

---

**Research Article: New Research | Disorders of the Nervous System**

## Efficient Remyelination Requires DNA Methylation

DNA methylation in remyelination

Sarah Moyon<sup>1,\*</sup>, Dan Ma<sup>4,\*</sup>, Jimmy L. Huynh<sup>1,2</sup>, David J.C. Coutts<sup>4</sup>, Chao Zhao<sup>4</sup>, Patrizia Casaccia<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Robin J. M. Franklin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

<sup>3</sup>Neuroscience Initiative Advanced Science Research Center, CUNY, 85 St Nicholas Terrace, New York, NY 10031

<sup>4</sup>Wellcome Trust-Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute and Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 0AH, UK

DOI: 10.1523/ENEURO.0336-16.2017

Received: 7 November 2016

Revised: 28 January 2017

Accepted: 31 January 2017

Published: 20 March 2017

---

**Author contributions:** PC and RJMF designed the research; DM, SM, JLH, DJJC and CZ performed the research; DM, SM, CZ, PC and RJMF analyzed the data; SM wrote the paper with input from other authors.

**Funding:** NIH  
NIH-R37NS42925-14

**Funding:** NIH  
NIH-F31NS077504

**Funding:** UK Multiple Sclerosis Society

**Funding:** Paralyzed Veterans of America Research Foundation (PVA Research Foundation)  
100001810  
3061

**Funding:** National Multiple Sclerosis Society (National MS Society)  
100000890  
FG-1507-04996

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

NIH [NIH-R37NS42925-14]; UK Multiple Sclerosis Society

S.M. and D.M. are Co-first authors

**Correspondence should be addressed to** either Sarah Moyon, Email: [sarah.moyon@mssm.edu](mailto:sarah.moyon@mssm.edu) or Robin J. M. Franklin, [rjf1000@cam.ac.uk](mailto:rjf1000@cam.ac.uk)

**Cite as:** eNeuro 2017; 10.1523/ENEURO.0336-16.2017

**Alerts:** Sign up at [eneuro.org/alerts](http://eneuro.org/alerts) to receive customized email alerts when the fully formatted version of this article is published.

Accepted manuscripts are peer-reviewed but have not been through the copyediting, formatting, or proofreading process.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

1 **Efficient remyelination requires DNA methylation**

2 *Abbreviated title:* DNA methylation in remyelination

3

4 Sarah Moyon<sup>1,\*,#</sup>, Dan Ma<sup>4,\*</sup>, Jimmy L. Huynh<sup>1,2</sup>, David J.C. Coutts<sup>4</sup>, Chao Zhao<sup>4</sup>, Patrizia  
5 Casaccia<sup>1,2,3</sup> and Robin J. M. Franklin<sup>4,#</sup>

6

7 <sup>1</sup>Department of Neuroscience

8 <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics and Genomic Sciences

9 Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY 10029, USA

10 <sup>3</sup>Neuroscience Initiative Advanced Science Research Center, CUNY, 85 St Nicholas Terrace,  
11 New York, NY 10031

12 <sup>4</sup>Wellcome Trust-Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute and Department of  
13 Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0AH, UK

14 \* Co-first authors

15 **# Corresponding authors:** sarah.moyon@mssm.edu, rjf1000@cam.ac.uk

16

17 **Author contributions**

18 PC and RJMF designed the research; DM, SM, JLH, DJJC and CZ performed the research;  
19 DM, SM, CZ, PC and RJMF analyzed the data; SM wrote the paper with input from other  
20 authors.

21

22 **Number of figures:** 5

23 **Number of words:** Abstract (225), Significant statement (95), Introduction (423), Discussion  
24 (880)

25

26 **Acknowledgements**

27 This work was supported by NIH-R37NS42925-14 to PC, NIH-F31NS077504 Fellowship to JLH,  
28 postdoctoral fellowships from the Paralyzed Veterans of America (3061) and National Multiple  
29 Sclerosis Society (FG-1507-04996) to SM, a programme grant from the UK Multiple Sclerosis  
30 Society (RJMF, CZ) and a core support grant from the Wellcome Trust and MRC to the  
31 Wellcome Trust – Medical Research Council Cambridge Stem Cell Institute (RF).

32

33 **Conflict of Interest**

34 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

35 **Abstract**

36 Oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPC) are the principal source of new myelin in the  
37 central nervous system and a better understanding of how they mature into myelin-forming cells  
38 is of high relevance for remyelination. It has recently been demonstrated that during  
39 developmental myelination, the DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), but not DNMT3A, is critical  
40 for regulating proliferation and differentiation of OPC into myelinating oligodendrocytes (OL).  
41 However, it remains to be determined whether DNA methylation is also critical for the  
42 differentiation of adult OPC during remyelination. After lysolecithin-induced demyelination in the  
43 ventrolateral spinal cord white matter of adult mice of either sex, we detected increased levels of  
44 DNA methylation and higher expression levels of the DNA methyltransferase DNMT3A and  
45 lower levels of DNMT1 in differentiating adult OL. To functionally assess the role of DNMT1 and  
46 DNMT3 in adult OPC, we used mice with inducible and lineage-specific ablation of *Dnmt3a*  
47 and/or *Dnmt1* (i.e., *Plp-creER(t);Dnmt3a-flox*, *Plp-creER(t);Dnmt1-flox*, *Plp-creER(t);Dnmt1-*  
48 *flox;Dnmt3a-flox*). Upon lysolecithin injection in the spinal cord of these transgenic mice, we  
49 detected defective OPC differentiation and inefficient remyelination in the *Dnmt3a* null and  
50 *Dnmt1/Dnmt3a* null mice, but not in the *Dnmt1* null mice. Taken together with previous results in  
51 the developing spinal cord, these data suggest an age-dependent role of distinct DNA  
52 methyltransferases in the oligodendrocyte lineage, with a dominant role for DNMT1 in neonatal  
53 OPC and for DNMT3A in adult OPC.

54

55

56 **Significance Statement**

57 The regenerative therapy of enhancing remyelination is the subject of much current  
58 investigation for a number of central nervous system disorders. However, its mechanisms  
59 remain incompletely understood. A recent study identified a distinct role of the DNA  
60 methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) in developmental myelination; here we report a dominant role for

61 DNMT3A in adult remyelination after lysolecithin-induced demyelination. Overall this is of high  
62 relevance as it indicates that neonatal and adult oligodendrocyte progenitor cells might be  
63 characterized by distinct epigenetic landscapes that may need to be taken into consideration for  
64 the development of future therapeutic strategies.

