

Sensory and Motor Systems


Activity Patterns in the Neuropil of Striatal Cholinergic Interneurons in Freely Moving Mice Represent Their Collective Spiking Dynamics

Rotem Rehani,^{1*}  Yara Atamna,^{1*}  Lior Tiroshi,^{1*}  Wei-Hua Chiu,¹  José de Jesús Aceves Buendía,¹ Gabriela J. Martins,²  Gilad A. Jacobson,¹ and  Joshua A. Goldberg¹

<https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0351-18.2018>

¹Department of Medical Neurobiology, Institute of Medical Research Israel–Canada, The Faculty of Medicine, The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem 9112102, Israel, and ²Zuckerman Mind Brain Behavior Institute, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027

Visual Abstract



Significance Statement

Cholinergic interneurons (CINs) are key modulators of the striatal microcircuitry that are necessary for assigning action value and behavioral flexibility. We present a first endoscopic imaging study of multiple molecularly identified CINs in freely moving mice. We reveal the presence of activity patterns in the CIN neuropil. We then use *ex vivo* electrophysiological and imaging techniques to show that the neuropil signal is the integrated fluorescence arising from the axodendritic arbors of CINs dispersed throughout the striatum. We conclude that the neuropil signal acts as a mean-field readout of the striatal CIN network activity.

Cholinergic interneurons (CINs) are believed to form synchronous cell assemblies that modulate the striatal microcircuitry and possibly orchestrate local dopamine release. We expressed GCaMP6s, a genetically encoded calcium indicator (GECIs), selectively in CINs, and used microendoscopes to visualize the putative CIN assemblies in the dorsal striatum of freely moving mice. The GECI fluorescence signal from the dorsal striatum was composed of signals from individual CIN somata that were engulfed by a widespread fluorescent neuropil. Bouts of synchronous activation of the cholinergic neuropil revealed patterns of activity that preceded the signal from individual somata. To investigate the nature of the neuropil signal and why it precedes the somatic signal, we target-patched GECI-expressing CINs in acute striatal slices in conjunction with multiphoton imaging or wide-field imaging that emulates the microendoscopes' specifications. The ability to detect fluorescent transients associated with individual action potential was constrained by the long decay constant of GECIs (relative to common inorganic dyes) to slowly firing (<2 spikes/s) CINs. The microendoscopes' resolving power and sampling rate further diminished this ability. Additionally, we found that only back-propagating action potentials but not synchronous optogenetic activation of thalamic inputs elicited observable calcium transients in CIN dendrites. Our data suggest that only bursts of CIN activity (but not their tonic firing) are visible using endoscopic imaging, and that the neuropil patterns are a physiological measure of the collective recurrent CIN network spiking activity.

Key words: channelrhodopsin-2; gradient reflective index (GRIN) lens; local field potentials (LFPs); pacemaker; spatiotemporal patterns; two-photon laser scanning microscopy

Introduction

Striatal cholinergic interneurons (CINs) are the main population of tonically active neurons (TANs) whose pause response is associated with the presentation of reward or with stimuli that are associated with reward (Anderson, 1978; Kimura et al., 1984; Aosaki et al., 1994; Raz et al., 1996). It was hypothesized long ago that CINs form synchronous striatal cell assemblies during the pause responses (Graybiel et al., 1994). These assemblies collectively modulate neuronal excitability, synaptic transmission, and synaptic plasticity in the striatal microcircuitry (Calabresi et al., 2000; Pakhotin and Bracci, 2007; Pisani et al., 2007; Goldberg et al., 2012; Plotkin and Goldberg, 2018). This hypothesis has been buttressed by recent *ex vivo* data showing that synchronous activation of CINs can lead to the release of dopamine, GABA, and glutamate in the striatum (Cachope et al., 2012; English et al., 2012; Threlfell et al., 2012; Chuhma et al., 2014; Nelson et al., 2014). Multiple electrode recordings in primates have shown that TANs exhibit some degree of

synchrony, which increases in experimental parkinsonism (Raz et al., 1996; Apicella et al., 1997; Goldberg et al., 2002, 2004; Ravel et al., 2003). With the advent of genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs) it is now possible to conduct longitudinal studies on large assemblies of molecularly identified neurons, such as the CINs, in freely moving mice performing self-initiated movements or undergoing training (Mank and Griesbeck, 2008; Ghosh et al., 2011; Lin and Schnitzer, 2016). In most GECI experiments, the images are composed of fluorescence that arises from the somata of the targeted neurons and because of background fluorescence.

In most studies the background activity is presumed to arise from out-of-focus neurons, hemodynamics (because of the autofluorescence of blood vessels) and other artifacts such as motion or photo-bleaching of dyes (Pneumatikakis et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2018). In the case of microendoscopic imaging with GRIN lenses implanted deep in the brain and where diffuse light contamination can be minimized, the contribution of hemodynamics is likely to be less of an issue (Ma et al., 2016). Nevertheless, most recent studies from various groups that conduct microendoscopic imaging have adopted a strategy that calls for the removal of the background signal to extract a cleaner neuronal signal. Two approaches have been used to subtract the background signal. The first is a heuristic and involves estimating the background fluctuations in the vicinity of a given soma. This signal (or a weighted version of it) is subtracted from the somatic signal (Klaus and Plenz, 2016; Stamatakis et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018). The logic is simple. Because of the substantial depth-of-field of the imaging system, any signal observed in the vicinity is likely to contaminate the pixels in the soma and must therefore be subtracted. The other approach builds on the fact that the background signal tends to be highly correlated in space, and therefore lends itself to being modeled as a global background signal composed of a DC term plus some low spatial frequency components. By adding this assumption to an assumption regarding the parametric exponential shape of cal-

Received September 6, 2018; accepted December 27, 2018; First published January 4, 2019.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author Contributions: R.R., Y.A., L.T., G.J.M., G.A.J., and J.A.G. designed research; R.R., Y.A., L.T., W.-H.C., and J.J.A.B. performed research; R.R., Y.A., L.T., and G.A.J. analyzed data; R.R., Y.A., L.T., G.A.J., and J.A.G. wrote the paper.

This work was funded by a European Research Council Consolidator Grant (646886–SynChI) and two grants from the Israel Science Foundation (154/14 and 155/14) to J.A.G. We thank Dr. Yaniv Ziv for his expert guidance and advice, and Anatoly Shapochnikov for excellent technical support.

*R.R., Y.A., and L.T. contributed equally to this work.

J. J. Aceves Buendía's present address: Departamento de Neurología y Psiquiatría, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubiran, 14080, Ciudad de México, Mexico.

Correspondence should be addressed to Joshua A. Goldberg at joshua.goldberg2@mail.huji.ac.il.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0351-18.2018>

Copyright © 2019 Rehani et al.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

cium events that accompany individual spikes, this approach has yielded sophisticated algorithms, which simultaneously estimate independent neuronal sources while subtracting a global model of the background (Klaus and Plenz, 2016; Stamatakis et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018).

