM§1; Gillis-Buck, EM¹; and Macklis, JD*1
6		§ These authors contributed equally to this work
7		* Co-corresponding authors
8 9 10 11	Au	<b>athor Affiliations:</b> <sup>1</sup> Department of Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Center for Brain Science, and Harvard Stem Cell Institute, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, <sup>2</sup> Current address: Department of Biology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244.
12 13	4.	<b>Author Contributions:</b> JLM, RMF, and JDM designed research; JLM, RMF, and EGB performed experiments; JLM and RMF analyzed data; JLM, RMF, and JDM wrote the paper
14	5.	Correspondence should be addressed to:
15		Jeffrey D. Macklis: jeffrey_macklis@harvard.edu or
16		Jessica L. MacDonald: jemacdon@syr.edu
17	6.	Number of Figures: 6 7. Number of Tables: 0
18 19	8.	Number of Multimedia: 0 9. Number of words in Abstract: 234
20 21	10.	. Number of words in Significance Statement: 113
22	11.	. Number of words in Introduction: 905
23	12	. Number of words in Discussion: 1422
24	13.	Acknowledgements: We thank members of the Macklis lab for thoughtful discussions and
25		input, in particular G. Cederquist for sharing expertise regarding CPN/BPN; and L. Pasquina
26		R. Richardson, C. Greppi, T. Keefe, and P. Davis for superb technical assistance.
27	14	. Conflict of Interest: Authors report no conflict of interest.
28	15.	<b>5. Funding Sources</b> : This work was supported by grants from the N.I.H. (R37 NS41590, with
29		additional infrastructure support by NS45523, NS49553, and NS075672), the Jane and Lee
30 31		Seidman Fund, the Emily and Robert Pearlstein Fund, and the United Sydney Association (to LD M). National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program (GREP)
O I		J.D.W.L. Manonal Science Foundation Chaudate Neseatch Fehowship F10214111 (CINFF)

32 33

(to R.M.F).

fellowship, and National Institutes of Health predoctoral NRSA fellowship F31 NS073163

#### Abstract:

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

The neocortex is composed of many distinct subtypes of neurons that must form precise subtype-specific connections to enable the cortex to perform complex functions. Callosal projection neurons (CPN) are the broad population of commissural neurons that connect the cerebral hemispheres via the corpus callosum. Currently, how the remarkable diversity of CPN subtypes and connectivity is specified, and how they differentiate to form highly precise and specific circuits, are largely unknown. We identify in mouse that the lipid-bound scaffolding domain protein Caveolin 1 (CAV1) is specifically expressed by a unique subpopulation of layer V CPN that maintain dual ipsilateral frontal projections to premotor cortex. CAV1 is expressed by over 80% of these dual projecting CPN/FPN (callosal/frontal projection neurons), with expression peaking early postnatally as axonal and dendritic targets are being reached and refined. CAV1 is localized to the soma and dendrites of CPN/FPN, a unique population of neurons that shares information both between hemispheres and with premotor cortex, suggesting function during post-mitotic development and refinement of these neurons, rather than in their specification. Consistent with this, we find that Cav1 function is not necessary for the early specification of CPN/FPN, or for projecting to their dual axonal targets. CPN subtype-specific expression of Cav1 identifies and characterizes a first molecular component that distinguishes this functionally unique projection neuron population, a population that expands in primates, and is prototypical of additional dual and higher-order projection neuron subtypes.

54

55

56

## **Significance Statement:**

Callosal projections neurons (CPN) are a diverse set of neocortical interhemispheric excitatory projection neurons that integrate multiple distinct brain regions. While retrograde studies have identified CPN subpopulations that project to multiple targets, molecular identifiers are lacking for these subpopulations. Here, we identify *Caveolin1* (*Cav1*) as an identifier of CPN with dual callosal and ipsilateral frontal projections (CPN/FPN). CAV1 is expressed by over 80% of these CPN/FPN, with expression peaking early postnatally. CAV1 localizes to the soma and dendrites of CPN/FPN, suggesting function during post-mitotic development and refinement of these neurons, rather than in their early specification. Identification of this molecular identifier of CPN/FPN will enable future functional investigations of this unique neuronal population.

#### **Introduction:**

The neocortex is composed of many distinct subtypes of neurons that developmentally form precise subtype-specific connectivity and circuitry to enable cortex to perform its many complex functions of sensory processing, associative integration, cognition, and motor output. Callosal projection neurons (CPN) are the broad population of commissural neurons that connect the cerebral hemispheres via the corpus callosum (CC). CPN are excitatory pyramidal projection neurons whose cell bodies reside in neocortical layers II/III (~80% in mouse), V (~20%), and a few % in VI (Ivy and Killackey, 1981; Silver et al., 1982; Catapano et al., 2001; Fame et al., 2011; Greig et al., 2013; MacDonald, 2013), and play multiple roles in complex associative and integrative cognition. CPN are a diverse set of subpopulations, distinguished by characteristics including cell body location, birthdate, electrophysiological and neurochemical properties,

79	dendritic tree distribution, axonal target(s), and molecular expression (Aboitiz and Montiel,
80	2003; Mitchell and Macklis, 2005; Benavides-Piccione et al., 2006; Molyneaux et al., 2009;
81	Fame et al., 2011; Otsuka and Kawaguchi, 2011; Fame et al., 2016a). While these properties
82	describe and categorize CPN, they also determine the function(s) of diverse CPN subtypes.
83	Molecular controls that both define and regulate development of these distinct CPN subtypes
84	are just beginning to be elucidated. A combinatorially-expressed set of genes that both define
85	CPN as a broad population and distinguish novel subpopulations of CPN during development
86	has been identified (Molyneaux et al., 2009), and a few molecular controls have been
87	investigated functionally. For example, SATB2 regulates CPN identity throughout the neocortex;
88	in the absence of its function, CPN project axons subcortically rather than across the corpus
89	callosum, and they take on some molecular characteristics of corticofugal projection neurons
90	(Alcamo et al., 2008; Britanova et al., 2008). Other molecular controls regulate specific aspects
91	of CPN development, and/or distinct subpopulations. Cux1 and Cux2 regulate dendritic
92	complexity and synapse formation of layer II/III CPN (Cubelos et al., 2010), and Cux1 regulates
93	activity-dependent interhemispheric connectivity of CPN (Rodriguez-Tornos et al., 2016).
94	CITED2 regulates generation of layer II/III CPN throughout the neocortex, as well as areal
95	identity and neuronal connectivity specifically of somatosensory CPN (Fame et al., 2016b).
96	CTIP1, on the other hand, regulates specification of deep layer CPN (Woodworth et al., 2016).
97	Caveolin1 (Cav1) was identified in Molyneaux, et al. (2009) as a CPN subpopulation-
98	restricted gene during neocortical development, with a peak of expression at postnatal day 3
99	(P3). Cav1 encodes a membrane-bound scaffolding protein necessary for a range of cellular
100	processes, including functions related to the unique lipid raft structures it forms, caveolae. Cav1
101	is highly expressed broadly in developing blood vessels and endothelial cells, and is required for

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

their proper development (Razani et al., 2001; Schubert et al., 2002), and for blood-brain barrier permeability (Zhao et al., 2014). While caveolae are not thought to exist in neurons (Head and Insel, 2007), Cav1 knockout mice exhibit neurological abnormalities including limb clasping, abnormal spinning, muscle weakness, reduced activity, and gait abnormalities (Trushina et al., 2006). As a lipid raft scaffolding molecule, CAV1 interacts with multiple binding partners, some of which are particularly compelling as components of neuronal function. CAV1 binds directly to the calmodulin-dependent scaffolding protein striatin (Gaillard et al., 2001), which acts as a signaling platform in dendritic spine signal transduction (Benoist et al., 2006), and SNAP25, which complexes to CAV1 presynaptically upon synaptic potentiation (Braun and Madison, 2000). CAV1 plays roles in neurotrophin response, and has the ability to interact with synaptosome complexes (Bilderback et al., 1997; Bilderback et al., 1999; Head and Insel, 2007). CAV1 is required for estrogen receptor  $\alpha$  (ER $\alpha$ ) activation of the metabotrophic glutamate receptor, mGluR1α, in hippocampal neurons, potentially acting in long-term depression (Takayasu et al., 2010). CAV1 provides a scaffold for both the ERα voltage-dependent anion receptor and one of its interactors, the IGF-1 receptor (Maggi et al., 2002; Marin et al., 2009), a known positive regulatory pathway for corticospinal projection neuron axonal outgrowth (Ozdinler and Macklis, 2006). Cav1 has additionally been implicated in neuronal differentiation from progenitors (Li et al., 2011), synaptic ribbons in photoreceptors (Kachi et al., 2001), and other neurotransmitter receptor functions including muscarinic cholinergic receptors and NMDA receptor NR2B (Lai et

al., 2004). Further, CAV1 interacts with Rho-family GTPase RAC1, and this interaction has been

directly implicated in neurite outgrowth (Kang et al., 2006). These neuronal-specific processes might account for some of the neurological deficits in *Cav1* loss-of-function mice described above, and additionally motivate this study of *Cav1* in CPN.