65

66

## 67 **Introduction**

68 In demyelinating disorders, such as multiple sclerosis (MS), loss of myelin sheaths  
69 disturbs axonal conduction and trophic support, which eventually lead to irreversible axonal loss  
70 and disease progression (Franklin et al., 2012; Nave and Trapp, 2008; Trapp et al., 1998).  
71 Remyelination, which restores myelin sheaths to demyelinated axons and thereby restores both  
72 axonal function and protection, is regarded as a promising way to prevent disease progression  
73 (Dubois-Dalcq et al., 2008; Franklin and Ffrench-Constant, 2008). Oligodendrocyte progenitor  
74 cells (OPC) have been identified as the main source for new myelin formation in the adult  
75 central nervous system (CNS) (Zawadzka et al., 2010). Therefore, a better understanding of the  
76 molecular mechanism regulating their differentiation into myelin-forming cells is highly desirable.  
77 It has been proposed that after demyelination, adult OPC differentiation recapitulate  
78 developmental myelination to a large extent, and the expression of well-established  
79 differentiation regulatory transcription factors (e.g., *Myrf*, *Nkx2.2*, *Tcf4*, *Sox2*) has been shown to  
80 change during remyelination (Fancy et al., 2004, 2009; Koening et al., 2012; Moyon et al.,  
81 2015; Zhao et al., 2015).

82 The importance of post-translational histone modifications during remyelination has  
83 previously been reported (Shen et al., 2008). Recently, it has been shown that DNA methylation  
84 mediated by the DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 is essential for developmental myelination,  
85 where it controls the transition from the proliferative OPC stage to differentiating  
86 oligodendrocytes (OL) (Moyon et al., 2016). In this study, we asked whether similar epigenetic

87 mechanisms might be involved in the regulation of adult OPC differentiation during  
88 remyelination. Transcriptomic data gathered from laser micro-dissected regions of CNS white  
89 matter at various times following acute experimentally induced demyelination indicate that the  
90 expression of *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* are differentially regulated during remyelination (Huang et al.,  
91 2011). Both enzyme levels were higher at 5dpl, during the early stages of remyelination and  
92 lower at 14dpl and 28dpl, suggesting that DNA methylation might also play a role in the  
93 transition from adult OPC to myelinating OL. A recent study has previously reported genome-  
94 wide DNA methylation changes in post-mortem brain samples from MS patients compared to  
95 controls, suggesting an underlying dysregulation of DNA methylation in MS brains (Huynh et al.,  
96 2014).

97 This study directly addresses the role of DNA methylation in oligodendroglial lineage  
98 cells during remyelination in the adult spinal cord. Here we show that DNA methylation and DNA  
99 methyltransferases levels are differentially regulated during remyelination. We use lineage-  
100 specific inducible genetic ablation of *Dnmt1* and/or *Dnmt3a* in adult mice to address the  
101 functional relevance of DNA methylation perturbations for adult OPC differentiation and for the  
102 efficiency of remyelination after experimentally-induced demyelination.

103

104

#### 105 **Materials and Methods**

106 *Animals.* All experiments were performed according to IACUC-approved protocols and mice  
107 were maintained in a temperature- and humidity-controlled facility on a 12-h light-dark cycle with  
108 food and water *ad libitum*. *Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* (Fan et al., 2001; Jackson-Grusby et al., 2001,  
109 RRID:MMRRC\_014114-UCD) and *Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* (Kaneda et al., 2004, RRID:MGI:3718448) mice  
110 on a C57BL/6 background were crossed with *Plp-creER(t)* (Jackson Laboratory,  
111 RRID:MGI:3696409) (Doerflinger et al., 2003).

112 *Lysolecithin injections.* Injections were carried out in the ventrolateral spinal cord white matter of  
113 8-week-old animals of either sex, as previously described (Fancy et al., 2009). Briefly,  
114 anesthesia was induced and maintained with inhalational isoflurane/oxygen. The vertebral  
115 column was fixed between metal bars on stereotaxic apparatus. The spinal vertebra was  
116 exposed, tissue was cleared overlying the intervertebral space and the dura was pierced. A  
117 pulled glass needle was advanced through the spine, at an angle of 70°, and 1 $\mu$ L of 1%  
118 lysolecithin (Sigma L4129) was slowly injected into the ventrolateral white matter. Mice were  
119 sutured and kept in a warm chamber during recovery.

120 *Tamoxifen injections.* 4-Hydroxytamoxifen (Sigma T56-48) was dissolved at 40mg/mL in 10%  
121 ethanol and 90% corn oil (Sigma C8267) for 4 hours at 37°C with rotation. 10mg was  
122 administered by gavage to each mouse at days 3, 5 and 7 (for 14dpl analysis) or at days 5, 7  
123 and 9 (21dpl analysis) after LPC injection (day 0).

124 *Immunohistochemistry.* For immunohistochemistry, animals were perfused at 5 day-post-lesions  
125 (dpl), 14dpl or 21dpl with 4% paraformaldehyde and post-fixed overnight in the same solution at  
126 4°C. Spinal cords were dissected, cryo-protected in sucrose solutions and frozen embedded in  
127 OCT. Immunohistochemistry was performed on 12 $\mu$ m cryostat sections. Antigen retrieval was  
128 performed for 5-mC staining by incubating slides in sub-boiling (94°C) citrate buffer (pH 6.0) for  
129 15 minutes. Slides were incubated in blocking buffer (5% normal donkey serum in PBS/Triton-  
130 X100 0.3%) for 1 hour at room temperature and then overnight at 4°C with the primary  
131 antibodies diluted in a similar blocking buffer (5% normal donkey serum in PBS/Triton-X100  
132 0.3%). After rinsing with PBS 1X, sections were incubated with the Alexa Fluor secondary  
133 antibodies and then washed with PBS 1X. Cell nuclei were counterstained with DNA fluorescent  
134 dye Hoechst 33342 (Sigma B2261) in PBS. Stained tissue or cells were coverslipped in  
135 FluorSave mounting medium (Millipore 345789) and examined on a Zeiss Axio Observer  
136 Fluorescence Microscope. To quantify the data generated by immunohistochemical staining,

137 counts were undertaken by an observer who was blinded to the experimental group from which  
138 the sample being analysed was taken. Counts were made throughout the entire lesion area  
139 which was scanned using the x20 objective of an AxioVision Observer A1 Zeiss fluorescence  
140 microscope. Labelled cells were manually counted from the images captured under the same  
141 exposure conditions. AxioVision Rel4.8 software (RRID:SCR\_002677) was used for co-localised  
142 colour identification and area measurement. Quantification of total cell number, as defined by  
143 nuclear (DAPI) staining, was assessed both within the lesion area and within the corresponding  
144 region of white matter in unlesioned tissue.

145 To assess the levels of 5-mC in OLIG2+ cells, arbitrarily defined as being either low, medium or  
146 high-5, a macro was created in ImageJ (RRID:SCR\_003070) that first localised the OLIG2+(red)  
147 nuclei and then measured the intensity of the 5mC (green) staining within the nuclear area. The  
148 intensity value was then normalised by deducting the background staining intensity. For all  
149 quantifications a minimum of 3 sections of 12  $\mu$ m thickness from each lesion randomly chosen  
150 from n = 4-6 mice was examined. The percentage or density of cells was determined per  
151 mouse. The average and standard error was then calculated for each group using GraphPad  
152 Prism Software (GraphPad Software, Inc, RRID:SCR\_002798).