However, when transfecting the neurons, the fluorescent proteins are expressed in all compartments of the neurons including the axon and dendrite (Kerr et al., 2005; Lee et al., 2017). When considering the known anatomy of CINs that possess very intricate axonal and dendritic arbors (Chang et al., 1982; DiFiglia, 1987; Wilson et al., 1990; Kawaguchi, 1992), it stands to reason that a large component of the background signals is not out-of-focus somatic signals but rather calcium influx because of propagation and/or back-propagation action potentials throughout the CINs' axonal and dendritic arbors, respectively. Under these circumstances, these background signals should be tightly related to the network state of the CIN network, implying that the background signal could provide a physiologic readout of the entire CIN network.

In the current study, we describe GECl signals from striatal cholinergic neuropil in freely moving mice imaged with microendoscopes. To better understand the origin of the neuropil signal we use wide-field ("one-photon") and two-photon laser scanning microscopy (2PLSM) imaging of GECl signals from CINs in acute striatal slices. The combination of approaches leads us to the conclusion that to a large degree the patterns observed in the cholinergic neuropil arise from back-propagating APs (bAPs) in the dendritic arbors. As a sum of many cholinergic processes the background activity, like local field potentials (LFPs), represents a readout of the collective discharge of CINs.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Experimental procedures adhered to and received prior written approval from The Hebrew University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Two- to 9-month-old choline acetyltransferase-cre-dependent (ChAT-IRES-Cre) transgenic mice (stock #006410; Jackson Laboratories) of both sexes were used in the experiments.

Stereotaxic injection of AAVs and ChR2

Mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane in a non-rebreathing system (2.5% induction, 1–1.5% maintenance) and placed in a stereotaxic frame (model 940, Kopf Instruments). Temperature was maintained at 35°C with a heating pad, artificial tears were applied to prevent corneal drying, and animals were hydrated with a bolus of injectable saline (5 ml/kg) mixed with an analgesic (5 mg/kg carprofen). To calibrate specific injection coordinates, the distance between bregma and lambda bones was measured and stereotaxic placement of the mice was readjusted to achieve maximum accuracy. A small hole was bored into the skull with a micro-drill bit, and a glass pipette was slowly inserted at the relevant coordinates in aseptic conditions. To minimize backflow, solution was

slowly injected and the pipette was left in place for 7 min before slowly retracting it.

A total amount of 400 nl of an adeno-associated virus (AAV) serotype 9 harboring GCaMP6s construct (AAV9-syn-flex-GCaMP6s; $>2.5 \times 10^{13}$ viral genome/ml; University of Pennsylvania Vector Core, catalog #AV-9-PV2824) was injected into the dorsal striatum under aseptic conditions. The coordinates of the injection were as follows: anteroposterior, +0.5 mm; mediolateral, +2.3 mm; and dorsoventral, -2.8 mm, relative to bregma using a flat skull position (Paxinos and Franklin, 2012).

For thalamic expression of ChR2 a total of 250 nl of an AAV serotype 9 harboring ChR2 construct (AAV9-hSyn-ChR2-eGFP; $>2.5 \times 10^{13}$ viral genome/ml; University of Pennsylvania Vector Core, catalog #AV-9-26973P) was injected into the caudal intralaminar nucleus of the thalamus to transfect the parafascicular nucleus (Pfn) neurons under aseptic conditions. The coordinates of the injection were as follows: anteroposterior, -2.3 mm; mediolateral, +0.65 mm; and dorsoventral, -3.35 mm, relative to bregma using a flat skull position (Paxinos and Franklin, 2012; Ellender et al., 2013). Two to 3 weeks after viral injection mice were used for experiments.

Gradient refractive index lens implantation

One week after the stereotaxic injection, mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane in a non-rebreathing system and placed in the stereotaxic frame, as described above [in some cases, a bolus of ketamine (32 mg/kg)-xylazine (3.2 mg/kg) was injected initially to stabilize the preparation for induction of anesthesia]. A hole slightly wider than the 1 mm diameter (4 mm long) gradient refractive index (GRIN) lens was drilled into the skull in aseptic conditions. We aspirated cortex with a 27–30 G needle to a depth of ~2 mm (just past the corpus callosum) and then fit the lens in snugly and (dental-) cemented it into place together with a head bar for restraining the mouse when necessary. One week later we attached a baseplate to guarantee that the endoscope will be properly aligned with the implanted GRIN lens. To ensure light impermeability, the dental cement was mixed with coal powder and painted with black nail polish. Two weeks later, the freely-moving mice were imaged in a behavior chamber lit by diffuse infrared light.

Microendoscopic imaging

Microendoscopes (*nVista*, Inscopix) sampled an area of $\sim 600 \times 900 \mu\text{m}$ (pixel dimension: $1.2 \mu\text{m}$) at 10 frames/s. Movies were motion corrected with the Inscopix Data Processing Software suite. Motion-corrected movies and electrophysiological data were analyzed, and curve fitting was performed using custom-made code in MATLAB (MathWorks). We extracted fluorescence changes over time ($\Delta F/F$) such that $\Delta F/F = (F - F_0)/F_0$. F is the raw fluorescent values recorded; F_0 denotes the minimal averaged fluorescence across 3 s periods (with 1.5 s long overlaps) throughout the measurement. Mice with weak transfection or too few somata were discarded. Somata were identified from a long-term temporal maximum projection of the $\Delta F/F$ signal, and regions-of-interest (ROIs) were marked manually to engulf the somatic area. The

annulus of each ROI was defined as a ring of pixels with the same area as the ROI, whose inner diameter is the distance of the point on the border of the ROI that is farthest away from the center-of-mass of the ROI plus five additional pixels. These annuli were also used to estimate the collective neuropil signal. We used an alternative scheme to estimate the collective signal. We considered all parts of the image that were devoid of somata and their surrounding 40 pixels. We then chose 100 circular ROIs with a radius of five pixels randomly located within this region.

To determine the temporal relationship between the somatic and annular signals, we detected major events in the somatic signal and averaged both the somatic and annular signals around these times. Signals were first averaged over all of the events in each soma–annulus pair, and the resulting traces were then averaged over the pairs. Our criterion for choosing the pairs was that they must display at least five events that did not contain another event, either in the soma or the annulus, within the 3 s following the peak.