Here, we further identify that *Cav1* is highly expressed during axonal and dendritic development in a laminarly- and regionally-restricted subset of dual projecting CPN that extend both homotopic axonal connections to mirror image locations in the contralateral hemisphere, and rostral connections to ipsilateral frontal areas, sending information from sensory or motor functional areas to higher hierarchical cortical areas (here, these dual-projecting neurons are referred to as callosal projection neurons/frontal projection neurons; CPN/FPN) (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005). The majority of these CPN/FPN are located in neocortical layer Va in both the primary somatosensory area (S1) and in a large expansion in caudo-lateral secondary somatosensory neocortex (S2) (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005). While CPN/FPN have been identified anatomically, no molecular controls over this population's unique connectivity or function have been identified, limiting understanding of the development and function of these specialized dual-projecting neurons. Our investigations of *Cav1* expression and function reported here identify and characterize a first molecular component that distinguishes and might control aspects of this functionally unique projection neuron population.

#### **Materials and Methods:**

#### Mouse Lines

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with the [Author University] animal care committee's regulations. *Caveolin1* null mice on a congenic C57/Bl6 background (B6.Cg-

146	Cav1 <sup>m1Mls</sup> /J) were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA), strain
147	number 007083 (RRID:IMSR_JAX:007083). The original targeted null mutation was generated
148	by Michael Lisanti at The Albert Einstein College of Medicine. A 2.2 kb region of the gene
149	including exons 1 and 2 and a portion of the promoter region was replaced with a neomycin
150	resistance cassette via homologous recombination (Razani et al., 2001).
151	BTBR acallosal mice on a congenic background (BTBR $T^+$ $tf$ /J) were obtained from The
152	Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA), strain number 002282
153	(RRID:IMSR_JAX:002282) (Wahlsten et al., 2003). LP/J mice have been shown to be an
154	appropriate callosal control population for BTBR mice. LP/J mice (LP/J) were obtained from the
155	Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor Maine, USA), strain number 000676
156	(RRID:IMSR_JAX:000676).
157	C57/Bl6 wildtype mice were obtained from The Jackson Laboratory
158	(RRID:IMSR_JAX:000664) (Bar Harbor, Maine, USA), and were used to breed with Cav1 null
159	mice, and for birthdating and electroporation experiments. FEZF2 mutants were generated by
160	Hirata and et al. (Hirata et al., 2004) (GenBank accession number: AB042399).
161	Immunocytochemistry
162	Immunocytochemistry was performed as follows. Briefly, brains were fixed by transcardial
163	perfusion with PBS, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde, and postfixed overnight at 4°C in 4%
164	paraformaldehyde. Brains were sectioned at 50µm on a vibrating microtome (Leica). Sections
165	were blocked in 0.3% BSA (Sigma), 8% goat or donkey serum, and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma)
166	for 1 hour at room temperature, before incubation in primary antibody. Secondary antibodies
167	were selected from the Alexa series.

168	Antigen retrieval methods were required to expose antigens for some of the primary
169	antibodies, including CAV1. Sections were incubated in 0.1M citric acid (pH=6.0) for 10 min at
170	95-98°C, and sections were rinsed in PBS prior to blocking. For thymidine analogues (IdU,
171	CldU), HCl antigen retrieval was required. Tissue was rinsed quickly in ddH <sub>2</sub> O and incubated in
172	2N HCl for 2 hours at room temperature, and sections were rinsed in PBS prior to blocking.
173	Primary antibodies and dilutions were used as follows: rabbit anti-Caveolin-1, 1:500 (Cell
174	Signaling #3238; RRID:AB_2072166); goat anti-LMO4, 1:200 (Santa Cruz Biotech SC- 11122;
175	RRID:AB_648429); rat anti-CTIP2 1:500 (Abcam ab18465; RRID:AB_2064130), mouse anti-
176	BrdU, 1:500 (Becton Dickinson #347580; clone B44; RRID:AB_10015219) (detects IdU); rat
177	anti-BrdU, 1:500 (Accurate #OBT- 0030; clone BU1/75; RRID:AB_2313756) (detects CldU);
178	rabbit anti-GFP, 1:500 (Molecular Probes; RRID:AB_221569); mouse anti-SATB2, 1:500
179	(Abcam ab51502; RRID:AB_882455); goat anti-BHLHB5, 1:200 (Santa Cruz SC-6045;
180	RRID:AB_2065343); rabbit anti-5-HT, 1:1000 (Immunostar 20080; RRID:AB_572263).
181	In situ hybridization
182	Nonradioactive colorimetric in situ hybridization was performed using probes labeled with
183	digoxigenin (dig)-UTP generated by reverse transcription PCR. The probe sequence for Cav1
184	was previously published (Molyneaux et al., 2009). Postnatal tissue was fixed overnight in 4%
185	paraformaldehyde at 4° C. Fixed tissue was sectioned on a VT1000S vibrating microtome (Leica
186	Microsystems) to a thickness of 50 $\mu m$ . Embryonic tissue was flash frozen in 2-methyl butane,
187	embedded in TBS, and cryosectioned on a CM3050S cryostat (Leica Microsystems) to a
188	thickness of 14µm. Sense probes were used as negative controls in all experiments. Sections
189	were mounted on Superfrost plus slides ® (Fisher Scientific) and postfixed in 4% PFA in PBS

for 10 min, rinsed in PBS for 3 min., permeabilized in 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma) followed by
RIPA cell lysis buffer [150 mM Sodium chloride, 1% Triton X-100, 1% deoxicholic acid sodium
salt, 0.1% sodium dodesil sulfate, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 2mM EDTA], re-fixed in 4% PFA,
acetylated for 15 min in 0.1M triethanolamine/ 0.4% HCl/0.25% acetic anhydride (Sigma), and
then preybridizied in 65°C hybridization buffer [50% formamide, 5x SSC, 5x Denhardts
$[1\mu g/mL\ Ficoll\ 400,\ 1\mu g/mL\ Polyvinylpyrrolidone,\ 1\mu g/mL\ BSA]\ ,\ 500\mu g/mL\ sheared\ salmon$
sperm DNA, 250 $\mu$ g/mL Yeast RNA]. Slides were incubated overnight (14-20 hours) at 65°C in
$2\mu g/17 mL$ dig-labeled probe in hybridization buffer in a plastic mailer. Slides were then
subjected to stringency washes in 2x SSC/ 50% formamide/ 0.1% Tween-20 at 65°C for 2 hours
Sections were then rinsed in MABT [0.9M maleic acid (Sigma), 0.1M NaCl (Sigma), 0.0005%
Tween 20 (Sigma), 0.175M NaOH (Sigma)] at RT, blocked in 10% goat serum in MABT, and
incubated overnight in goat alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-dig (1:1000, Roche) primary
antibody in block. The following day, the slides were rinsed with MABT, followed by a 30 min.
wash in alkaline phosphatase reaction buffer [100mM Tris pH 9.5, 50mM MgCl2, 100mM NaCl
0.1% Tween-20]. The alkaline phosphatase reaction was developed with $0.25~mg/mL$ nitro-blue
$tetrazolium \ (NBT) \ / \ 125 \mu g/mL \ 5\text{-bromo-}4\text{-chloro-}3\text{'-indolyphosphate (BCIP) in phosphatase}$
reaction buffer, changing to fresh solution every 1-4 hours at RT or every 6-9 hours at 4°C.
When the reaction was complete, tissue was rinsed in 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS, postfixed in 4%
PFA for 30 min.
Retrograde labeling of cortical projection neurons

Perinatal retrograde labeling of CPN, and CSMN was performed using a Vevo 770

Perinatal retrograde labeling of CPN, CSMN, CStrPN, and ACN

ultrasound backscatter microscopy system (VisualSonics, Toronto, Canada). Briefly, P1 pups of
either sex were anesthetized by hypothermia, and corpus callosum, or pons, respectively, were
injected under ultrasound guidance using a pulled glass micropipette (tip diameter, $80-100~\mu m$ ),
and cell bodies were labeled with the $\beta$ subunit of cholera toxin (CTB) labeled with Alexa dye (2
mg/ml, Molecular Probes). For CSMN, six 23 nl injections of cholera toxin subunit- B (2 $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l)
were deposited bilaterally into the pons. The brains were harvested 2 days after injection (P3).
Because CStrPN reach the striatum later than P1, pups were anesthetized with
hypothermia and injected sterotaxically at P3. The striatal injection point is very close to the
anterior commissure in the developing brain, so both CStrPN and ACN were labeled
simultaneously. The injections were made at an angle of 32.5° from horizontal, 3 mm posterior
to bregma, and 1.8mm lateral (left). The $\beta$ subunit of cholera toxin (CTB) labeled with Alexa
dye (2 mg/ml, Molecular Probes) was injected at a depth of 4.2 mm, and 4.6 nL of dye was
delivered three times (total of 13.8 nL) into 3 injection sites at depths, 4.2 mm, 4.1 mm, and 4.0
mm. The needle was removed after 5 minutes to allow diffusion and avoid retracting the dye
with the needle. The brains were harvested 2 days after injection (P5).
Postnatal dual CPN/FPN and CPN/BPN retrograde labeling
P6 pups were anesthetized with hypothermia. P21 mice were deeply anesthetized with
Avertin (0.02mL/g body weight, injected I.P.). Tracers were injected transcranially with sharp
pulled glass micropipettes (tip diameter 80-100µm) in presumptive premotor and sensory-motor
areas, as described below. Double fluorescent tracer injections were performed to label

simultaneously: 1) CPN in sensory-motor cortex, and 2) frontal projection neurons with long-

distance ipsilateral projections to the premotor cortex. CPN with projections to the contralateral