153 *Antibodies.* Primary antibodies used are: mouse anti-5-mC (Abcam, ab10805,  
154 RRID:AB\_442823, 1:200), mouse anti-CC1/APC (Millipore OP80, RRID:AB\_2057371, 1:300),  
155 rabbit anti-DNMT1 (Abcam, ab19905, RRID:AB\_731983, 1:1,000), rabbit anti-DNMT3A (Santa  
156 Cruz, sc-20703, RRID:AB\_2093990, 1:500), mouse anti-NKX2.2 (Developmental Studies  
157 Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA, 1:100), rabbit anti-OLIG2 (Millipore, ab9610,  
158 RRID:AB\_10141047, 1:1,000). Alexa Fluor conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5,000) were  
159 used (Invitrogen).

160 *Electron microscopy.* For electron microscopy, animals were perfused at 21dpl with 4%  
161 glutaraldehyde in PBS containing 0.4mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and post-fixed in the same solution at 4°. The  
162 spinal cord was coronally sliced at 1mm thickness and treated with 2% osmium tetroxide

163 overnight before being subjected to a standard protocol for epoxy resin embedding (Zhao et al.,  
 164 2008) Tissues were sectioned at 1 $\mu$ m and stained with toluidine-blue. Remyelination ranking, in  
 165 which lesions with the greatest extent of remyelination were assigned the highest rank value,  
 166 was performed under light microscopy (Ito et al., 2007). Ultrathin sections of the lesion site were  
 167 cut onto copper grids and stained with uranyl acetate before being examined with a Hitachi H-  
 168 600 Transmission Electron Microscope. G-ratio was quantified on 50nm sections on a minimum  
 169 of 70 myelinated and remyelinated axons per animal, and 3 to 5 mice for each genotype.

170 *Statistical analysis.* All statistical analyses were done using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad  
 171 Software, Inc, RRID:SCR\_002798). Unpaired student's t-test was used for every two datasets  
 172 with equal variances and for which data follow a normal distribution. If not normal,  
 173 nonparametric Mann Whitney test was used (for rankings analysis), and if the variances were  
 174 significantly different, the Welch's correction was applied (for g-ratio analysis). Two-way ANOVA  
 175 was used to compare three or more sets of data. For all graphs, error bars are mean $\pm$ SEM.

176

	Data structure	Type of test	95% CI
<sup>a</sup> (Fig. 1C)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	108.6 to 272.7, -27.42 to 136.7 and -73.69 to 90.40
<sup>b</sup> (Fig. 1D)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	51.11 to 98.17 and 11.76 to 58.82 (5dpl); 33.78 to 80.84 and -11.49 to 35.57 (14dpl); 25.89 to 72.95 and -14.29 to 32.77 (21dpl)
<sup>c</sup> (Fig. 1F)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	45.42 to 116.2, 176.9 to 247.7 and 133.9 to 204.7
<sup>d</sup> (Fig. 1G)	Normal distribution,	ANOVA and	-2.914 to 37.65 and 60.67 to 101.2



	equal variances	Bonferroni posttests	(5dpl); -5.602 to 34.96 and 77.53 to 118.1 (14dpl); -13.63 to 26.93 and 53.74 to 94.30 (21dpl)
<sup>e</sup> (Fig.1I)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	-73.29 to -34.94, -70.07 to -31.72 and -85.01 to -46.66 (Low); 19.25 to 57.60, 8.444 to 46.79 and 16.22 to 54.56 (Medium); -4.294 to 34.05, 4.099 to 42.45, 11.27 to 49.62 (High)
<sup>f</sup> (Fig. 2B)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	201.1 to 231.7 (OLIG2+), 191.3 to 215.4 (CC1+)
<sup>g</sup> (Fig. 2D)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	210.2 to 205.7 (OLIG2+), 203.9 to 189.2 (CC1+)
<sup>h</sup> (Fig. 2F)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	178.2 to 144.9 (OLIG2+), 165.7 to 136.2 (CC1+)
<sup>i</sup> (Fig.2H)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	70.83 to 72.29 (Low); 15.67 to 13.36 (Medium); 13.50 to 14.35 (High)
<sup>j</sup> (Fig.2I)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	77.96 to 65.61 (Low); 15.85 to 23.22 (Medium); 6.186 to 11.17 (High)
<sup>k</sup> (Fig.2J)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	67.91 to 58.45 (Low); 21.32 to 31.39 (Medium); 10.77 to 10.16

			(High)
<sup>l</sup> (Fig. 3B)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	-307.8 to 246.4 (OLIG2+), -249.9 to 105.2 (CC1+) and -16.51 to 17.07 (CC1+/OLIG2+)
<sup>m</sup> (Fig. 3D)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	-341.1 to 431.1 (OLIG2+), 13.87 to 270.7 (CC1+) and 2.758 to 17.22 (CC1+/OLIG2+)
<sup>n</sup> (Fig. 3F)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	-275.5 to 52.4 (OLIG2+), 12.91 to 264.6 (CC1+) and 17.64 to 38.55 (CC1+/OLIG2+)
<sup>o</sup> (Fig. 3G)	Normal distribution, equal variances	Student's t-test	36.15 to 226.8 (DNMT1) and -136.3 to 15.88 (DNMT3A); -134.3 to -21.63 (DNMT1) and 48.69 to 246.2 (DNMT3A); 51.76 to 317.0 (DNMT1) and 106.9 to 307.9 (DNMT3A)
<sup>p</sup> (Fig.4B)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	22.94 to 25.45 (Low); 59.72 to 59.83 (Medium); 17.33 to 14.72 (High)
<sup>q</sup> (Fig.4D)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	22.71 to 31.26 (Low); 58.99 to 55.91 (Medium); 18.30 to 12.83 (High)
<sup>r</sup> (Fig.4F)	Normal distribution, equal variances	ANOVA and Bonferroni posttests	21.26 to 37.88 (Low); 61.43 to 49.48 (Medium); 17.31 to 12.64 (High)

<sup>s</sup> (Fig. 5B)	Non-normal distribution	Nonparametric Mann Whitney test	2.648 to 11.35 and 1.362 to 7.838
<sup>t</sup> (Fig. 5C)	Normal distribution, unequal variances	Student's t-test with Welch's correction	-0.005297 to 0.005700
<sup>u</sup> (Fig. 5E)	Non-normal distribution	Nonparametric Mann Whitney test	1.823 to 11.38 and 2.191 to 9.142
<sup>v</sup> (Fig. 5F)	Normal distribution, unequal variances	Student's t-test with Welch's correction	-0.001182 to 0.01204
<sup>x</sup> (Fig. 5H)	Non-normal distribution	Nonparametric Mann Whitney test	-1.006 to 9.256 and 2.886 to 6.864
<sup>y</sup> (Fig. 5G)	Normal distribution, unequal variances	Student's t-test with Welch's correction	-0.01370 to -0.003870

177

178

179 **Results**180 **DNA methyltransferases are differently expressed in adult OPC during remyelination**

181 To begin characterizing the role of DNA methylation in the oligodendroglial lineage  
 182 during remyelination, we performed lysolecithin injections in the ventrolateral spinal cord of 8-  
 183 week-old C57BL/6 mice and perfused them at 5-day-post-lesion (5dpl), 14dpl and 21dpl, to  
 184 access DNA methyltransferases 1 and 3A (DNMT1 and DNMT3A) and 5-methylcytosine (5-mC)  
 185 expression in oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (OPC) and oligodendrocytes (OL) (Fig. 1A). The  
 186 number of NKX2.2+ oligodendroglial cells strongly expressing DNMT1, while abundant at 5 dpl  
 187 when compared to levels in surrounding intact non-lesioned white matter (NWM), decreased  
 188 between 5dpl and 21dpl (Fig. 1B-C). Co-staining of DNMT1 with CC1, a marker of mature OL,