Slice preparation

Two to 3 weeks following AAV injections, mice were deeply anesthetized with intraperitoneal injections of ketamine (200 mg/kg) -xylazine (23.32 mg/kg) and perfused transcardially with ice-cold modified artificial CSF (ACSF) oxygenated with 95% O₂–5% CO₂ and containing the following (in mM): 2.5 KCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.25 Na₂HPO₄, 0.5 CaCl₂, 10 MgSO₄, 10 glucose, 0.4 ascorbic acid, and 210 sucrose. The brain was removed and blocked in the sagittal plane and sectioned at a thickness of 240 μm in ice-cold modified ACSF. Slices were then submerged in ACSF, bubbled with 95% O₂–5% CO₂, and containing the following (in mM): 2.5 KCl, 126 NaCl, 26 NaHCO₃, 1.25 Na₂HPO₄, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgSO₄, and 10 glucose and stored at room temperature for at least 1 h before recording and/or imaging.

Slice visualization and data collection: wide-field imaging

Slices were transferred to a recording chamber mounted on an Olympus BX51 upright, fixed-stage microscope and perfused with oxygenated ACSF at room temperature. A 20×, 0.5 numerical aperture (NA) water-immersion objective was used to examine the slice using oblique illumination.

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological recordings were obtained with a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). The junction potential, which was 7–8 mV, was not corrected. Signals were digitized at 10 kHz. Patch pipette resistance was typically 3–4 MΩ when filled with the recording solution. For calcium imaging experiments in conjunction with current-clamp recordings the pipette contained the following (in mM): 130 K-gluconate, 6 KCl, 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 2Mg_{1.5}ATP, pH 7.3 with KOH (280–290 mOsm/kg).

One-photon wide-field calcium imaging

Optical measurements were made using 470 nm LED illumination (Mightex) and a cooled EM-CCD (Evolve 512 Delta, Photometrics). Frames were 512 × 512 pixels, pixel

size was 0.4 μm with no binning and frame rate was 5–10 Hz. ROIs were marked manually off-line and fluorescent traces were extracted.

Optical and electrophysiological data were obtained using the custom-made shareware package WinFluor (John Dempster, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, Scotland, UK), which automates and synchronizes the imaging signals and electrophysiological protocols. $\Delta F/F$ (for all acute slice experiments) was calculated as defined above with F_0 defined as the minimal value attained during the trace.

Slice visualization and data collection: 2PLSM

The slices were transferred to the recording chamber of Femto2D-Galvo scanner multiphoton system (Femtonics) and perfused with oxygenated ACSF at room temperature. A 16×, 0.8 NA water-immersion objective was used to examine the slice using oblique illumination.

Electrophysiology

Electrophysiological recordings were obtained with a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). The junction potential, which was 7–8 mV, was not corrected. Signals were digitized at 40 kHz. Patch pipette resistance and solution were as described for one-photon experiments.

2PLSM calcium imaging

The 2PLSM excitation source was a Chameleon Vision 2 tunable pulsed laser system (680–1080 nm; Coherent Laser Group). Optical imaging of GCaMP6s signals was performed by using a 920 nm excitation beam. The GCaMP6s emission was detected and collected with gated GaAsP photomultiplier tubes (PMTs) for high sensitivity detection of fluorescence photons as part of the Femto2D-Galvo scanner. Another PMT provided a bright-field transmission image in registration with the fluorescent images. Line scans were marked through the somata and visible dendrites and 20–250 Hz scans were performed, using ~0.2 μm pixels and an 8–12 μs dwell time. ROIs were marked manually off-line based on the online marked line scans, and fluorescent traces were extracted.

Optical and electrophysiological data were obtained using the software package MES (Femtonics), which also integrates the control of all hardware units in the microscope. The software automates and synchronizes the imaging signals and electrophysiological protocols. Data were extracted from the MES package to personal computers using custom-made code in MATLAB.

Somatic current injection

To generate subthreshold depolarizations, we injected 8–12 pA for 800 ms such that the voltage almost reached the threshold of activation. A 5-ms-long, 500 pA pulse was used to generate an AP. To calculate the calcium response to the stimulations we subtracted the baseline fluorescent level 50 ms before the stimulations and calculated the integrated $\Delta F/F$ over a duration 800 ms from the start time of the stimulation both for subthreshold and suprathreshold depolarizations.




Figure 1. Imaging of the striatal cholinergic network in freely moving mice reveals both somatic and neuropil signals. **A**, Immunohistochemical analysis of dorsal striatum of ChAT-cre mice stereotactically injected with AAVs harboring floxed GCaMP6s demonstrates its selective expression in CINs. **B**, A 1-mm-diameter GRIN lens is implanted into dorsolateral striatum following aspiration of cortical tissue. **C**, Implanted mouse with a microendoscope mounted on its head moves freely in a behavior chamber. **D**, Image via lens in freely moving mouse reveals a GCaMP6s signal from 44 identifiable somata and from the surrounding neuropil. **E**, 3-D rendition of a patch of the $\Delta F/F$ signal surrounding a soma reveals that an elevation in the neuropil signal precedes elevation of the somatic signal (region of soma indicated by a red circle). **F**, Illustration of the sampling of a somatic ROI (central circle) and a surrounding (white) annular region.

Spike-triggered averaging and model

To generate the spike-triggered averaging (STA), we averaged the $\Delta F/F$ signal around spike times. To fit the dependence of the amplitude of the STA on firing rate (see Fig. 5F) we used a phenomenological model. Let τ be the decay time constant of GCaMP. Assume a periodic neuron with an inter spike interval of duration T , such that $f = 1/T$ is the firing frequency. The decay of the GCaMP fluorescence can be modeled as $e^{-1/f\tau}$. If F_0 is the baseline fluorescence level and ΔF is the amplitude of the fluorescence calcium trace visible over the baseline fluorescence, then the equation describing the decay of the GCaMP from its maximum value to baseline value is as follows:

$$(F_0 + \Delta F) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{f\tau}} = F_0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{\Delta F}{F_0} = e^{\frac{1}{f\tau}} - 1$$

Optogenetic stimulation

A blue-light LED of the Femto2D-Galvo scanner multiphoton system (473 nm, Femtonics) was used for full-field illumination. Light-pulse trains consisted of five pulses at 10 Hz, each pulse lasting 1 ms. Fast-gated GaAsP PMTs were used to prevent saturation of the PMTs because of the LED light flashes. The PMTs were disabled 5 ms before LED flash and re-enabled 5 ms after the end of the light flash. To calculate the calcium response in response to the optogenetic stimulation, we subtracted the baseline fluorescence before the stimulations (50 ms before stimulation time), and compared the integrated dendritic calcium signal during a 200 ms window beginning 500 ms after evoking subthreshold EPSPs, to the dendritic calcium signal generated by spontaneously occurring bAPs during that same time window in the same neurons.