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

neocortex were labeled with Alexa 647 conjugated cholera toxin subunit β (2 mg/ml, Molecular Probes) with 25 injection sites, 46nL (10 injections of 4.6nL) each site at a depth of 250 µm at P6 and 450µm at P21. The most caudal injection site was 1 mm caudal to bregma, and the most rostral injection-site was approximately 1 mm caudal to the olfactory bulbs. All other injections were evenly spaced to complete the 5 x 5 grid. Ipsilateral corticocortial projections to the premotor cortex were simultaneously retrogradely labeled with injections of Alexa 555 conjugated cholera toxin subunit B (2 mg/ml, Molecular Probes) with 7 injection sites, 46nL each site and a depth of 250 \top \text{µm} at P6 and 450 \text{µm} at P21 (see **Figure 4**). Brains were harvested 2 days after injection (P8). Backward projecting neurons (BPN) were retrogradely labeled via transcranial injection of cholera toxin subunit B (2 mg/ml, Molecular Probes) at P7 into the ipsilateral caudal cortex, covering the area of the presumptive somatosensory cortex. The most rostrolateral injection site was 1.5 mm rostral to lambda, and 2.5 mm lateral to the midline. All other injections were evenly spaced to complete the  $3 \times 4$  grid. Each mouse received ten 4.6 nl volume injections at 12 injection sites. For each injection site, 5 injections were made at 200 µm depth from the dorsal surface of the brain, 2 injections at 150 µm depth, 1 injection at 100 µm depth, and 2 injections at 50 µm depth. Brains were harvested 2 days after injection (P9). Small punctures were made in the skull at the location of each injection point with either a pulled glass pipette (P6) or a fine suture needle (P21) prior to lowering the injection needle to the proper depth. This avoided the need for large craniotomies, thus minimizing insult and

enhancing recovery time, while allowing for exact depth measurements to be made accurately.

255 For all retrograde labeling experiments, our approaches minimized surgical time and insult; thus 256 morbidity was very low, and the survival rate was near 100%. 257 Birthdating 258 For IdU and CldU birthdating, equimolar delivery of IdU (57.5 mg per kg) or CldU (42.5 mg 259 per kg) was performed by intraperitoneal injections at 12 hour intervals from E11 to E15.5 (Vega 260 and Peterson, 2005), calculating embryonic age with E0.5 as the morning of observed vaginal 261 plug. Mice of either sex were perfused at P6, and brains prepared for immunocytochemistry. 262 Gain-of-function constructs 263 For control gain-of-function experiments, a vector containing a constitutively active CMV 264 enhancer / β actin promoter driving GFP downstream of an internal ribosomal entry site (IRES) was used (GFP<sup>control</sup>, generous gift of C. Lois, MIT; (Molyneaux et al., 2005)). For the Cav1 265 overexpression construct, called Cav1 GFP, full length Cav1 cDNA was cloned into the same 266 267 vector backbone using a Sal1/Not1 digest (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) of the Cav1 268 cDNA from a pSport1 vector purchased from Open Biosystems (Lafayette, CO; clone ID 269 30062454). A sequenced clone with perfect alignment to the NCBI reference sequence 270 NM\_007616 in both the sense and antisense orientations was selected for experiments. 271 In utero electroporation 272 In utero electroporations were performed essentially as described in (Saito and Nakatsuji, 273 2001; Saito, 2006), and (Molyneaux et al., 2005). Timed pregnant mice were deeply anesthetized 274 with isofluorane. Hair was removed from the abdomen, and the dam was secured supine on a 275 heated surgical platform. Individual pups were withdrawn from the abdominal cavity and

moistened with sterile 37°C 1x PBS. Using a Vevo 770 ultrasound backscatter microscopy

system (VisualSonics, Toronto, Canada) to visualize the lateral ventricles, a beveled glass micropipette (50 µm width) was inserted into one lateral ventricle of each injected pup, and fifteen 69 nl volume injections of prepared DNA mixture were delivered to the ventricle. Soon after retracting the glass micropipette, electric current was applied to the head of the embryo through two 1-cm diameter platinum electrodes, orienting the current to drive the negatively charged DNA into the dorsal telencephalon. Five 25 volt pulses of 50 ms duration at 1 second intervals were delivered using a CUY21EDIT square wave electroporator (Nepa Gene, Japan). Each injected embryo was gently returned to the abdominal cavity, and the next selected embryo was carefully withdrawn. No more than 6 embryos of either sex were injected per dam. The abdomen was sutured. Dams recovered on a warm heating pad, and once ambulatory were administered 250 µl of buprenorphine (0.015 mg/ml sterile PBS) before returning to their cages.

### Quantification of FPN/CPN

For P8 quantification of Cav1-expressing CPN/FPN, anatomically matched sections were selected (n = 4 WT), and Cav1 immunocytochemistry was performed. Digital boxes of fixed width indicated each of the four cortical regions, and the number of CPN/FPN and Cav1<sup>+</sup> CPN/FPN were counted. Percentages of FPN/CPN that express Cav1 in each region were calculated from total numbers. Error bars or " $\pm$ " indicate the standard error of the mean. Individuated neuronal somata were counted for each assignment; it was not possible to count all CPN or Cav1-expressing neurons in some densely packed microdomains due to high neuronal density.

For P8 quantification of CPN/FPN in Cav1-null mice, anatomically matched sections were selected (n = 6 WT, n = 6  $Cav1^{-/-}$ ). Digital boxes of fixed width indicated the S1 or S2 cortical

regions, and the number of CPN/FPN, and FPN were counted in S1 and S2. The percent of FPN with concurrent callosal projections was also calculated. No significant differences were detected between WT and  $CavI^{-/-}$ . Error bars or " $\pm$ " indicate the standard error of the mean. Data were analyzed by unpaired Student's t-test. Statistical analyses were performed in Prism (Graphpad Software, Inc.). A two-tailed post-hoc observed power was calculated from Cohen's d, the p-value, and N.

	Data	Type of Test	N(WT;	p-value	Observed	95% Confidence
	Structure		KO)		Power	Interval
Figure 6G(S1)	Gaussian	Unpaired t-test	(6; 6)	0.3585	0.4391	-9.642 - 24.31
Figure 6G(S2)	Gaussian	Unpaired t-test	(6; 6)	0.2831	0.4278	-21.05 - 64.72
Figure 6H(S1)	Gaussian	Unpaired t-test	(6; 6)	0.4056	0.4316	-111.2 - 253.2
Figure 6H(S2)	Gaussian	Unpaired t-test	(6; 6)	0.3004	0.4050	-18.72 - 54.72
Figure 6I(S1)	Gaussian	Unpaired t-test	(6; 6)	0.4529	0.4529	-0.3069 - 0.1476
Figure 6I(S2)	Gaussian	Unpaired t-test	(6; 6)	0.6214	0.6214	-0.1722 - 0.1081

#### Microscopy and image analysis

Whole mount images were acquired using an SMZ1000 fluorescence dissecting microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY) with a SPOT CCD digital camera (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI) and SPOT acquisition software.

Tissue sections were imaged on a Nikon E1000 microscope (Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY) equipped with an XCite 120 illuminator (EXFO, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and Q-imaging Retixa EX cooled CCD camera (Q-imaging Corp., Surrey, BC, Canada), or a Nikon 90i microscope using a 1.5 megapixel cooled CCD digital camera (Andor Technology, Dublin, Northern Ireland), a 5 megapixel color CCD digital camera (Nikon Instruments, Melville, NY). Images were collected and analyzed with Volocity image analysis software (Version 4.0.1; Improvision Inc., Waltham, MA) or Elements acquisition software (Nikon Instruments, Melville,

317	NY).
318	Laser confocal analysis was performed using a BioRad Radiance 2100 confocal microscope
319	with LaserSharp2000 imaging software (BioRad Laboratories, Hurcules, CA). Images were
320	processed using a combination of functions provided by ImageJ (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S.
321	National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/, 1997-2011.)
322	and Adobe Photoshop/ Illustrator software packages (Adobe, San Jose, CA).
323	
323	
324	Results:
325	Cav1 is expressed by a restricted population of CPN, and is excluded from CSMN
326	Cav1 is highly expressed by CPN relative to corticospinal motor neurons (CSMN) in the
327	developing neocortex, with a peak of expression between P3 and P6 (Molyneaux et al., 2009),
328	during the critical time when CPN are making axonal and dendritic connections. Cav1 is not
329	detected in CPN by P14. We more thoroughly investigated CAV1 expression by
330	immunocytochemistry, and similarly identified that CAV1 is indeed expressed by CPN in
331	cortical layer Va, and is excluded from subcerebral projection neurons (SCPN, of which CSMN
332	are a subpopulation) by combining CAV1 immunocytochemistry and dual retrograde labeling of
333	CPN (from the contralateral cortex) and SCPN (from the pons) ( <b>Figure 1A-C</b> ). The CAV1-
334	expressing population of CPN is clearly superficial to the SCPN (Figure 1C), and CAV1 is co-
335	expressed with SATB2 (Figure 1D), which is expressed by CPN and excluded from SCPN.
336	CAV1 expression is unchanged in Fezf2 loss-of-function neocortex, in which no subcerebral
337	neurons are specified (Molyneaux et al., 2005), confirming that CAV1 is excluded from CSMN
338	( <b>Figure 1E-F</b> ). The highly restricted expression pattern suggests that <i>Cav1</i> functions in a very