189 or NKX2.2, a marker of OPCs, revealed that within the CC1+ population the enzyme was  
190 expressed in 35.3±6.3% (5dpl), 12.0±5.4% (14dpl) and 9.2±5.6% (21dpl) of cells, while in the  
191 NKX2.2+ population, it was expressed in 74.6±5.3% (5dpl), 57.3±11.1% (14dpl) and 49.4±4.0%  
192 (21dpl) of cells (Fig. 1B and Fig. 1D). In contrast, the distribution of DNMT3A showed a different  
193 pattern, being strongly expressed by CC1+ cells and increased from 5dpl to 14dpl (Fig. 1E-F).  
194 Indeed, DNMT3A was expressed in 81.0±7.6% (5dpl), 97.8±1.9% (14dpl) and 74.0±9.3%  
195 (21dpl) of the CC1+ OL population and only in 17.4±3.8% (5dpl), 14.7±4.0% (14dpl) and  
196 6.7±4.4% (21dpl) of the NKX2.2+ population (Fig. 1E and Fig. 1G). These data indicate distinct  
197 patterns of expression, with DNMT1 mainly expressed by adult OPC during the early stages of  
198 remyelination, and DNMT3A mainly detected in differentiated adult OL that appear in the later  
199 stages of remyelination.

200 We also quantified 5-mC expression levels in oligodendroglial cells. Because of species  
201 similarities in the 5-mC antibodies and those we had used for the OL lineage, we combined 5-  
202 mC staining with the pan-OL lineage marker OLIG2. This revealed a decreased proportion of  
203 low-methylated and increased proportion of medium- and high-methylated oligodendroglial cells  
204 during remyelination (Fig. 1H-I). Hyper-methylation in OLIG2+ cells was already evident starting  
205 5dpl (Fig. 1I).

206

### 207 **Ablation of DNMT3A and both DNMT1 and 3A impairs oligodendrocyte differentiation** 208 **during remyelination**

209 To address more specifically the functional role of DNA methylation in adult OPC during  
210 remyelination, we crossed the *Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* and *Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* lines with the inducible *Pip-creER(t)*, to  
211 target specific ablation of *Dnmt1*, *Dnmt3a*, or both *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* in PLP-expressing  
212 oligodendroglial cells after lysolecithin-induced demyelination. All three mutants  
213 (*Pip<sup>creER(t)+</sup>:Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>*, *Pip<sup>creER(t)+</sup>:Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>*, and *Pip<sup>creER(t)+</sup>:Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>*) and control  
214 littermates (*Pip<sup>+/+</sup>:Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>*, *Pip<sup>+/+</sup>:Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* and *Pip<sup>+/+</sup>:Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>*) were gavaged with

215 tamoxifen at 3dpl, 5dpl and 7dpl and then lesion-containing tissue harvested at 14dpl and  
216 processed for immunohistochemistry using antibodies specific for 5-mC, for mature  
217 oligodendrocytes (CC1) or for all cells within the oligodendrocyte lineage (OLIG2).

218 We first quantified the number of OLIG2 and CC1+ in NWM to address the effect of  
219 *Dnmt1* and/or *Dnmt3a* ablation itself on the generation of OPCs and OLs (Fig. 2A-F). We  
220 detected no difference in the number of OLIG2+ and CC1+ cells in any knock-out compared to  
221 control NWM (Fig. 2B, 2D, 2F). Moreover, there was no differences in 5-mC expression levels in  
222 OLIG2+ cells in knock-out compared to control NWM (Fig. 2G-J).

223 At 14dpl, there was no difference in the number of OLIG2+ and CC1+ cells in the lesion  
224 or in the percentage of CC1+ differentiated OL among the OLIG2+ oligodendroglial cells  
225 between *Plp<sup>+/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* controls and *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mutants (Fig. 3A-B). Ablation of  
226 *Dnmt3a* resulted in a significant decrease of the percentage of CC1+ differentiated OL among  
227 the OLIG2+ oligodendroglial cells (Fig. 3C-D). This indicated that OPC differentiation was  
228 altered in mutants lacking *Dnmt3a*, while OLIG2+ proliferation and recruitment to the lesion was  
229 not affected (Fig. 3D). It was noteworthy that increased DNMT1 levels were detected in CC1+  
230 cells in *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mutant spinal cords, suggesting that in the absence of DNMT3A  
231 there might be a compensatory increase in DNMT1 (Fig. 3G). To offset this possible effect, we  
232 performed a similar analysis on double knock-out mice lacking both *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a*. Both  
233 the number of CC1+ cells in the lesion and the percentage of CC1+ differentiated OL among the  
234 OLIG2+ oligodendroglial cells were decreased in the double (*Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>*)  
235 mutants, and to a greater extent than we observed in the *Dnmt3a*-only ablated  
236 (*Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>*) mutants (Fig. 3E-F).

237 There were no changes in 5-mC expression levels in OLIG2+ cells in *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>*  
238 and *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mutants (Fig. 4A-D). However, there was an increase in the  
239 percentage of low 5-mC expressing OLIG2+ cells, associated with a decrease of medium 5-mC  
240 expressing OLIG2+ cells in the double *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mutants (Fig. 4E-F). This

241 suggested an increase in low-methylated and a decrease in medium-methylated  
242 oligodendroglial cells in *Dnmt1/Dnmt3a* ablated mutants, which contrasted with the increased  
243 methylation previously observed in control animals (Fig. 1H-I).

244         These data indicate a role for DNMT3A in adult OPC differentiation during remyelination  
245 that can be compensated for by DNMT1.

246

#### 247 **Ablation of *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* impairs remyelination in the adult spinal cord**

248         To establish whether the impaired differentiation of OPC lacking DNMTs affected  
249 remyelination we used a similar experimental design where we sacrificed lesioned control and  
250 mutant mice at 21dpl, and evaluated remyelination by light microscopic examination of semi-thin  
251 resin sections stained with toluidine blue and by electron microscopy. Comparison of control  
252 and mutants NWM revealed no abnormalities in myelination in the three knock-out mice lines  
253 (data not shown). Ranking of remyelination on semi-thin sections (Fig. 3A-B and Fig. 3D-E) and  
254 quantification of the g-ratio (Fig. 3C and Fig. 3F) did not reveal any differences from controls for  
255 either *Dnmt1*-ablated or *Dnmt3a*-ablated mice. In contrast, despite a similar ranking of  
256 remyelination in sections from controls and double mutants (both *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* ablated)  
257 (Fig. 3G-H and Fig. 3J), the quantification of g-ratio revealed thinner myelin in mutants, likely  
258 suggesting delayed remyelination in the absence of *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* (Fig. 3I). This suggest  
259 that if *Dnmt3a*-only ablation is sufficient to reduce adult OPC differentiation in an LPC-induced  
260 lesion, compensation by DNMT1 might prevent significantly delayed remyelination.