Immunohistochemistry

Mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused transcardially with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB) followed by ice-



Movie 1. Synchronous spatiotemporal patterns in striatal cholinergic neuropil of a freely moving mouse. Microendoscopic imaging of the DLS of a freely moving mouse expressing the calcium indicator GCaMP6s selectively in CINs. The size of the visualized area is $\sim 600 \times 900 \mu\text{m}$, and the movie is presented in real time. Imaging reveals fluctuations in the fluorescence of cholinergic neuropil characterized by rapid bursts of activation that permeate the entire FoV and decay slowly. The movie includes three examples of activation events. [View online]

cold 4% paraformaldehyde. Coronal sections of the striatum were cut at $30 \mu\text{m}$ on a cryostat microtome (Leica CM1950) in antifreeze buffer (1:1:3 volume ratio of ethyl glycerol, glycerol, and 0.1 M PB) and stored at -20°C before further analysis. The sections were pre-incubated in 5% normal horse serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PB for 40 min after washing steps, and incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies



Movie 2. The cholinergic neuropil calcium signal precedes the somatic signal. Surface plot visualization of the microendoscopic calcium imaging signal in the DLS of a freely moving mouse (as in Movie 1). Visualized area is a $40 \times 60 \mu\text{m}$ patch consisting of a single CIN soma surrounded by cholinergic neuropil. Example of an activation event demonstrating that somatic fluctuations are superimposed upon the temporal fluctuations of surrounding pixels and are slightly preceded by them. [View online]

[goat anti-choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), 1:100; Millipore; RRID:AB_262156]]. On the second day, sections were incubated with fluorophore-conjugated species-specific secondary antibodies (donkey anti-goat, 1:1000; Abcam) for 2 h at room temperature. Brain sections were rinsed in PBS and directly coverslipped by fluorescent mounting medium (VECTASHIELD, Vector Laboratories). Multi-labeling fluorescent immunostainings of juxtacellularly filled neurons were analyzed using a laser-scanning microscope (LSM 510 Meta, Zeiss) using $20\times/0.3$ NA interference contrast lens ($20\times$ zoom).

Data and statistical analysis

Peak events in the spatial average were detected with a peak-finding algorithm (MATLAB) with the condition that the peak amplitude be $>35\% \Delta F/F$.

The nonparametric two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test (SRT) was used for matched samples. Null hypotheses were rejected if the p value was <0.05 .

Results

Synchronous patterns in striatal cholinergic neuropil of freely moving mice

The dorsolateral striatum (DLS) of ChAT-cre mice was transfected with AAVs harboring cre-dependent CGaMP6s, causing this GECI to express selectively in CINs (Fig. 1A). Following implantation of GRIN lens in the transfected area (Fig. 1B), imaging an area of $\sim 600 \times 900 \mu\text{m}$ through the lens using a miniaturized endoscope in two freely moving mice (Fig. 1C) revealed spatiotemporal fluctuations in fluorescence in the cholinergic neuropil. These fluctuations were characterized by recurring, rapid bursts of activation that permeated the entire field-of-view (FoV) and that slowly decayed (Movie 1). Embedded within the neuropil were several dozen somata of individual CINs that also exhibited substantial fluctuations in fluorescence (Fig. 1D). Because of the depth-of-field of the 0.5 NA GRIN lens, the pixels from somata also contain contributions of fluorescence from the dense, space-filling cholinergic processes (Chang et al., 1982; DiFiglia, 1987; Wilson et al., 1990; Kawaguchi, 1992) traversing above and below the somata and possibly from other out-of-focus fluorescent somata. One expression of this is that the somatic fluctuations are always superimposed on the temporal fluctuations of the surrounding pixels that contain the same contribution from the bypassing neuropil (Fig. 1E; Movie 2).

To estimate the spatiotemporal structure of the neuropil signal and to compare it with the somatic activity, we analyzed activity in annuli surrounding each of the somata in the FoV (Fig. 1F). In Figure 2A we depict a color-coded matrix of the fluctuations in fluorescence ($\Delta F/F$) as a function of time with each row representing an individual annulus. This matrix reveals that the neuropil signal is composed of dramatic increases in $\Delta F/F$ that are highly synchronous across the entire FoV (as is evident from the near-identical signal in the various rows of the matrix), and that decay slowly, as can be seen in the population average of all the annuli (Fig. 2B, red trace). To justify the use of the annuli as a fair representation of the neuropil activ-




Figure 2. Cholinergic neuropil signal in dorsolateral striatum in freely moving mouse. **A**, Color-coded matrix of activity of neuropil $\Delta F/F$ signal sampled from the annuli surrounding 44 somata scattered in the FoV. Time is represented along the horizontal axis. Each row corresponds to an individual annulus. **B**, Population average of signals from all annuli (red, using annuli associated with the somata; gray, using randomly located circular ROIs that are far from any soma). Arrows above represent peaks of strong network activation (see Materials and Methods). **C**, Color-coded activity of the 44 somata $\Delta F/F$ signals, after subtraction of the surrounding annular signal.

ity, we calculated the average signal that arises from 100 small circular ROIs randomly located within the region of the image that is devoid of somata (see Materials and Methods). The resulting average (Fig. 2B, gray trace) is indistinguishable for the average annuli signal. Thus, although the annuli signals reported the global neuropil signal, the individual somata exhibited more distinct dynamics, once the signal from each annulus was subtracted from its corresponding soma (Fig. 2C).

The neuropil calcium signal precedes the somatic signal

As seen above (Fig. 1E; Movie 2), comparison of the activity of a given soma (after subtraction) and its surrounding neuropil signal (taken from the corresponding annulus) suggests that although the activity of the ROI and annulus are quite distinct, every time a soma is activated, this activation is preceded slightly by an activation of the surrounding neuropil signal (Fig. 3A). To quantify this effect, we calculated for 44 soma–annulus pairs, the event-triggered average of the annulus signal around the time of an event in its corresponding soma. The population average (across all 44 pairs) shows that each somatic event is preceded on average by a rise in the neuropil signal that begins 2 s earlier (Fig. 3B). The neuropil also decays faster than the somatic signals (Fig.

3C; median somata: 4.35 s, median annuli: 2.32 s, $n = 14$ eligible pairs, $p = 3.7 \times 10^{-4a}$, SRT; superscript letters in text correspond to the statistical table; Table 1). Given that the cholinergic neuropil is almost entirely intrinsic to the striatum (Mesulam et al., 1992; Contant et al., 1996; Dautan et al., 2014), it is unclear why the neuropil signal would begin before the somatic signals. Perhaps the neuropil signal precedes the somatic signals because the former represents input to the latter. It is possible that activation of synaptic inputs generates elevations in dendritic calcium levels that would manifest themselves as neuropil signals that precede the somatic discharge. Alternatively, perhaps the afferent cholinergic projection from the pedunculopontine nucleus to DLS (Dautan et al., 2014) was infected retrogradely by the striatal AAV injection and contributes to the fluorescence, thereby contributing to a fluorescent neuropil signal that precedes the somatic signal. However, these possibilities seem unlikely because 2 s is too long a latency to be accounted for simply by synaptic delays.