339	specific subpopulation of CPN, rather than playing a broad role in CPN development (Figures 1,
340	2).
341	Developmentally, Cav1 mRNA is not detected in pallial progenitors or the cortical plate at
342	E13.5, but it is detected in the caudo-lateral cortical plate by E15.5 ( <b>Figure 2A</b> ). Both <i>Cav1</i>
343	mRNA and CAV1 protein are detected in cortical neurons at E18.5 (in addition to developing
344	blood vessels), are highly expressed at P3 and P6, and are no longer detectable by P14 (Figure
345	<b>2B</b> , C). Cav1-expressing neurons are distributed uniquely in cortical layer Va, especially in
346	caudo-lateral areas. This pattern is not a developmental gradient, and is maintained specifically
347	at all developmental ages at which Cav1 is expressed (Figure 2).
348	Interestingly, CAV1 expression is restricted at P8 to a subpopulation of CPN extending in
349	layer Va throughout primary somatosensory cortex (S1), and expanding in the caudo-lateral
350	cortex (S2), and auditory cortex (A1) more caudally, but is mostly excluded from motor cortex
351	(M1). This exclusion is clear by comparison between CAV1 immunocytochemistry and LMO4,
352	which is highly expressed by CPN in motor cortex, but excluded from layer II/III CPN in
353	somatosensory cortex (Azim et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2009) (Figure 3A). This area-specific
354	expression further supports subpopulation-specific function of CAV1 in CPN. Because of the
355	strong expression of CAV1 at all developmental ages in layer Va, particularly in caudo-lateral
356	areas close to archicortex, where canonical cortical neuron subpopulations are not maintained,
357	we investigated whether CAV1-expressing neurons exclude CTIP2, the canonical postmitotic
358	SCPN control transcription factor highly expressed by specific SCPN layer V populations
359	(Arlotta et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2008). There is very little overlap between CAV1 and CTIP2 in
360	S1 (Figure 3B), though a small subset of CAV1-expressing neurons in far caudo-lateral S2
361	express CTIP2 ( <b>Figure 3C</b> ). Based on size and morphology, these CAV1+/CTIP2+ neurons

appear to be pyramidal projection neurons; however, they do not appear to project subcerebrally
as determined by the lack of overlap between CAV1 and retrogradely-labeled SCPN (Figure 1).
This quite unique population of non-SCPN, CTIP2 <sup>+</sup> neurons has not been extensively defined,
but they might be a subpopulation of neurons with a transient developmental spinal projection
that is later lost (Polleux et al., 2001; Arlotta et al., 2005).
To investigate more deeply what subpopulation(s) of CPN express CAV1, we examined
expression of CAV1 in comparison to lamina-restricted molecular markers (Figure 3D). CAV1 i
co-expressed with Bhlhb5, which is expressed in layers II-V, while CAV1 is expressed below the
layer IV barrel field, as indicated by 5-HT expression. Thus, CAV1 is expressed in layer V.
Further, to better delineate the population(s) and to enable optimal targeting of the population via
in utero electroporation in future experiments, we performed birthdating analysis with thymidine
analogs every 12 hours throughout corticogenesis. These experiments reveal that CAV1-
expressing neurons are born between E12.5 and E13.5, consistent with the dominant birthdate
ranges for neocortical neurons residing in layer V (Figure 3E). CAV1-expressing neurons in
caudo-lateral S2 cortex might be born a few hours earlier (peak at E12.5) than those of S1 layer
V (peak at E13.5).
CAV1 is expressed by over 80% of dual-projecting FPN/CPN
Development of CPN does not end when early progenitors are specified, but, rather, includes
acquisition of specific CPN subpopulation identities. Beyond the fact that CAV1 is highly
expressed by CPN compared to CSMN overall, it is further quite specifically expressed in a very
restricted pattern in neocortex. Because many uniquely projecting subpopulations of CPN reside

location of maximal CAV1 expression was reminiscent of the location of dual projecting neurons

in restricted cortical areas (in particular, within layer V) (Fame et al., 2011), and because the

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

in mouse neocortex (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005), we investigated potential CAV1 expression by forward projecting neurons from somatosensory cortex to frontal areas (FPN), backward projecting neurons (BPN), CPN with contralateral striatal projections (CStrPN<sub>i</sub>), and commissural neurons with anterior commissure projections (ACN) (**Figure 4A-D**). The pattern of CAV1 expression closely resembles the restricted location of dual projecting frontal/callosal projection neurons (FPN/CPN) (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005), and partially overlaps with the location of CStrPNi and ACN in the most lateral regions. Expression is highest at the time of axon and dendrite extension, and formation and stabilization of neuronal connections (P3) (Figure 2). The rostral location of BPN does not overlap with the CAV1 expression domain, indicating that CAV1 is not expressed by all dual projecting CPN populations; CAV1 expression is quite specific. Although some CStrPNi/ACN are located within the CAV1 expression domain laterally, the location of FPN/CPN closely overlaps with the CAV1 expression domain, suggesting that CAV1 might be specifically important for CPN/FPN development, connectivity, and/or function (Figure 4). To confirm more deeply at the individual neuron level whether CAV1 is expressed by the dual projecting FPN/CPN subpopulation, we retrogradely co-labeled callosal- and frontal-

dual projecting FPN/CPN subpopulation, we retrogradely co-labeled callosal- and frontal-projecting neurons by stereotaxic injection of the beta subunit of cholera toxin conjugated to two different Alexa fluorophores into the contralateral somatosensory cortex and the ipsilateral premotor cortex, respectively (**Figure 4E-F**). CAV1 overlaps with the entire domain of FPN, which also exist in other sensory modalities, including A1 (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005). We verified the proportion of CPN/FPN that express CAV1 in S1 and S2, since they represent the major population of CPN/FPN. We found that over 80% of dual projecting CPN/FPN are CAV1<sup>+</sup>, and only a small population of non-dual projecting cortical neurons expresses CAV1;

408	CAV1 expression is thus highly restricted to and quite specific for this dual projecting population
409	(Figure 4F). Though the membranous location of CAV1 protein and the incomplete label
410	generated by CTB injections preclude equivalent and reciprocal quantification of the percentage
411	of CAV1 <sup>+</sup> cortical neurons that are dual-projecting, the domains map closely to the single neuron
412	level.
413	CAV1 is localized to CPN cell bodies and dendrites, and expression is not dependent on
414	correct callosal connectivity
415	CAV1 is a membrane-bound scaffolding protein, so its subcellular distribution might provide
416	insight into its function(s) in specific neuronal subtypes. We investigated the subcellular
417	localization of CAV1 in P3 CPN, and found it to be highly localized around the soma, extending
418	throughout the apical dendrite and dendritic tuft (Figure 5A). The same subcellular localization
419	is observed at later stages of development (P7, data not shown). CAV1 is not highly detected in
420	axons. This suggests potential roles for CAV1 in migration and/or dendrite function, though
421	function at lower concentration in axons or growth cones is not excluded. Further, we generated
422	a Cav1-IRES-GFP over-expression construct, and exogenously over-expressed Cav1 in layer
423	II/III CPN of somatosensory cortex via in utero electroporation at E15.5. Superficial layer CPN,
424	which do not normally express Cav1, show a similar cellular distribution of CAV1 to that of
425	endogenous neurons, with strong localization around CPN somata and in apical dendrites at P6
426	(Figure 5B). This is in direct contrast to over-expressed GFP, which is evenly distributed
427	throughout the neuronal soma, dendritic arbor, and axons, in which it is readily detectable
428	(Figure 5C, B").
429	Since CAV1 is known to interact with striatins for correct signal transduction at synapses

(Gaillard et al., 2001), and with multiple neurotransmitter receptors (Boulware et al., 2007;