261         These data demonstrate that dysregulation of DNA methylation in adult oligodendroglial  
262 cells impairs their differentiation and hence their ability to contribute to remyelination.

263

264

265 **Discussion**

266 Here we report that DNMT1 and DNMT3A are differentially expressed during  
267 remyelination after lysolecithin-induced demyelination in the adult spinal cord, with DNMT1  
268 being highly expressed in OPC at early time points after demyelination (corresponding in this  
269 model to the early stages of remyelination) and DNMT3A being highly expressed in OL at later  
270 time points (corresponding to the later stages and completion of remyelination). These data  
271 validate and extend previous microarray-generated data obtained in laser-capture  
272 microdissected tissues from rats with ethidium bromide-induced demyelinating lesions, which  
273 revealed initial increased expression of both *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* and their subsequent decrease  
274 in expression (Huang et al., 2011). Discordance between the two studies, especially for  
275 DNMT3A expression, can be explained by differences in the experimental approach. The Huang  
276 et al. dataset was obtained from whole tissue, which has a mixed composition and percentage  
277 of various cell types at different time points, possibly impacting the levels of transcripts. Indeed,  
278 *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* are also highly expressed by astrocytes and microglial cells, the latter being  
279 massively abundant in the lesion at 5dpi but less abundant during the later stages (Zhang et al.,  
280 2014).

281 Our study reports global hypermethylation in the nuclei of oligodendroglial lineage cells  
282 during remyelination, similar to what described during developmental myelination (Moyon et al.,  
283 2016). These data suggested that adult OPC differentiation might recapitulate their  
284 developmental differentiation, by activating same transcriptional pathways and perhaps the  
285 same epigenetic modulators (Fancy et al., 2004, 2009; Koening et al., 2012; Moyon et al.,  
286 2015; Nakatani et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2015). Indeed, chromatin remodelers (*i.e.* *Chd7* and  
287 *Brg1*) and histone deacetylases have been recently shown to be essential for OPC myelination  
288 as well as remyelination (He et al., 2016; Shen et al., 2008).

289 Using conditional knock-out murine strains, we showed that lack of *Dnmt3a*, and not  
290 *Dnmt1*, in oligodendroglial cells impairs adult OPC differentiation. These data differed from the  
291 findings obtained during development, where the ablation of *Dnmt1*, and not *Dnmt3a*, resulted in

292 extensive defective myelination of the CNS (Moyon et al., 2016). We also observed that,  
293 contrary to developmental data, loss of *Dnmt3a* was partially compensated by upregulation of  
294 *Dnmt1* levels, leading to decreased adult OPC differentiation and remyelination delays in the  
295 double conditional knock-out mice. It is important to highlight that the *Plp-creER(t)* line was used  
296 to target oligodendroglial lineage in an inducible manner in the adult spinal cord. Although PLP  
297 has been shown to be expressed in adult OPC (Lin et al., 2009; Ruffini et al., 2004; Spassky et  
298 al., 1998), our ablation of *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* may have targeted a more mature population,  
299 when cells have already exited cell cycle and thus, when DNMT1 and DNMT3A might have a  
300 different impact. The remyelination delay observed here is also less drastic than the extensive  
301 and global hypomyelination affecting the *Olig1<sup>cre/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* mutant mice (Moyon et al., 2016).  
302 Adult OPC tend to proliferate less than their neonatal counterparts, suggesting that the absence  
303 of DNMT1 may not as adversely affect their replication, cell division and survival (Lin et al.,  
304 2009; Moyon et al., 2015; Ruffini et al., 2004; Shi et al., 1998; Wolswijk and Noble, 1989;  
305 Wolswijk et al., 1991; Young et al., 2013). Moreover, some epigenetic marks might have been  
306 already established and could be irreversibly maintained in adult OPC, which are emerging from  
307 a pool of undifferentiating neonatal OPC (Zawadzka et al., 2010). Indeed, it has been shown  
308 that in cell lines epigenetic marks such as histone methylation, histone deacetylation and DNA  
309 methylation might have specific dynamics, with some being partial committers and others  
310 complete committers, depending of their enzyme recruitment speed and affinity at specific  
311 genomic sites (Bintu et al., 2016). For example, the *de novo* embryonic DNMT3B is a slow  
312 silencer but complete committer, as its methylated marks could not be easily removed. Thus, it  
313 could explain why ablation of *Dnmt3a* in adult OPC might have a limited affect and only delays  
314 remyelination, as its marks would be maintained long after the enzyme ablation.

315 Finally, DNA methylation has been shown to be dysregulated in several neurological  
316 pathologies, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, schizophrenia and oligodendroglial  
317 pathologies such as MS and gliomas (Chou et al., 2012; Hannon et al., 2016; Huynh et al.,



318 2014; Jaffe et al., 2016; Martin and Wong, 2013). In addition to neuropathy, dementia and  
319 hearing loss, patients with DNA methyltransferases (*DNMT1*) mutations present with mild CNS  
320 hypomyelination (Klein et al., 2011). Epigenome-wide methylation study has identified several  
321 hypermethylated or hypomethylated loci in MS patients post-mortem brain tissues compared to  
322 controls (Huynh et al., 2014) and several studies in gliomas have described an extensive global  
323 DNA hypomethylation (Chou et al., 2012; Watanabe and Maekawa, 2010) associated with site-  
324 specific DNA hypermethylation (Felsberg et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2010). Further epigenome-  
325 wide studies should be performed on adult OPC to specifically identify genomic loci that might  
326 be hypo- or hyper-methylated during their proliferation and their differentiation, in control  
327 conditions and after demyelination or in gliomas. We propose that modulating DNA methylation  
328 in oligodendroglial cells could efficiently regulate adult OPC proliferation and differentiation  
329 capacities. Targeting DNA methylation at specific genomic loci, using engineered zinc fingers or  
330 CRISPR-Cas9 methylation modulators, might lead to the development of new therapeutic  
331 strategies in gliomas and MS (Choudhury et al., 2014; Heller et al., 2014; McDonald et al.,  
332 2016).

333

334

### 335 **References**

336 Bintu, L., Yong, J., Antebi, Y.E., McCue, K., Kazuki, Y., Uno, N., Oshimura, M., and Elowitz,  
337 M.B. (2016). Dynamics of epigenetic regulation at the single-cell level. *Science* 351, 720–724.

338 Chou, A.P., Chowdhury, R., Li, S., Chen, W., Kim, A.J., Piccioni, D.E., Selfridge, J.M., Mody,  
339 R.R., Chang, S., Lalezari, S., et al. (2012). Identification of Retinol Binding Protein 1 Promoter  
340 Hypermethylation in Isocitrate Dehydrogenase 1 and 2 Mutant Gliomas. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*  
341 104, 1458–1469.