Several properties of the neuropil and somatic signals are therefore still in need of elucidation. How are fluctuations in somatic fluorescence signals related to the actual discharge of cholinergic interneurons? What is the physiologic process that generates the neuropil signal? What is the origin of the 2-s-long neuropil activity that precedes




Figure 3. Annular (neuropil) signal precedes somatic signal in freely moving mice. **A**, Calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signal from a soma–annulus pair. **B**, Average calcium signal from the soma and its corresponding annulus averaged over soma–annulus pairs triggered on the somatic calcium events. Shaded areas mark the 95% confidence intervals. **C**, Boxplot of decay time constants of somatic versus annular calcium signals. Bold line is the median and the whiskers are the 25th and 75th percentiles.

the somatic signals? These questions prompted us to delve deeper into the origin of the somatic and neuropil signals generated during the collective activity of CINs.

Because CINs are autonomously active neurons (Bennett and Wilson, 1999; Surmeier et al., 2005), we reasoned that some degree of collective cholinergic activity would be preserved in acute striatal slices, and that we could image this activity in the ChAT-cre mice expressing GCaMP6s selectively in CINs. We also reasoned that using 2PLSM would help us discriminate between somatic and neuropil signals. Because of the miniscule

depth-of-field ($<2 \mu\text{m}$ full-width at half-height) of 2PLSM, the contamination of somatic imaging by out-of-focus neuropil would be negligible. Additionally, 2PLSM allows imaging of individual dendrites, which are presumably a major contributor to the neuropil signal, and study precisely under what circumstances they give rise to GCaMP6s signals. By combining targeted patch clamp recordings with 2PLSM imaging we could address mechanistic questions regarding the relationship of the soma–dendritic calcium signal and the electrophysiological activity of the CINs.

Table 1. Statistical table

	Data structure	Type of test	Power
a	Ranks	Two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired replicates	3.7×10^{-4}
b	Ranks	Two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired replicates	7.8×10^{-3}
c	Ranks	Two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired replicates	0.0195
d	Ranks	Two-tailed Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired replicates	7.35×10^{-4}




Figure 4. 2PLSM imaging of CIN somata in acute striatal slices. **A**, 2PLSM image of CINs expressing GCaMP6s in an acute striatal slice. Nine individual CINs are identified and color-coded with arrows. **B**, Color-coded traces of calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signals from the nine cells depicted in **A**. **C**, 2PLSM image from another mouse, with three identified and color-coded CINs. **D**, Three repetitions of calcium imaging of the three CINs depicted in **C**, a few minutes apart.

Somatic GCaMP6s signals exhibit diverse temporal patterns of activity *ex vivo*

2PLSM imaging of acute striatal slices demonstrated that neuropil expressing fluorescent GCaMP6s could be observed. Moreover, the neuropil can be resolved as dendritic (and perhaps axonal) processes. The striations were devoid of GCaMP6s, in line with their known composition of noncholinergic afferent fibers (Wilson, 2004). Embedded within the fluorescent neuropil processes several (up to 10 per experiment) CIN somata could be visualized. In the example depicted in Figure 4A, we were able to manually mark nine distinct somata for imaging and extract traces of $\Delta F/F$ that exhibited autonomous calcium fluctuations in the transfected neurons (Fig. 4B). In some traces, calcium transients that appear to correspond to individual action potentials could be observed (top 3 traces). Collectively, these traces demonstrated that the temporal structure of the fluctuations varied considerably among the somata (Fig. 4B), with some cells exhibiting large fluctuations in $\Delta F/F$, whereas others exhibited relatively uneventful traces (bottom 5 traces). Interestingly, the nature of the calcium signal in individual neurons could vary considerably with time. For example, one CIN (Fig. 4C, pink arrow) exhibited large calcium fluctuations in the initial trace (Fig. 4D, top pink trace). A few minutes later, these fluctuations died down (middle

pink trace). Finally, after a few more minutes elapsed, large fluctuations resumed (bottom pink trace). Such findings are consistent with previous studies that showed that individual CINs in acute slices can change their firing pattern from regular to irregular to bursting discharge (Bennett and Wilson, 1999).

It is easy to make a convincing case that the GCaMP6s signal represents autonomous discharge when transients associated with individual spikes or large fluctuations in $\Delta F/F$ are observed. However, what can be said for the cells that exhibit a relatively flat and unchanging profile of fluorescence?

Limitations in interpreting somatic GCaMP6s signal from autonomously firing CINs

To address this question, we used a targeted patch of CINs to characterize the firing patterns underlying the calcium transients (Fig. 5A). We then aligned the trace from the somatic region of a cell with its corresponding electrophysiological recording. When a CIN discharged spontaneously in a bursty fashion (Fig. 5B, blue), calcium transients similar to those observed without a patch electrode (Fig. 4) were visible, and it was possible to clearly associate the electrophysiological recordings and the large calcium transients. Proximal dendrites exhibited an even clearer relationship to spiking (Fig. 5B, pink), due to




Figure 5. Estimation of autonomous discharge of CINs using 2PLSM GCaMP6 imaging is limited to bursting or to slow regular firing neurons. **A**, 2PLSM imaging of somatic and dendritic calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signals in conjunction with electrophysiological recording from GCaMP6s-expressing CIN. **B**, Somatic (blue) and dendritic (pink) $\Delta F/F$ signals and membrane potential of CIN depicted in **A**. **C**, 2PLSM somatic imaging and electrophysiological recording from another CIN. **D**, Somatic imaging and corresponding electrophysiological recording from CIN firing autonomously at a lower rate (top) or driven to fire faster at a higher rate (bottom). **E**, STA of somatic $\Delta F/F$ signal (from panel D) for slow (top) and fast (bottom) discharge. **F**, Amplitude of STA versus the firing rate of regularly firing CINs. Solid blue line, Fit of phenomenological model (see text).

the larger surface-to-volume ratio (see below). In CINs that fired regularly (Fig. 5C), fluorescence transients associated with single action potentials could still be identified, as long as the firing rate was sufficiently low (Fig. 5D, top). In this case, estimation of the STA of the calcium signal revealed a large $\Delta F/F$ wave form that rose after the action potential occurred and slowly decayed (Fig. 5E, top). When the same CIN discharged faster, it was no longer possible to unequivocally discern individual transients (Fig. 5D, bottom). Nevertheless, the STA revealed a $\Delta F/F$ wave form that is time-locked to the AP (Fig. 5E, bottom), albeit a significantly smaller one than that observed during slower discharge (Fig. 5E, top). This finding suggested the tetanic-like fusing of the individual calcium transients is responsible for the decrease of the STA amplitude. If tetanic fusion of the $\Delta F/F$ signal occurs at firing rates as low as 2–2.5 spikes/s, this effect places strong constraints on the ability to read out the discharge patterns underlying the calcium transients when using GCaMP6s.