Takayasu et al., 2010), we considered that CAV1 expression might be regulated by neuronal
activity. However, based on the early neonatal expression of CAV1, we hypothesized that final
connectivity is not needed for CAV1 expression. To investigate whether CPN connectivity is
required for postnatal CAV1 expression, we investigated whether CAV1 expression is altered in
acallosal BTBR mice (Wahlsten et al., 2003). The BTBR mouse strain exhibits otherwise largely
normal cortical development, but no axons extend across the CC. Even though they perform
quite well on physical coordination tasks, they display behavioral abnormalities. Additionally,
these mice exhibit a reduced hippocampal commissure, accompanied by improper wiring
reflected by tangles of axons known as probst bundles. These experiments reveal that CAV1
expression is independent of correct callosal connectivity, and CAV1 is expressed normally in
P4 BTBR neocortex (Figure 5D). Taken together, these results indicate that, although CAV1 had
been previously shown to act at synapses (Gaillard et al., 2001), its expression by developing
CPN is not dependent on correct connectivity.
Cav1 function is not necessary for dual-projecting FPN/CPN to reach their axonal targets
Because CAV1 is expressed by the overwhelming majority of dual-projecting FPN/CPN at
P8, and most highly at P3, and even though it not enriched in axons, we investigated whether
Cav1 might be necessary for the correct maturation and/or maintenance of this specific
subpopulation of CPN. However, because of its subcellular localization to the somato-dendritic
compartments, we hypothesized that CAV1 would not be necessary for axonal guidance or
targeting. We first investigated whether axons of FPN/CPN initially reach their targets correctly
in the absence of Cav1 function, which would indicate that Cav1 is not necessary for axonal
extension, pathfinding, or establishment of CPN connectivity. We retrogradely labeled FPN/CPN
in both <i>Cav1</i> null mice and their wildtype (WT) littermates at P6, as described previously, and

examined them at P8 (Figure 6). At this relatively early time (P8), when CPN exuberance is most pronounced, there is no difference in the overall number of FPN/CPN between *Cav1* null and WT mice (Figure 6G), including within the secondary somatosensory cortical area (S2), where both CAV1 expression and the abundance of FPN/CPN change dramatically. Thus, as predicted by its subcellular localization, *Cav1* function is not required for CPN/FPN to extend dual-projecting axons to specific targets. Since CAV1 is localized around neuronal cell bodies and dendritic trees, but not substantially in axons, it is not surprising that FPN/CPN reach their targets at P8, further supporting somato-dendritic-specific function for *Cav1*.

CAV1 expression and localization in CPN/FPN during early neuronal maturation suggests subtype-specific function(s) during processes such as migration, neurite outgrowth, and branching. The previously known scaffolding roles of CAV1 at synapses, and its interactions with neurotransmitter receptors and Rac1 in other systems, suggest that CAV1 might influence neuronal activity through axonal/dendritic connectivity and/or function. Our results identify highly specific neocortical CAV1 expression in the dendritic compartment of dual projecting CPN/FPN, and further identifies both that CAV1 expression is not dependent on axonal connectivity, and that correct axonal targeting of CPN/FPN is independent of CAV1.

#### **Discussion:**

Identifying molecular markers and determinants of distinct subsets of callosal projection neurons (CPN) of the cerebral cortex will enable specific and rigorous investigation of distinct and unique subpopulations potentially critical for information integration and associative connectivity. CPN reside in cortical layers II/III, V, and VI, and all extend axons to homotopic

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

targets in the contralateral hemisphere. Some CPN subpopulations extend second (or more) axons to distinct targets including frontal or caudal ispsilateral neocortex, or even subcortically into ipsi- or contralateral striatum (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005; Cederquist et al., 2013; Sohur et al., 2014). Increasingly specific markers and determinants will also potentially enable in-depth functional analysis of these determinants themselves through development, to gain insight into their roles in establishing precise connectivity that endows specific CPN subpopulations with critical roles in neocortical information transfer, correlation, and integration.

Here, we identify that CAV1, a lipid raft scaffolding protein enriched in CPN over CSMN (Molyneaux et al., 2009), is expressed in a restricted fashion in the developing neocortex, and is expressed by over 80% of a unique, interesting, and likely functionally special CPN subpopulation – dual projecting CPN extending axons both contralaterally and to ipsilateral frontal areas (CPN/FPN). Comparative microarray analyses have identified molecular markers and determinants of CPN subpopulations, and subsequent evaluation of these and other data reveal molecular diversity within the broad population of CPN (Molyneaux et al., 2009; Fame et al., 2016a; Fame et al., 2016b). This diversity includes not only laminar and areal subpopulations, but also unique expression patterns that reflect subpopulations of CPN with distinct and sometimes multi-target axonal projections. CAV1 is a first studied example of such multi-target CPN identifiers; interestingly, it is localized to CPN cell bodies and dendrites, but is not detected in axons. The developmental temporal expression of Cav1 coincides with the intermediate time period of CPN development, including low-level expression during neuronal migration, and highest levels of expression from P3 to P6 in mice, when CPN are extending and refining axonal and dendritic processes. Together, these results suggest functions for Cav1 in post-mitotic establishment of innervation and/or pruning of connections.

CAV1 has a unique, areally-restricted expression pattern within CPN of layer Va; it is coexpressed with BHLHB5 in S1, but excluded from the LMO4-expressing M1. The reciprocal
expression of LMO4 and BHLHB5 in M1 and S1, respectfully, is important for establishing
these areal identities (Joshi et al., 2008b; Cederquist et al., 2013). Further, *Lmo4* loss-of-function
disrupts the development of dual-projecting CPN/BPN, whose cell bodies reside in the rostral
motor cortex, and which send axons callosally and caudally (Cederquist et al., 2013). Thus, it is
interesting to speculate that *Bhlhb5* loss-of-function might similarly disrupt development of the
CAV1+ dual projecting CPN/FPN.

Here, we further report that *Cav1* function is not necessary for specification and early development of dual projecting CPN/FPN, investigated by precise dual retrograde labeling approaches in *Cav1* null neocortex. These results are consistent with Cav1's later developmental timing of expression, and absence of subcellular localization to axons. Because these CPN/FPN projections continue to prune and establish precise connectivity until P21 (Mitchell and Macklis, 2005), future studies might employ the same or related dual labeling approaches progressively to or beyond P21 to investigate potential changes in axonal pruning that might result from potentially improper dendritic arborization, dendritic synaptogenesis, and/or axonal target-finding. If there is a change in the number of CPN/FPN in *Cav1* null mice at P21, comparison between the number of CPN/FPN detected with retrograde labeling at P21 to the number detected at P21 from retrograde labeling performed at P8, for example, would be able to distinguish between axonal pruning of one or both projections, versus loss of these neurons altogether.

Because of CAV1's known interaction with neurotransmitter receptors (Lai et al., 2004; Boulware et al., 2007; Takayasu et al., 2010), dendritic spine signaling scaffolds (Gaillard et al.,

2001), and synaptosome components (Bilderback et al., 1997; Bilderback et al., 1999; Braun and
Madison, 2000) function(s) of CAV1 in CPN/FPN might only be elucidated optimally through
electrophysiological functional analysis of these neurons lacking their endogenous Cav1 function
in in vivo circuits. We find that Cav1 expression is not dependent on correct CPN connectivity,
by investigating CAV1 expression in the acallosal BTBR and Fezf2-/- mouse lines. However,
Cav1 might still be critical for CPN/FPN neuronal activity, since neuronal activity is often
tightly tied to axonal and dendritic connectivity (Wang et al., 2007; Cubelos et al., 2010;
Rodriguez-Tornos et al., 2016), especially establishment and maintenance. Studies examining
P21 CPN/FPN axonal and dendritic morphologies with loss- or gain-of-function of Cav1 might
reveal CAV1 function(s) in CPN/FPN activity.
We also identify that CAV1 is highly concentrated in cell bodies and apical dendrites of
CPN/FPN. Interestingly, CAV1 is also localized to the cell bodies and dendrites of a
subpopulation of pyramidal cortical neurons in primates (Fame et al., 2016a), even though older
studies suggest that CPN/FPN might not be maintained in primates, but, rather, might be pruned
(Schwartz and Goldman-Rakic, 1982, 1984; Andersen et al., 1985; Johnson et al., 1989;
Meissirel et al., 1991). Regardless of whether there are large or relatively rare primate CPN/FPN
subpopulations, some unique properties of this subpopulation are likely conserved between mice
and primates. Because of the identified conserved and specific subcellular localization of CAV1,
future work might examine dendritic development and maintenance in Cav1 null CPN/FPN in
comparison to wildtype. Together, these studies could provide important insight into the
development of this unique dual projecting population of CPN that likely function in a variety of
complex and critical processes, integrating cortical information between hemispheres and from

primary sensorimotor areas to premotor cortices.

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

Future studies could investigate whether CAV1 directly interacts in CPN with a subset of previously identified CAV1 binding partners (particularly those shown to act in neuronalrelevant processes), and whether CAV1 functions in the development of defined subpopulations of CPN through these interactions, CAV1 is known to have a number of protein interactors from studies in other systems. One particularly compelling potential interacting protein for first analysis in CPN/FPN is Rac1, given that it has been shown in other systems to play roles in important neuronal functions relating to cytoskeletal dynamics and focal adhesion, such as neurite growth, adhesion, and migration (Beardsley et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2006; Joshi et al., 2008a). In particular, Rac1 is required for midline crossing of CPN (Chen et al., 2007; Kassai et al., 2008), and Rac1, recruited by CDKL5, can regulate neuronal migration and dendritic arborization of some CPN (Chen et al., 2010). Identification of neocortical binding partners of CAV1 could provide valuable insight into mechanisms of CPN subtype development / refinement through complex networks of discrete protein-protein interactions, with potential implications for subtypes of autism spectrum disorders (ASD) and/or schizophrenia. The emerging "cortical connectivity/ synaptogenic hypothesis" of ASD suggests that such change(s) caused by Cav1 dysfunction might contribute to ASD and related behavioral phenotypes.