- 342 Choudhury, S.R., Cui, Y., Lubecka, K., Stefanska, B., and Irudayaraj, J. (2014). CRISPR-dCas9  
343 mediated TET1 targeting for selective DNA demethylation at BRCA1 promoter. *Oncotarget*.
- 344 Doerflinger, N.H., Macklin, W.B., and Popko, B. (2003). Inducible site-specific recombination in  
345 myelinating cells. *Genes. N. Y. N* 2000 35, 63–72.
- 346 Dubois-Dalcq, M., Williams, A., Stadelmann, C., Stankoff, B., Zalc, B., and Lubetzki, C. (2008).  
347 From fish to man: understanding endogenous remyelination in central nervous system  
348 demyelinating diseases. *Brain* 131, 1686–1700.
- 349 Fan, G., Beard, C., Chen, R.Z., Csankovszki, G., Sun, Y., Siniaia, M., Biniszkiwicz, D., Bates,  
350 B., Lee, P.P., Kuhn, R., et al. (2001). DNA hypomethylation perturbs the function and survival of  
351 CNS neurons in postnatal animals. *J Neurosci* 21, 788–797.
- 352 Fancy, S.P.J., Zhao, C., and Franklin, R.J. (2004). Increased expression of Nkx2.2 and Olig2  
353 identifies reactive oligodendrocyte progenitor cells responding to demyelination in the adult  
354 CNS. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 27, 247–254.
- 355 Fancy, S.P., Baranzini, S.E., Zhao, C., Yuk, D.I., Irvine, K.A., Kaing, S., Sanai, N., Franklin,  
356 R.J.M., and Rowitch, D.H. (2009). Dysregulation of the Wnt pathway inhibits timely myelination  
357 and remyelination in the mammalian CNS. *Genes Dev* 23, 1571–1585.
- 358 Felsberg, J., Yan, P.S., Huang, T.H.-M., Milde, U., Schramm, J., Wiestler, O.D., Reifenberger,  
359 G., Pietsch, T., and Waha, A. (2006). DNA methylation and allelic losses on chromosome arm  
360 14q in oligodendroglial tumours. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 32, 517–524.
- 361 Franklin, R.J.M., and Ffrench-Constant, C. (2008). Remyelination in the CNS: from biology to  
362 therapy. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 9, 839–855.

- 363 Franklin, R.J.M., French-Constant, C., Edgar, J.M., and Smith, K.J. (2012). Neuroprotection and  
364 repair in multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol* 8, 624–634.
- 365 Hannon, E., Spiers, H., Viana, J., Pidsley, R., Burrage, J., Murphy, T.M., Troakes, C., Turecki,  
366 G., O'Donovan, M.C., Schalkwyk, L.C., et al. (2016). Methylation quantitative trait loci in the  
367 developing brain and their enrichment in schizophrenia-associated genomic regions. *Nat.*  
368 *Neurosci.* 19, 48–54.
- 369 He, D., Marie, C., Zhao, C., Kim, B., Wang, J., Deng, Y., Clavairoly, A., Frah, M., Wang, H., He,  
370 X., et al. (2016). Chd7 cooperates with Sox10 and regulates the onset of CNS myelination and  
371 remyelination. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 678–689.
- 372 Heller, E.A., Cates, H.M., Peña, C.J., Sun, H., Shao, N., Feng, J., Golden, S.A., Herman, J.P.,  
373 Walsh, J.J., Mazei-Robison, M., et al. (2014). Locus-specific epigenetic remodeling controls  
374 addiction- and depression-related behaviors. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1720–1727.
- 375 Huang, J.K., Jarjour, A.A., Nait Oumesmar, B., Kerninon, C., Williams, A., Krezel, W.,  
376 Kagechika, H., Bauer, J., Zhao, C., Evercooren, A.B., et al. (2011). Retinoid X receptor gamma  
377 signaling accelerates CNS remyelination. *Nat Neurosci* 14, 45–53.
- 378 Huynh, J.L., Garg, P., Thin, T.H., Yoo, S., Dutta, R., Trapp, B.D., Haroutunian, V., Zhu, J.,  
379 Donovan, M.J., Sharp, A.J., et al. (2014). Epigenome-wide differences in pathology-free regions  
380 of multiple sclerosis-affected brains. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 121–130.
- 381 Ito, D., Fujita, N., Ibanez, C., Sasaki, N., Franklin, R.J.M., and Jeffery, N.D. (2007). Serum-Free  
382 Medium Provides a Clinically Relevant Method to Increase Olfactory Ensheathing Cell Numbers  
383 in Olfactory Mucosa Cell Culture. *Cell Transplant.* 16, 1021–1027.

- 384 Jackson-Grusby, L., Beard, C., Possemato, R., Tudor, M., Fambrough, D., Csankovszki, G.,  
385 Dausman, J., Lee, P., Wilson, C., Lander, E., et al. (2001). Loss of genomic methylation causes  
386 p53-dependent apoptosis and epigenetic deregulation. *Nat. Genet.* 27, 31–39.
- 387 Jaffe, A.E., Gao, Y., Deep-Soboslay, A., Tao, R., Hyde, T.M., Weinberger, D.R., and Kleinman,  
388 J.E. (2016). Mapping DNA methylation across development, genotype and schizophrenia in the  
389 human frontal cortex. *Nat. Neurosci.* 19, 40–47.
- 390 Kaneda, M., Okano, M., Hata, K., Sado, T., Tsujimoto, N., Li, E., and Sasaki, H. (2004).  
391 Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting.  
392 *Nature* 429, 900–903.
- 393 Klein, C.J., Botuyan, M.-V., Wu, Y., Ward, C.J., Nicholson, G.A., Hammans, S., Hojo, K.,  
394 Yamanishi, H., Karpf, A.R., Wallace, D.C., et al. (2011). Mutations in DNMT1 cause hereditary  
395 sensory neuropathy with dementia and hearing loss. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 595–600.
- 396 Koenning, M., Jackson, S., Hay, C.M., Faux, C., Kilpatrick, T.J., Willingham, M., and Emery, B.  
397 (2012). Myelin gene regulatory factor is required for maintenance of myelin and mature  
398 oligodendrocyte identity in the adult CNS. *J Neurosci* 32, 12528–12542.
- 399 Lin, G., Mela, A., Guilfoyle, E., and Goldman, J. (2009). Neonatal and adult O4(+)  
400 oligodendrocyte lineage cells display different growth factor responses and different gene  
401 expression patterns. *J Neurosci Res* 87, 3390–3402.
- 402 Martin, L.J., and Wong, M. (2013). Aberrant regulation of DNA methylation in amyotrophic  
403 lateral sclerosis: a new target of disease mechanisms. *Neurother. J. Am. Soc. Exp. Neurother.*  
404 10, 722–733.