To quantify this effect, we characterized the relationship between firing rate of the CINs and the amplitude of the

STA of the $\Delta F/F$ trace associated with that firing rate. Figure 5F depicts a scatter plot of the STA amplitude as a function of firing rate for $n = 25$ non-bursting CINs (from $N = 15$ mice), where each CIN is represented by at least three points corresponding to different firing rates (e.g., red dots represent 4 measurements from the same CIN). The resulting plot (Fig. 5F) shows that the STA calcium transient amplitudes decrease as the firing rate increases. When the firing rate is too high it becomes impossible to detect the underlying spike times from the fluorescence trace alone, because the average transient is too small to discern against a noisy background. An example for such a case is shown in Figure 5D, bottom, where the neuron fires at ~ 2 –2.5 Hz. Individual action potentials can no longer be discerned from the imaging trace alone although the estimation of the STA reveals a visible wave form.

The dependence of the size of the STA on the firing rate can be captured by a simple phenomenological model (see Materials and Methods) wherein the observed calcium dynamics are simply a sum of the calcium fluorescence transients elicited by the individual action po-




Figure 6. Wide-field one-photon imaging of CIN somata in acute striatal slices. **A**, Wide-field image of CINs expressing GCaMP6s in an acute striatal slice. Five individual CINs are identified and color-coded with arrows. **B**, Calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signal from the five cells depicted in **A**. **C**, Wide-field image from another cell recorded in cell attached mode. **D**, Calcium ($\Delta F/F$) in conjunction with spike raster of the CIN depicted in panel **C**.

tentials. If we further assume for simplicity that the transient is exponentially shaped (with a decay time constant τ) and that the firing is perfectly periodic, we can derive an expression for the amplitude of the STA as a function of the firing rate that depends on the single parameter τ . The value of τ for the fit (Fig. 5F, blue line) is 1.5 s. The main determinant of this decay is the decay kinetics of GCaMP6s, which is on the order of 1s (Chen et al., 2013). Additionally, imaging large compartments such as somata further slows the decay kinetics (Goldberg et al., 2009).

Capacity to detect individual spikes using wide-field calcium imaging is diminished

From the empirical results and the phenomenological model, it is evident that the faster the neurons fire, the smaller the size of the STA wave form. In other words, the slower the decay kinetics of the indicator the harder it is to discern individual action potentials in the calcium signals. These data demonstrate that the use of GCaMP6s, constrains the ability to detect individual calcium transients if the neuron fires faster than 2–3 spikes/s. But what resolving power is GCaMP6s expected to have under the one-photon conditions employed in the endoscopic imaging? Unlike the sensitivity of 2PLSM imaging, the endoscopic data collected from the awake behaving mouse did not seem to exhibit calcium transients corresponding to individual action potentials. One possibility is that the much slower sampling rate of the endoscope, which smears STAs (Teagarden et al., 2008), and its low NA (0.5) GRIN

lens objective further diminishes the ability to discern individual action potentials. To test this possibility, under more controlled conditions in conjunction with electrophysiological recordings, we conducted wide-field calcium imaging of CINs expressing GCaMP6s in acute slices under conditions that recreate the endoscope's specification, by using an EM-CCD at a sampling rate of 5–10 Hz via a 20 \times /0.5 NA objective.

The wide-field imaging revealed a diffuse neuropil signal, similar to that seen *in vivo* (Fig. 6A). As with the 2PLSM imaging experiments, we were able to discern multiple CINs per slice (Fig. 6A), and the calcium transients associated with individual neurons were variable, with some exhibiting large and slow fluctuations, and others exhibiting flat traces (Fig. 6B). When we combined electrophysiological recordings with the imaging, it was possible to observe gross changes in firing rate in the $\Delta F/F$ signal (Fig. 6C). However, when we focused on examples where the discharge was relatively regular, it was impossible to observe fluorescence transients associated with individual spikes. Furthermore, the STAs in these cases were indistinguishable from a flat line ($n = 9$ neurons from $N = 8$ mice, data not shown).

Our conclusion is that a sufficiently slow firing rate is required to discern calcium transients from individual spikes, and that, in our hands, this is achieved reliably only using 2PLSM. Wide-field imaging with a slow camera and a low NA objective will generate GCaMP6s signals that follow changes in firing rate, but from which individual spikes are not detectable. Moreover, when observing a




Figure 7. Dendritic GCaMP6 signals detectable using 2PLSM imaging are limited to bAPs. **A**, 2PLSM imaging of somatic and dendritic calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signals in conjunction with electrophysiological recording from GCaMP6s-expressing CIN surrounded by PfN fibers expressing ChR2 (not shown). **B**, Calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signals in response to optogenetic activation of PfN synapses elicits either a subthreshold (green) or suprathreshold (red) synaptic response (bottom) in CIN depicted in **A**. Only the spiking response elicits a detectable $\Delta F/F$ signal. Subthreshold depolarization (in another cell) does not elicit a detectable response (cf. Goldberg et al., 2009). **C**, Distribution of integrated $\Delta F/F$ response in response to spontaneous bAPs, subthreshold EPSPs, and subthreshold depolarization.

calcium trace with only small fluctuations it is difficult to determine whether the cell is firing fast or not firing at all. The conclusion is that with the use of endoscopes one can only observe substantial fluctuations in firing rate. Moreover, because constant fluorescent signals are usually included in the baseline value (F_0), any relatively regular activity of CINs will generate a basal fluorescence that will be removed when calculating the $\Delta F/F$ signal, and will therefore remain undetected.

Origin of the neuropil signal

The previous analysis suggests that the fluctuating somatic GCaMP6s signals observed via the endoscope ex-

tract only robust changes in the firing rate of CINs. We next turn to consider what neural activity gives rise to the cholinergic neuropil signal observed in endoscopy. Here again we use 2PLSM in acute striatal slices with GCaMP6s-expressing CINs. As mentioned above, distinct dendritic processes are observed with 2PLSM throughout the transfected regions in the striatal slice. As these processes are ubiquitous, and no other cell types express the GECl, we hypothesized that CIN dendrites contribute to the blurred neuropil signal visualized with the endoscopes and the wide-field calcium imaging (Fig. 1D; Movies 1, 2).

Because of the large, global fluctuations of the neuropil signal in the endoscopic imaging, we set out to determine




Figure 8. Spike-triggered averages of calcium transients elicited by bAPs at various distances from the soma. 2PLSM line scans performed at various linear distances from the soma of a GCaMP6s-expressing CIN.