Interestingly, human *Cav1* is located at 7q31.1, part of autism-linked locus 9 (Auts9), immediately upstream of *MET*, which shows direct pre-transcription start-site mutations associated with ASD (Campbell et al., 2006). It might be that *Cav1* is also directly relevant in ASD, and might potentially contribute to some of the Auts9 linkage to the disorders, perhaps via CPN. *Cav1* is also close genomically to *Foxp2* in Auts9, which was initially suspected by some to underlie ASD language defects, but was later shown to not be causal of the Auts9 ASD linkage (Newbury et al., 2002). Other potential gene linkages in this locus (NRCAM and ST7)

are relatively weak, indicating that the linkage must be accounted for, at least in part, by other
Auts9 genes, potentially partially by Cav1. CAV1 has also been implicated as a potential target
for schizophrenia therapy due to its interaction with DISC1, and its ability to modulate DISC1
expression in neurons (Kassan et al., 2017).
The data we present here are, to our knowledge, the first identification of a potential
molecular control over a unique and specialized dual projecting subpopulation of CPN,
CPN/FPN. While CAV1 might likely function as a specific developmental and/or functional
regulator in CPN/FPN, its specificity within this population also immediately provides a
molecular marker. This will allow future studies to identify, genetically target, and/or purify this
population to investigate and potentially discover additional potential upstream controls over
CPN/FPN development. The defining properties of this CPN/FPN subpopulation, likely critical
for "feed forward" information integration, are yet to be well understood, but analysis of Cav1
expression and function identifies and characterizes a first molecular marker and determinant of
this unique associative and integrative neocortical projection neuron population.
References:

Aboitiz F, Montiel J (2003) One hundred million years of interhemispheric communication: the

history of the corpus callosum. Braz J Med Biol Res 36:409-420.

587	Alcamo EA, Chirivella L, Dautzenberg M, Dobreva G, Farinas I, Grosschedl R, McConnell SK
588	(2008) Satb2 regulates callosal projection neuron identity in the developing cerebral
589	cortex. Neuron 57:364-377.
590	Andersen RA, Asanuma C, Cowan WM (1985) Callosal and prefrontal associational projecting
591	cell populations in area 7A of the macaque monkey: a study using retrogradely
592	transported fluorescent dyes. J Comp Neurol 232:443-455.
593	Arlotta P, Molyneaux BJ, Chen J, Inoue J, Kominami R, Macklis JD (2005) Neuronal subtype-
594	specific genes that control corticospinal motor neuron development in vivo. Neuron
595	45:207-221.
596	Azim E, Shnider SJ, Cederquist GY, Sohur US, Macklis JD (2009) Lmo4 and Clim1
597	progressively delineate cortical projection neuron subtypes during development. Cereb
598	Cortex 19 Suppl 1:i62-69.
599	Beardsley A, Fang K, Mertz H, Castranova V, Friend S, Liu J (2005) Loss of caveolin-1 polarity
600	impedes endothelial cell polarization and directional movement. J Biol Chem 280:3541-
601	3547.
602	Benavides-Piccione R, Hamzei-Sichani F, Ballesteros-Yanez I, DeFelipe J, Yuste R (2006)
603	Dendritic size of pyramidal neurons differs among mouse cortical regions. Cereb Cortex
604	16:990-1001.
605	Benoist M, Gaillard S, Castets F (2006) The striatin family: A new signaling platform in
606	dendritic spines. Journal of Physiology-Paris.
607	Bilderback TR, Grigsby RJ, Dobrowsky RT (1997) Association of p75(NTR) with caveolin and
608	localization of neurotrophin-induced sphingomyelin hydrolysis to caveolae. J Biol Chem
609	272:10922-10927.

610	Bilderback TR, Gazula VR, Lisanti MP, Dobrowsky RT (1999) Caveolin interacts with Trk A
611	and p75(NTR) and regulates neurotrophin signaling pathways. J Biol Chem 274:257-263
612	Boulware MI, Kordasiewicz H, Mermelstein PG (2007) Caveolin proteins are essential for
613	distinct effects of membrane estrogen receptors in neurons. J Neurosci 27:9941-9950.
614	Braun JE, Madison DV (2000) A novel SNAP25-caveolin complex correlates with the onset of
615	persistent synaptic potentiation. J Neurosci 20:5997-6006.
616	Britanova O, de Juan Romero C, Cheung A, Kwan KY, Schwark M, Gyorgy A, Vogel T,
617	Akopov S, Mitkovski M, Agoston D, Sestan N, Molnar Z, Tarabykin V (2008) Satb2 is a
618	postmitotic determinant for upper-layer neuron specification in the neocortex. Neuron
619	57:378-392.
620	Campbell DB, Sutcliffe JS, Ebert PJ, Militerni R, Bravaccio C, Trillo S, Elia M, Schneider C,
621	Melmed R, Sacco R, Persico AM, Levitt P (2006) A genetic variant that disrupts MET
622	transcription is associated with autism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:16834-16839.
623	Catapano LA, Arnold MW, Perez FA, Macklis JD (2001) Specific neurotrophic factors support
624	the survival of cortical projection neurons at distinct stages of development. J Neurosci
625	21:8863-8872.
626	Cederquist GY, Azim E, Shnider SJ, Padmanabhan H, Macklis JD (2013) Lmo4 establishes
627	rostral motor cortex projection neuron subtype diversity. J Neurosci 33:6321-6332.
628	Chen B, Wang SS, Hattox AM, Rayburn H, Nelson SB, McConnell SK (2008) The Fezf2-Ctip2
629	genetic pathway regulates the fate choice of subcortical projection neurons in the
630	developing cerebral cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 105:11382-11387.

631	Chen L, Liao G, Waclaw RR, Burns KA, Linquist D, Campbell K, Zheng Y, Kuan CY (2007)
632	Rac1 controls the formation of midline commissures and the competency of tangential
633	migration in ventral telencephalic neurons. J Neurosci 27:3884-3893.
634	Chen Q, Zhu YC, Yu J, Miao S, Zheng J, Xu L, Zhou Y, Li D, Zhang C, Tao J, Xiong ZQ (2010)
635	CDKL5, a protein associated with rett syndrome, regulates neuronal morphogenesis via
636	Rac1 signaling. J Neurosci 30:12777-12786.
637	Cubelos B, Sebastian-Serrano A, Beccari L, Calcagnotto ME, Cisneros E, Kim S, Dopazo A,
638	Alvarez-Dolado M, Redondo JM, Bovolenta P, Walsh CA, Nieto M (2010) Cux1 and
639	Cux2 regulate dendritic branching, spine morphology, and synapses of the upper layer
640	neurons of the cortex. Neuron 66:523-535.
641	Fame RM, MacDonald JL, Macklis JD (2011) Development, specification, and diversity of
642	callosal projection neurons. Trends Neurosci 34:41-50.
643	Fame RM, Dehay C, Kennedy H, Macklis JD (2016a) Subtype-Specific Genes that Characterize
644	Subpopulations of Callosal Projection Neurons in Mouse Identify Molecularly
645	Homologous Populations in Macaque Cortex. Cereb Cortex.
646	Fame RM, MacDonald JL, Dunwoodie SL, Takahashi E, Macklis JD (2016b) Cited2 Regulates
647	Neocortical Layer II/III Generation and Somatosensory Callosal Projection Neuron
648	Development and Connectivity. J Neurosci 36:6403-6419.
649	Gaillard S, Bartoli M, Castets F, Monaghan AP (2001) Striatin, a calmodulin-dependent
650	scaffolding protein, directly binds caveolin-1. FEBS Letters.
651	Greig LC, Woodworth MB, Galazo MJ, Padmanabhan H, Macklis JD (2013) Molecular logic of
652	neocortical projection neuron specification, development and diversity. Nat Rev Neurosci
653	14:755-769.