- 405 McDonald, J.I., Celik, H., Rois, L.E., Fishberger, G., Fowler, T., Rees, R., Kramer, A., Martens,  
406 A., Edwards, J.R., and Challen, G.A. (2016). Reprogrammable CRISPR/Cas9-based system for  
407 inducing site-specific DNA methylation. *Biol. Open*.
- 408 Moyon, S., Dubessy, A.L., Aigrot, M.S., Trotter, M., Huang, J.K., Dauphinot, L., Potier, M.C.,  
409 Kerninon, C., Parsadaniantz, S.M., Franklin, R.J.M., et al. (2015). Demyelination causes adult  
410 CNS progenitors to revert to an immature state and express immune cues that support their  
411 migration. *J. Neurosci.* *35*, 4–20.
- 412 Moyon, S., Huynh, J.L., Dutta, D., Zhang, F., Ma, D., Yoo, S., Lawrence, R., Wegner, M., John,  
413 G.R., Emery, B., et al. (2016). Functional Characterization of DNA Methylation in the  
414 Oligodendrocyte Lineage. *Cell Rep.* *15*, 748–760.
- 415 Nakatani, H., Martin, E., Hassani, H., Clavairoly, A., Maire, C.L., Viadieu, A., Kerninon, C.,  
416 Delmasure, A., Frah, M., Weber, M., et al. (2013). *Ascl1/Mash1* Promotes Brain  
417 Oligodendrogenesis during Myelination and Remyelination. *J Neurosci* *33*, 9752–9768.
- 418 Nave, K.A., and Trapp, B.D. (2008). Axon-glia signaling and the glial support of axon function.  
419 *Annu Rev Neurosci* *31*, 535–561.
- 420 Ruffini, F., Arbour, N., Blain, M., Olivier, A., and Antel, J.P. (2004). Distinctive properties of  
421 human adult brain-derived myelin progenitor cells. *Am J Pathol* *165*, 2167–2175.
- 422 Sharma, S., Kelly, T.K., and Jones, P.A. (2010). Epigenetics in cancer. *Carcinogenesis* *31*, 27–  
423 36.
- 424 Shen, S., Sandoval, J., Swiss, V., Li, J., Dupree, J., Franklin, R.J.M., and Casaccia-Bonnel, P.  
425 (2008). Age-dependent epigenetic control of differentiation inhibitors is critical for remyelination  
426 efficiency. *Nat Neurosci* *11*, 1024–1034.

- 427 Shi, J., Marinovich, A., and Barres, B.A. (1998). Purification and characterization of adult  
428 oligodendrocyte precursor cells from the rat optic nerve. *J Neurosci* 18, 4627–4636.
- 429 Spassky, N., Goujet-Zalc, C., Parmantier, E., Olivier, C., Martinez, S., Ivanova, A., Ikenaka, K.,  
430 Macklin, W., Cerruti, I., Zalc, B., et al. (1998). Multiple restricted origin of oligodendrocytes. *J*  
431 *Neurosci* 18, 8331–8343.
- 432 Trapp, B.D., Peterson, J., Ransohoff, R.M., Rudick, R., Mörk, S., and Bö, L. (1998). Axonal  
433 transection in the lesions of multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 338, 278–285.
- 434 Watanabe, Y., and Maekawa, M. (2010). Methylation of DNA in cancer. *Adv. Clin. Chem.* 52,  
435 145–167.
- 436 Wolswijk, G., and Noble, M. (1989). Identification of an adult-specific glial progenitor cell.  
437 *Development* 105, 387–400.
- 438 Wolswijk, G., Riddle, P.N., and Noble, M. (1991). Platelet-derived growth factor is mitogenic for  
439 O-2Aadult progenitor cells. *Glia* 4, 495–503.
- 440 Young, K.M., Psachoulia, K., Tripathi, R.B., Dunn, S.J., Cossell, L., Attwell, D., Tohyama, K.,  
441 and Richardson, W.D. (2013). Oligodendrocyte dynamics in the healthy adult CNS: evidence for  
442 myelin remodeling. *Neuron* 77, 873–885.
- 443 Zawadzka, M., Rivers, L.E., Fancy, S.P., Zhao, C., Tripathi, R., Jamen, F., Young, K.,  
444 Goncharevich, A., Pohl, H., Rizzi, M., et al. (2010). CNS-resident glial progenitor/stem cells  
445 produce Schwann cells as well as oligodendrocytes during repair of CNS demyelination. *Cell*  
446 *Stem Cell* 6, 578–590.
- 447 Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Sloan, S.A., Bennett, M.L., Scholze, A.R., O’Keeffe, S., Phatnani, H.P.,  
448 Guarnieri, P., Caneda, C., Ruderisch, N., et al. (2014). An RNA-sequencing transcriptome and

449 splicing database of glia, neurons, and vascular cells of the cerebral cortex. *J. Neurosci. Off. J.*  
450 *Soc. Neurosci.* **34**, 11929–11947.

451 Zhao, C., Fancy, S.P.J., French-Constant, C., and Franklin, R.J.M. (2008). Osteopontin is  
452 extensively expressed by macrophages following CNS demyelination but has a redundant role  
453 in remyelination. *Neurobiol. Dis.* **31**, 209–217.

454 Zhao, C., Ma, D., Zawadzka, M., Fancy, S.P.J., Elis-Williams, L., Bouvier, G., Stockley, J.H.,  
455 Castro, G.M. de, Wang, B., Jacobs, S., et al. (2015). Sox2 Sustains Recruitment of  
456 Oligodendrocyte Progenitor Cells following CNS Demyelination and Primes Them for  
457 Differentiation during Remyelination. *J. Neurosci.* **35**, 11482–11499.

458

459

#### 460 **Legends**

461 **Figure 1.** DNA methyltransferases are differently expressed in adult OPC during remyelination.

462 **A** Schematic of the lysolecithin-induced focal demyelination and of the area of NWM used for  
463 quantification. **B** Representative DNMT1, NKX2.2 and CC1 stainings in normal white matter  
464 (NWM) and at 5, 14 and 21dpl (white arrow heads indicate double-positive cells). **C**  
465 Quantification of the number of double DNMT1+ and NKX2.2+ cells at 5, 14 and 21dpl,  
466 compared to NWM<sup>a</sup>. **D** Quantification of the percentage of double DNMT1+ and NKX2.2+ or  
467 CC1+ cells at 5, 14 and 21dpl, compared to NWM<sup>b</sup>. **E** Representative DNMT3A, NKX2.2 and  
468 CC1 stainings in NWM and at 5, 14 and 21dpl (white arrow heads indicate double-positive  
469 cells). **F** Quantification of the number of double DNMT3A+ and CC1+ cells at 5, 14 and 21dpl,  
470 compared to NWM<sup>c</sup>. **G** Quantification of the percentage of double DNMT3A+ and NKX2.2+ or  
471 CC1+ cells at 5, 14 and 21dpl, compared to NWM<sup>d</sup>. **H** Representative 5-mC and OLIG2 staining  
472 in NWM and at 5, 14 and 21dpl (white arrow heads indicate high-5mC+/OLIG2+ cells).

473 Representative low-, medium- and high-5-mC cells are shown below. **I** Quantification of low-,  
 474 medium- and high-5-mC levels in OLIG2+ cells at 5, 14 and 21dpl, compared to NWM<sup>e</sup>. Scale  
 475 bar = 20  $\mu$ m. Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM. n=4-6 animals, 3 sections per animal. \* $p$  < 0.05, \*\* $p$  < 0.01,  
 476 \*\*\* $p$  < 0.001 (ANOVA).