Figure 9. Dendritic calcium transients are larger than somatic ones. **A**, 2PLSM imaging of somatic and dendritic calcium ($\Delta F/F$) signals in conjunction with electrophysiological recording from GCaMP6s-expressing CIN. **B**, Calcium ($\Delta F/F$) responses in soma (black) and proximal dendrite (pink) during spontaneous discharge (bottom). **C**, STA of proximal dendritic (pink) calcium signals is larger than the somatic (black) signal. Right, Paired comparison of the amplitude of somatic and dendritic STAs.

their source. Previous studies of calcium signals in CINs from acute rodent slices using inorganic membrane impermeable dyes (Bennett et al., 2000; Goldberg et al., 2009; Tanimura et al., 2016) demonstrated that bAPs and even long back-propagating subthreshold depolarizations (bSDs), can open dendritic voltage-activated calcium channels (Chen et al., 2013), and generate visible dendritic calcium changes. These are certainly candidate mechanisms to generate dendritic GCaMP6s signals. However, because the neuropil signal preceded the somatic signals in the endoscopic data, we considered another possibility, that activation of afferent glutamatergic inputs could introduce sufficient calcium influx via NMDA and calcium permeable AMPA receptors, both of which are expressed in CINs (Consolo et al., 1996; Kosillo et al., 2016; Aceves Buendia et al., 2017), to generate a visible calcium signal. To determine which of these three scenarios—namely bAPs, bSDs, or activation of ionotropic glutamate receptors—is responsible for the dendritic signals, we compared the size of the GCaMP6s $\Delta F/F$ signals generated by each of these physiologic processes.

bAPs are a major contributor to the neuropil signal

To determine whether bAPs or bSDs are the main contributors to the dendritic calcium signal, we target-patched CINs, silenced them with a hyperpolarizing holding current, and injected subthreshold or suprathreshold depolarization currents while measuring the resulting calcium transient at a proximal dendrite. Comparison of the size of the transients evoked by back-propagating subthreshold and suprathreshold depolarization revealed that bAPs generated a significantly larger calcium signal in dendrites, that were quantified by the integrated fluorescence beneath the $\Delta F/F$ curve for a period of 800 ms (median bAP integrated fluorescence: 0.6875, median bSD integrated fluorescence: 0.048, $p = 7.8 \times 10^{-3b}$, SRT, data not shown). This result suggests that of the first two possibilities, bAPs are the main source of the GCaMP6s neuropil signal, whereas the contribution of subthreshold calcium entry is negligible.

To test whether synchronous activation of glutamatergic inputs could also generate visible calcium transients in CIN dendrites, we injected mice with AAVs harboring channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) with a ubiquitous promoter into the thalamic PfN, which gives rise to the dominant glutamatergic input to CINs (Lapper and Bolam, 1992; Ding et al., 2010; Threlfell et al., 2012; Aceves Buendia et al., 2017). Thus, in acute slices from these animals, CINs expressed GCaMP6s, whereas thalamic fibers expressed ChR2. Two to 3 weeks after transfection, we target-patched CINs (Fig. 7A) and evoked EPSPs in the patched CIN using full-field 470 nm LED illumination (Fig. 7B). We compared the integrated dendritic calcium signal in response to subthreshold EPSPs to the dendritic calcium signal generated by spontaneously occurring bAPs in the same cells. The calcium transient generated by spontaneous bAPs was significantly larger than the transient that corresponded to the subthreshold EPSPs (Fig. 7C; median bAP transient integrated fluorescence: 0.2803, median EPSP transient integrated fluorescence: 0.0382, $p = 0.0195^c$, SRT; the values for subthreshold current pulses are shown, as well, for comparison).

Because the slice is filled with many fluorescent processes it was only possible to reliably identify the proximal dendrites belonging to the patch-clamped CIN. Thus, strictly speaking the previous analysis relates to calcium transients in proximal dendrites. Nevertheless, it was occasionally possible to observe bAPs infiltrating distal regions, up to 150 μm from the soma (Fig. 8), as reported previously (Tanimura et al., 2016). We conclude that only bAPs generate a visible dendritic calcium signal. Indeed, as expected from surface-to-volume ratio considerations, and as has been shown previously with inorganic calcium indicators (Bennett et al., 2000; Goldberg et al., 2009) the ongoing GCaMP6s $\Delta F/F$ fluctuations are significantly larger in the dendrites than in the soma (Fig. 5A), as observed by comparing STAs of dendritic transients to STAs of somatic transients ($n = 23$ cells from $N = 13$ mice, $p = 7.35 \times 10^{-4d}$, SRT; Fig. 9).

The neuropil signal represents a mean-field readout of the entire CIN network

In light of the above experiments, it is likely that the background activity seen in the endoscopic *in vivo* imaging results from bAPs arising from CINs scattered throughout the striatum whose somata may not be visible but whose dendrites are within the FoV. While axons are not readily visible in these experiments (Bennett et al., 2000; Goldberg et al., 2009), we cannot rule out the APs propagating along the CINs' axonal arbors also contribute to the background activity. Whenever a GCaMP-expressing CIN fires, its entire dendritic (and possibly axonal) arbor lights up. Because the axonal and dendritic arbors of CINs overlap and cover the whole striatum (Chang et al., 1982; DiFiglia, 1987; Wilson et al., 1990; Kawaguchi, 1992), a pixel whose depth of field is tens of microns integrates the fluorescent signals of bAPs from dendrites of many neurons. Nearby pixels will integrate similar signals and will therefore be highly correlated. Because this correlated signal is the sum of the activity of many cells it represents a "global mode" of CINs activity. Because the global mode arises from the bAPs in CINs, it can be considered a readout of the "mean-field" of the recurrent network activity of the entire CINs population.

If the neuropil signal is a mean-field readout of the recurrent CIN network, then it is clear why on average the peak of the somatic signals coincides with the peak of the neuropil signal. What then gives rise to the 2 s rise in the population response that precedes the peak response of individual CIN soma? The most likely explanation is that the population (neuropil) signal represents the gradual recruitment of many CINs. Consequently, it is slower to rise than the signal from each individual neuron (as seen in the somatic signals). Additionally, when sampling a single neuron from a large population that is being recruited, it is expected that the onset of the signal from the single neurons will follow the population average. This is particularly true in our case where we sample neurons that are on the dorsal surface of the striatum, and where it is likely that the activation begins in the more ventral bulk of the striatum. We therefore conclude that the neuropil signal represents bursts of concurrent neuronal discharge of the striatal cholinergic network as a whole. This signal can be considered a mean-field cholinergic signal that is complementary to the signal recorded from individual CINs. Future work is necessary to determine the behavioral correlates of this signal.