654	Head BP, Insel PA (2007) Do caveolins regulate cells by actions outside of caveolae? Trends
655	Cell Biol 17:51-57.
656	Hirata T, Suda Y, Nakao K, Narimatsu M, Hirano T, Hibi M (2004) Zinc finger gene fez-like
657	functions in the formation of subplate neurons and thalamocortical axons. Dev Dyn
658	230:546-556.
659	Huang Z, Kawase-Koga Y, Zhang S, Visvader J, Toth M, Walsh CA, Sun T (2009) Transcription
660	factor Lmo4 defines the shape of functional areas in developing cortices and regulates
661	sensorimotor control. Dev Biol 327:132-142.
662	Ivy GO, Killackey HP (1981) The ontogeny of the distribution of callosal projection neurons in
663	the rat parietal cortex. J Comp Neurol 195:367-389.
664	Johnson PB, Angelucci A, Ziparo RM, Minciacchi D, Bentivoglio M, Caminiti R (1989)
665	Segregation and overlap of callosal and association neurons in frontal and parietal
666	cortices of primates: a spectral and coherency analysis. J Neurosci 9:2313-2326.
667	Joshi B, Strugnell SS, Goetz JG, Kojic LD, Cox ME, Griffith OL, Chan SK, Jones SJ, Leung SP,
668	Masoudi H, Leung S, Wiseman SM, Nabi IR (2008a) Phosphorylated caveolin-1
669	regulates Rho/ROCK-dependent focal adhesion dynamics and tumor cell migration and
670	invasion. Cancer Res 68:8210-8220.
671	Joshi PS, Molyneaux BJ, Feng L, Xie X, Macklis JD, Gan L (2008b) Bhlhb5 regulates the
672	postmitotic acquisition of area identities in layers II-V of the developing neocortex.
673	Neuron 60:258-272.
674	Kachi S, Yamazaki A, Usukura J (2001) Localization of caveolin-1 in photoreceptor synaptic
675	ribbons. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 42:850-852.

676	Kang MJ, Seo JS, Park WY (2006) Caveolin-1 inhibits neurite growth by blocking Rac1/Cdc42
677	and p21-activated kinase 1 interactions. Neuroreport 17:823-827.
678	Kassai H, Terashima T, Fukaya M, Nakao K, Sakahara M, Watanabe M, Aiba A (2008) Rac1 in
679	cortical projection neurons is selectively required for midline crossing of commissural
680	axonal formation. European Journal of Neuroscience 28:257-267.
681	Kassan A, Egawa J, Zhang Z, Almenar-Queralt A, Nguyen QM, Lajevardi Y, Kim K, Posadas E,
682	Jeste DV, Roth DM, Patel PM, Patel HH, Head BP (2017) Caveolin-1 regulation of
683	disrupted-in-schizophrenia-1 as a potential therapeutic target for schizophrenia. J
684	Neurophysiol 117:436-444.
685	Lai H, Boone T, Yang G, Smith C, Kiss S, al. e (2004) Loss of caveolin-1 expression is
686	associated with disruption of muscarinic cholinergic activities in Neurochemistry
687	International.
688	Li Y, Luo J, Lau WM, Zheng G, Fu S, Wang TT, Zeng HP, So KF, Chung SK, Tong Y, Liu K,
689	Shen J (2011) Caveolin-1 plays a crucial role in inhibiting neuronal differentiation of
690	neural stem/progenitor cells via VEGF signaling-dependent pathway. PLoS One
691	6:e22901.
692	MacDonald JL, Fame, R.M., Azim, E., Shnider, S.J., Molyneaux, B.J., Arlotta, P., and Macklis,
693	J.D. (2013) Specification of Cortical Projection Neurons: Transcriptional Mechanisms.
694	In: Comprehensive Developmental Neuroscience: Patterning and Cell Type Specification
695	in the Developing CNS and PNS (Rubenstein JLaR, P, ed), pp 475-502: Elsevier.
696	Maggi D, Biedi C, Segat D, Barbero D, Panetta D, al. e (2002) IGF-I induces caveolin 1 tyrosine
697	phosphorylation and translocation in the lipid rafts. Biochemical and Biophysical
698	Research Communications

699	Marin R, Díaz M, Alonso R, Sanz A, Arévalo MA, Garcia-Segura LM (2009) Role of estrogen
700	receptor $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in membrane-initiated signaling in neural cells: Interaction with IGF-1
701	receptor☆. The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 114:2-7.
702	Meissirel C, Dehay C, Berland M, Kennedy H (1991) Segregation of callosal and association
703	pathways during development in the visual cortex of the primate. J Neurosci 11:3297-
704	3316.
705	Mitchell BD, Macklis JD (2005) Large-scale maintenance of dual projections by callosal and
706	frontal cortical projection neurons in adult mice. J Comp Neurol 482:17-32.
707	Molyneaux BJ, Arlotta P, Hirata T, Hibi M, Macklis JD (2005) Fezl is required for the birth and
708	specification of corticospinal motor neurons. Neuron 47:817-831.
709	Molyneaux BJ, Arlotta P, Fame RM, MacDonald JL, MacQuarrie KL, Macklis JD (2009) Novel
710	Subtype-specific Genes Identify Distinct Subpopulations of Callosal Projection Neurons.
711	J Neurosci 29.
712	Newbury DF, Bonora E, Lamb JA, Fisher SE, Lai CS, Baird G, Jannoun L, Slonims V, Stott
713	CM, Merricks MJ, Bolton PF, Bailey AJ, Monaco AP, International Molecular Genetic
714	Study of Autism C (2002) FOXP2 is not a major susceptibility gene for autism or specific
715	language impairment. Am J Hum Genet 70:1318-1327.
716	Otsuka T, Kawaguchi Y (2011) Cell diversity and connection specificity between callosal
717	projection neurons in the frontal cortex. J Neurosci 31:3862-3870.
718	Ozdinler PH, Macklis JD (2006) IGF-I specifically enhances axon outgrowth of corticospinal
719	motor neurons. Nat Neurosci 9:1371-1381.

720	Polleux F, Dehay C, Goffinet A, Kennedy H (2001) Pre- and post-mitotic events contribute to
721	the progressive acquisition of area-specific connectional fate in the neocortex. Cereb
722	Cortex 11:1027-1039.
723	Razani B, Engelman JA, Wang XB, Schubert W, Zhang XL, Marks CB, Macaluso F, Russell
724	RG, Li M, Pestell RG, Di Vizio D, Hou H, Kneitz B, Lagaud G, Christ GJ, Edelmann W,
725	Lisanti MP (2001) Caveolin-1 null mice are viable but show evidence of
726	hyperproliferative and vascular abnormalities. J Biol Chem 276:38121-38138.
727	Rodriguez-Tornos FM, Briz CG, Weiss LA, Sebastian-Serrano A, Ares S, Navarrete M, Frangeul
728	L, Galazo M, Jabaudon D, Esteban JA, Nieto M (2016) Cux1 Enables Interhemispheric
729	Connections of Layer II/III Neurons by Regulating Kv1-Dependent Firing. Neuron
730	89:494-506.
731	Saito T (2006) In vivo electroporation in the embryonic mouse central nervous system. Nat
732	Protoc 1:1552-1558.
733	Saito T, Nakatsuji N (2001) Efficient gene transfer into the embryonic mouse brain using in vivo
734	electroporation. Dev Biol 240:237-246.
735	Schubert W, Frank PG, Woodman SE, Hyogo H, Cohen DE, Chow C-W, Lisanti MP (2002)
736	Microvascular hyperpermeability in caveolin-1 (-/-) knock-out mice. Treatment with a
737	specific nitric-oxide synthase inhibitor, L-NAME, restores normal microvascular
738	permeability in Cav-1 null mice. J Biol Chem 277:40091-40098.
739	Schwartz ML, Goldman-Rakic PS (1982) Single cortical neurones have axon collaterals to
740	ipsilateral and contralateral cortex in fetal and adult primates. Nature 299:154-155.

741	Schwartz ML, Goldman-Rakic PS (1984) Callosal and intrahemispheric connectivity of the
742	prefrontal association cortex in rhesus monkey: relation between intraparietal and
743	principal sulcal cortex. J Comp Neurol 226:403-420.
744	Silver J, Lorenz SE, Wahlsten D, Coughlin J (1982) Axonal guidance during development of the
745	great cerebral commissures: descriptive and experimental studies, in vivo, on the role of
746	preformed glial pathways. J Comp Neurol 210:10-29.
747	Sohur US, Padmanabhan HK, Kotchetkov IS, Menezes JR, Macklis JD (2014) Anatomic and
748	molecular development of corticostriatal projection neurons in mice. Cereb Cortex
749	24:293-303.
750	Takayasu Y, Takeuchi K, Kumari R, Bennett MV, Zukin RS, Francesconi A (2010) Caveolin-1
751	knockout mice exhibit impaired induction of mGluR-dependent long-term depression at
752	CA3-CA1 synapses. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107:21778-21783.
753	Trushina E, Ducharme J, Parisi J, Mcmurray C (2006) Neurological abnormalities in caveolin-1
754	knock out mice. Behav Brain Res 172:24-32.
755	Vega CJ, Peterson DA (2005) Stem cell proliferative history in tissue revealed by temporal
756	halogenated thymidine analog discrimination. Nat Methods 2:167-169.
757	Wahlsten D, Metten P, Crabbe JC (2003) Survey of 21 inbred mouse strains in two laboratories
758	reveals that BTBR T/+ tf/tf has severely reduced hippocampal commissure and absent
759	corpus callosum. Brain Res 971:47-54.
760	Wang C, Zhang L, Zhou Y, Zhou J, Yang X, Duan S, Xiong Z, Ding Y (2007) Activity-
761	Dependent Development of Callosal Projections in the Somatosensory Cortex. Journal of
762	Neuroscience 27:11334-11342.