477 **Figure 2.** Ablation of *Dnmt1* and/or *Dnmt3a* does not impair oligodendrocyte differentiation or  
 478 their methylation levels in control conditions. **A** Representative OLIG2 and CC1 staining in  
 479 tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> NWM spinal cords. **B** Quantification of  
 480 OLIG2+ and CC1+ cell densities in NWM<sup>f</sup> ( $p$  = 0.3537,  $p$  = 0.3803). **C** Representative OLIG2  
 481 and CC1 staining in tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> NWM spinal  
 482 cords. **D** Quantification of OLIG2+ and CC1+ cell densities in NWM<sup>g</sup> ( $p$  = 0.8926,  $p$  = 0.5109). **E**  
 483 Representative OLIG2 and CC1 staining in tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and  
 484 *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> NWM spinal cords. **F** Quantification of OLIG2+ and CC1+ cell  
 485 densities in NWM<sup>h</sup> ( $p$  = 0.3136,  $p$  = 0.2173). **G** Representative 5-mC and OLIG2 stainings in  
 486 tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>, *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup>  
 487 NWM spinal cords (white arrow heads indicate high-5mC+/OLIG2+ cells). **H** Quantification of  
 488 low-, medium- and high-5-mC levels in OLIG2+ cells in tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and  
 489 *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> NWM<sup>i</sup>. **I** Quantification of low-, medium- and high-5-mC levels in OLIG2+  
 490 cells in tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> NWM<sup>j</sup>. **J** Quantification of  
 491 low-, medium- and high-5-mC levels in OLIG2+ cells in tamoxifen-treated  
 492 *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup>;*Dnmt3a*<sup>fl/fl</sup> NWM<sup>k</sup>. Scale bar = 50  $\mu$ m. Data are  
 493 mean  $\pm$  SEM. n=4-6 animals, 3 sections per animal. (Student's t-test, ANOVA).

494 **Figure 3.** Ablation of *Dnmt3a* and both *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* impairs oligodendrocyte  
 495 differentiation during remyelination. **A** Representative OLIG2 and CC1 staining at 14dpl in  
 496 tamoxifen-treated *Plp*<sup>+/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and *Plp*<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;*Dnmt1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> spinal cords. **B** Quantification of  
 497 OLIG2+ and CC1+ cell densities and CC1+ / OLIG2+ cells percentage at 14dpl<sup>l</sup> ( $p$  = 0.7955,  $p$  =



498 0.3573,  $p = 0.9689$ ). **C** Representative OLIG2 and CC1 staining at 14dpl in tamoxifen-treated  
 499  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  spinal cords. **D** Quantification of OLIG2+ and CC1+  
 500 cell densities and CC1+ / OLIG2+ cells percentage at 14dpl<sup>m</sup> ( $p = 0.7851$ ,  $p = 0.0550$ ,  $p =$   
 501  $0.0149$ ). **E** Representative OLIG2 and CC1 staining at 14dpl in tamoxifen-treated  
 502  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  spinal cords. **F** Quantification of  
 503 OLIG2+ and CC1+ cell densities and CC1+ / OLIG2+ cells percentage at 14dpl<sup>n</sup> ( $p = 0.1510$ ,  $p =$   
 504  $0.0357$ ,  $p = 0.0006$ ). **G** Quantification of DNMT1 and DNMT3A expression in CC1+ cells at  
 505 14dpl in tamoxifen-treated  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl}$  and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl}$ ,  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  and  
 506  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$ ,  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  spinal cords,  
 507 to detect eventual compensation between DNMTs at the protein level<sup>o</sup> ( $p = 0.0075$ ,  $p = 0.0505$ ,  
 508  $p = 0.0074$ ,  $p = 0.0053$ ,  $p = 0.0072$ ,  $p = 0.0012$ ). Scale bar = 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM.  
 509  $n=4-6$  animals, 3 sections per animal. \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$  (Student's t-test).

510 **Figure 4.** Ablation of *Dnmt3a* and both *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* impairs methylation levels in  
 511 oligodendroglial cells during remyelination. **A** Representative 5-mC and OLIG2 staining at 14dpl  
 512 in tamoxifen-treated  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl}$  and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl}$  spinal cords (white arrow heads  
 513 indicate high-5mC+/OLIG2+ cells). **B** Quantification of low-, medium- and high-5-mC levels in  
 514 OLIG2+ cells at 14dpl<sup>p</sup>. **C** Representative 5-mC and OLIG2 staining at 14dpl in tamoxifen-  
 515 treated  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl}$  and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  spinal cords (white arrow heads indicate high-  
 516 5mC+/OLIG2+ cells). **D** Quantification of low-, medium- and high-5-mC levels in OLIG2+ cells at  
 517 14dpl<sup>q</sup>. **E** Representative 5-mC and OLIG2 staining at 14dpl in tamoxifen-treated  $Plp^{+/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl}$   
 518 and  $Plp^{creER(t)/+};Dnmt1^{fl/fl};Dnmt3a^{fl/fl}$  spinal cords (white arrow heads indicate high-5-mC+/OLIG2+  
 519 cells). **F** Quantification of low-, medium- and high-5-mC levels in OLIG2+ cells at 14dpl<sup>r</sup>. Scale  
 520 bar = 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM.  $n=4-6$  animals, 3 sections per animal. \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p <$   
 521  $0.001$  (ANOVA).

522 **Figure 5.** Ablation of *Dnmt1* and *Dnmt3a* impairs remyelination in the adult spinal cord. **A**  
523 Representative semi-thin sections at 21dpl in tamoxifen-treated *Plp<sup>+/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* and  
524 *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>* spinal cords. **B** Relative ranking of remyelination<sup>s</sup> ( $p = 0.3075$ ). **C**  
525 Quantification of G-ratios for control and mutants mice, and plot of G-ratios against axonal  
526 diameter<sup>t</sup> ( $p = 0.9426$ ). **D** Representative semi-thin sections at 21dpl in tamoxifen-treated  
527 *Plp<sup>+/+</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* and *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* spinal cords. **E** Relative ranking of remyelination<sup>u</sup> ( $p =$   
528  $0.7144$ ). **F** Quantification of G-ratios for control and mutants mice, and plot of G-ratios against  
529 axonal diameter<sup>v</sup> ( $p = 0.1079$ ). **G** Representative semi-thin sections at 21dpl in tamoxifen-  
530 treated *Plp<sup>+/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* and *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* spinal cords. **H** Relative  
531 ranking of remyelination<sup>x</sup> ( $p = 0.7584$ ). **I** Quantification of G-ratios for control and mutants mice,  
532 and plot of G-ratios against axonal diameter<sup>y</sup> ( $p = 0.0005$ ). **J** Representative electron  
533 microscopic sections at 21dpl in tamoxifen-treated *Plp<sup>+/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* and  
534 *Plp<sup>creER(t)/+</sup>;Dnmt1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Dnmt3a<sup>fl/fl</sup>* spinal cords revealing new thin myelin sheaths of remyelination  
535 (arrows) and a demyelinated axon (arrow head). Scale bar = 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . Dots are ranking for each  
536 mouse (**B, E, H**) and G-ratio for each quantified axons (**C, F, I**). Data are mean  $\pm$  SEM.  $n=3-5$   
537 animals,  $> 70$  axons per animal. \*\*\* $p < 0.01$  (Mann Whitney test and Student's t-test).

538