763	Woodworth MB, Greig LC, Liu KX, Ippolito GC, Tucker HO, Macklis JD (2016) Ctip1
764	Regulates the Balance between Specification of Distinct Projection Neuron Subtypes in
765	Deep Cortical Layers. Cell Rep 15:999-1012.
766	Zhao YL, Song JN, Zhang M (2014) Role of caveolin-1 in the biology of the blood-brain barrier
767	Rev Neurosci 25:247-254.
768	
769	
770	
771	
772	
773	
774	
775	
776	
777	
778	
779	

**Figure Legends** 

782	Figure 1: Caveolin1 is expressed by CPN and excluded from SCPN at postnatal day 3
783	(A-B) Retrograde labeling was performed at postnatal day (P1) 1 by injecting CTB-647 into the
784	contralateral hemisphere to label callosal projection neurons (A; CPN), and CTB-555 into the
785	pons to label subcerebral projection neurons (B; SCPN). Example images of retrogradely-labeled
786	brains are shown at P3, including wholemount images of the injection sites (dorsal view for
787	CPN, ventral view for SCPN) and coronal sections showing the laminar distribution of the
788	labeled neurons. (C-C') CAV1 (green) immunocytochemistry at P3 reveals that CAV1 is
789	expressed in layer V, with a caudo-lateral distribution similar to SCPN (red). However, CAV1
790	(green) colocalizes with retrogradely labeled CPN (blue) in layer Va, and it is excluded from
791	SCPN (red) in layer Vb. The box in C indicates the region of higher magnification images in C'.
792	(D) CAV1 expression (green) overlaps with the CPN developmental control SATB2 (red),
793	reinforcing that CAV1 is expressed by CPN. (E-F) CAV1 expression is not altered in Fezf2 null
794	cortex (F), which is developmentally devoid of SCPN, reinforcing that CAV1 is not expressed by
795	SCPN. Scale bars: (C) 250 μm, (C') 100μm, (D) 250 μm, (E,F) 500μm; P, postnatal day; IC,
796	internal capsule; Th, thalamus; OB, olfactory bulb; Po, pons; Crb, cerebellum; roman numerals
797	indicate cortical layer.
798	
799	
800	Figure 2: Caveolin1 is expressed in caudo-lateral cortex during mid-stage cortical
801	development.
802	(A) Cav1 mRNA is not detected in pallial progenitors or cortical plate at E13.5, but is
803	detected in the caudal cortical hem. By E15.5, Cav1 is expressed in the caudo-lateral

cortical plate. (B) To determine whether *Cav1* expression follows a developmental gradient, we examined expression at later embryonic stages through postnatal development. *Cav1* mRNA is expressed by a restricted population of layer Va cells (presumptive CPN) in the caudo-lateral cortex from late embryonic stages (E18.5) through early postnatal development (P3, P6), with expression reduced in the cortex by P14. (C) CAV1 protein is detected in a similar pattern to *Cav1* mRNA throughout development. CAV1 is expressed by CPN within the same caudo-lateral layer Va region throughout late embryonic and early postnatal development, suggesting that it is not following a developmental gradient. Scale bar: 1mm; E embryonic day; P, postnatal day.

#### Figure 3 CAV1 is expressed by layer Va CPN in an areally restricted fashion

(A-A') Expression of CAV1 is areally restricted; CAV1 (green) is highly expressed by CPN (red; CTB-555 retrograde label) throughout primary and secondary somatosensory cortex (S1 and S2). CAV1 expression is not readily detected in CPN within motor cortex (M1), as delineated by layer II/III expression of LMO4 (blue). A', inset from A. Dashed line indicates M1 – S1 boundary and cortical lamina. (B) In S1, CAV1 (green) is largely excluded from the CTIP2 (red) expression domain (arrowheads) indicating SCPN, with only a small subpopulation of CTIP2+ve neurons extending into the CAV1 expression domain (arrow). (C) In S2, the boundary between CAV1 (green) and CTIP2 (red) is not as clearly defined, with more CTIP2+ve neurons interspersed with CAV1 (arrows). Regions of higher magnification insets are indicated in B" and C". (D) At P6, Cav1 is expressed in layer V, within the Satb2 and Bhlhb5-expressing domain, and below the serotonin-expressing barrel cortex in layer IV. Regions of higher magnification

insets are indicated in D' and D". (E) CAV1-expressing neurons are born between days E12.5 and E13.5 in both S1 and S2. deoxyuridine analogs (CldU or IdU) were injected at 12 hour intervals throughout corticogenesis, and immunocytochemistry for BrdU (red) and CAV1 (green) was performed at P3, revealing that CAV1-expressing neocortical neurons, both in S1(somatosensory layer V) and S2 (caudolateral expansion) are born between E12.3 and E13.5. (A') 500  $\mu$ m, (B-E) 100 $\mu$ m.

# Figure 4: CAV1 is expressed by restricted subpopulations of neocortical neurons at P8,

## 836 predominantly dual projecting CPN/FPN

Schematic representation of diverse populations of neocortical projection neurons with CPN projecting subsets, including CPN (green), CPN with a dual ipsilateral forward projection (CPN/FPN; red), CPN with a dual ipsilateral backward projection (CPN/BPN; magenta), intratelencephalic corticostriatal projection neurons (CStrPNi; blue), and anterior commissure projection neurons (ACN; yellow). (A-D) Retrograde labeling was performed for CPN (A; green), FPN (B; red), BPN (C; red), and CStrPNi/ ACN (D; red). Both CPN and FPN are located entirely within the CAV1 expression domain, as are a subset of the mixed population of CStrPNi and ACN laterally (in S2). BPN are restricted to the rostro-medial cortex, outside the CAV1 expression domain. (E) To identify dual-projecting CPN/FPN, we simultaneously injected CTB-647 into the ipsilateral premotor cortex and CTB-555 into the contralateral somatosensory cortex, as indicated by the schematic in (E) and wholemount image in (E'). (E'') FPN are isolated predominantly in layer V, with some in VIb (subplate) in largely lateral cortical locations, with caudo-lateral S2 expansion. CPN are located in layers II/III, V, and VI. Dual projecting CPN/FPN are located in layer Va in caudo-lateral cortex. (F) CAV1 is expressed by

over 80% of dual projecting CPN/FPN. Dual projecting CPN/FPN were labeled as shown in (E)
and the percentage of dual projecting CPN/FPN that express CAV1 was calculated for four
medio-lateral regions, listed $+/-$ standard error of the mean (N = 4 brains). Scale bars: (A, B, C,
D) 500 μm, (A'-D', A"-D") 100 μm, (E") 1mm; (F) 1mm, (F inset) 100 μm.
Figure 5: CAV1 is localized to neuronal cell bodies and dendrites at postnatal ages
(A) CAV1 is detected within distinct layer Va neuronal cell bodies in S1 (arrowheads in A'),
extending throughout their apical dendrites (asterisks in A") and their dendritic arborizations at
the pial surface (arrows in A"). ( <b>B-C</b> ) Exogenously expressing <i>Cav1</i> in superficial layer neurons
of S1 by in utero electroporation at E15.5 does not disrupt migration or laminar location
compared to a GFP-only control (C). (B'-C') Exogenous over-expression of Cav1 results in
CAV1 (red) protein localization that is similar to that of the endogenous protein (A), with CAV
localized to the cell bodies and dendritic compartments of the neuronal plasma membrane of
superficial layer neurons at P6. Unlike GFP (green), CAV1 is not detected throughout the soma
and nucleus, or distributed throughout neuronal processes (dendrites and axons). (B") Higher
magnification of B', comparing expression of CAV1 and GFP in soma (arrowheads), apical
dendrites (asterisks), and dendritic tufts (arrows). (D) Correct CAV1 (green) expression is not
dependent on formation of the corpus callosum at P4. Acallosal BTBR mice express CAV1 at
comparable levels, and in an indistinguishable pattern, to their closely related callosal LPJ strain
indicating that CAV1 expression is not dependent on correct CPN connectivity. Scale bar: (A-
A") 20 μm, (B,C) 250 μm, (B',C') 50 μm (D) 1mm.

Figure 6: Loss of Cav1 function does not disrupt formation of dual projecting CPN/FPN
axonal projections at P8.
(A-F) CPN (green) were retrogradely labeled from contralateral somatosensory cortex, and FPN
(red) from ipsilateral premotor cortex in <i>Cav1</i> null mice (D-F; N = 5) and WT littermates (A-C;
N = 5). (A, D) CPN, (B, E) FPN, and (C,F) CPN/FPN form in the absence of <i>Cav1</i> function. (G
H) The number of dual projecting CPN/FPN (G) and total FPN (H) were counted for 2 medio-
lateral regions (S1 and S2). There is no significant difference between the two genotypes ( $N = 5$
WT and $N = 5$ Cav1 nulls). (I) The percentage of total labeled FPN that have a dual callosal
projection was also calculated for 2 medio-lateral regions (S1 and S2). There is no significant
difference between the two genotypes (N = 5 WT and 5 $Cav1$ null). Error bars denote standard
error of the mean. CPN, callosal projection neurons; FPN, ipsilateral frontal projection neurons;
WT, wildtype; P, postnatal day. Scale bars: (A-F) 500 $\mu$ m, (A'-F', A"-F") 100 $\mu$ m.