Discussion

The combination of GECIs and microendoscopic imaging provides an exciting opportunity for studying the collective dynamics of striatal CINs in freely moving animals. CINs are autonomously active (Bennett and Wilson, 1999; Surmeier et al., 2005) and have extensive axonal and dendritic arbors (Chang et al., 1982; DiFiglia, 1987; Wilson et al., 1990; Kawaguchi, 1992). These properties generate a significant neuropil calcium signal. Our investigation of this signal uncovered synchronous patterns of activation in striatal cholinergic neuropil. Our analysis suggests that bAPs are major contributors to the neural activity giving

rise to the background signal seen in endoscopy. Finally, we show that the neuropil signal acts as an order parameter representing the striatal CIN network as whole and is, in this sense, similar to LFP.

Performing endoscopic calcium imaging of pacemaking neurons raises several issues that require careful consideration. First, the proper method of analyzing calcium signals using $\Delta F/F$ as a proxy for changes in calcium concentrations does not allow the detection of baseline spiking activity exhibited by regularly firing pacemakers. We combined calcium imaging and slice electrophysiology to demonstrate that 2PLSM calcium imaging using GCaMP6s only reveals individual spikes in pacemakers if their discharge rate is sufficiently low (~ 2 spikes/s). This is because of the relatively long decay time constant of GCaMP6s (Chen et al., 2013) that does not allow for the sufficient decay of calcium signals between consecutive spikes. Somatic calcium dynamics may introduce an additional delay, further hindering the detection of single APs. In the case of endoscopic imaging, the low sampling rate and low NA associated with miniaturized endoscopes add a major constraint, which makes it difficult to detect individual spikes even with averaging. Nevertheless, endoscopic calcium imaging has critical advantages over electrophysiological recordings, in particular, the ability to detect spatial patterns in the activity of a molecularly identified neural population.

We exploited this advantage to demonstrate a temporal structure in the recruitment of striatal cholinergic neuropil. The temporal recruitment is manifested in the earlier rise of the integrated neuropil signal compared with the somatic signals of individual neurons.

Our results suggest that the background neuropil signal seen in endoscopic *in vivo* calcium imaging arises primarily from the integrated fluorescent signal of bAPs from many CINs dispersed throughout the striatum (we cannot entirely rule out that subthreshold or synaptically driven calcium influx contribute to the signal, but their contribution is negligible in comparison to suprathreshold calcium influx). The fact that the decay time of the neuropil signal is shorter than that of the somatic signals (Fig. 3C), supports the interpretation that it arises primarily from dendrites (and/or axons) because their surface-to-volume ratio is higher. The higher ratio speeds up the decay constant of the axodendritic fluorescent signals relative to somatic ones (Goldberg et al., 2009). Thus, the cholinergic neuropil signal acts as a mean-field readout of the recurrent activity of the network of striatal CINs. This is reminiscent of the LFP signal; a once neglected signal that is now extensively studied and believed to represent integrated synaptic activity (Creutzfeldt et al., 1966; Eggermont and Smith, 1995; Bédard et al., 2004; Goldberg et al., 2004). We show that fluctuations in neuropil fluorescence are, like fluctuations in the LFP signal (Eckhorn and Obermueller, 1993; Destexhe et al., 1999), highly correlated in space. In addition, somatic calcium events are preceded by neuropil calcium events. This again resembles the LFP signal, which coincides with increases in instantaneous firing rate (Lass, 1968; Donoghue et al., 1998; Destexhe et al.,

1999). Thus, the background neuropil signal is a signal with physiologic significance.

References

- Aceves Buendia JJ, Tiroshi L, Chiu WH, Goldberg JA (2017) Selective remodeling of glutamatergic transmission to striatal cholinergic interneurons after dopamine depletion. *Eur J Neurosci*. Advance online publication. Retrieved September 18, 2017. doi: 10.1111/ejn.13715.
- Anderson ME (1978) Discharge patterns of basal ganglia neurons during active maintenance of postural stability and adjustment to chair tilt. *Brain Res* 143:325–338. [Medline](#)
- Aosaki T, Graybiel AM, Kimura M (1994) Effect of the nigrostriatal dopamine system on acquired neural responses in the striatum of behaving monkeys. *Science* 265:412–415. [Medline](#)
- Apicella P, Legallet E, Trouche E (1997) Responses of tonically discharging neurons in the monkey striatum to primary rewards delivered during different behavioral states. *Exp Brain Res* 116:456–466. [Medline](#)
- Bédard C, Kröger H, Destexhe A (2004) Modeling extracellular field potentials and the frequency-filtering properties of extracellular space. *Biophys J* 86:1829–1842. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Bennett BD, Wilson CJ (1999) Spontaneous activity of neostriatal cholinergic interneurons *in vitro*. *J Neurosci* 19:5586–5596. [Cross-Ref](#)
- Bennett BD, Callaway JC, Wilson CJ (2000) Intrinsic membrane properties underlying spontaneous tonic firing in neostriatal cholinergic interneurons. *J Neurosci* 20:8493–8503. [Medline](#)
- Cachope R, Mateo Y, Mathur BN, Irving J, Wang HL, Morales M, Lovinger DM, Cheer JF (2012) Selective activation of cholinergic interneurons enhances accumbal phasic dopamine release: setting the tone for reward processing. *Cell Rep* 2:33–41. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Calabresi P, Centonze D, Gubellini P, Pisani A, Bernardi G (2000) Acetylcholine-mediated modulation of striatal function. *Trends Neurosci* 23:120–126. [Medline](#)
- Chang HT, Wilson CJ, Kitai ST (1982) A Golgi study of rat neostriatal neurons: light microscopic analysis. *J Comp Neur* 208:107–126. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Chen TW, Wardill TJ, Sun Y, Pulver SR, Renninger SL, Baohan A, Schreiter ER, Kerr RA, Orger MB, Jayaraman V, Looger LL, Svoboda K, Kim DS (2013) Ultrasensitive fluorescent proteins for imaging neuronal activity. *Nature* 499:295–300. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Chuhma N, Mingote S, Moore H, Rayport S (2014) Dopamine neurons control striatal cholinergic neurons via regionally heterogeneous dopamine and glutamate signaling. *Neuron* 81:901–912. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Consolo S, Baldi G, Giorgi S, Nannini L (1996) The cerebral cortex and parafascicular thalamic nucleus facilitate *in vivo* acetylcholine release in the rat striatum through distinct glutamate receptor subtypes. *Eur J Neurosci* 8:2702–2710. [Medline](#)
- Contant C, Umbriaco D, Garcia S, Watkins KC, Descarries L (1996) Ultrastructural characterization of the acetylcholine innervation in adult rat neostriatum. *Neuroscience* 71:937–947. [Medline](#)
- Creutzfeldt OD, Watanabe S, Lux HD (1966) Relations between EEG phenomena and potentials of single cortical cells: II. Spontaneous and convulsoid activity. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 20:19–37. [CrossRef](#)
- Dautan D, Huerta-Ocampo I, Witten IB, Deisseroth K, Bolam JP, Gerdjikov T, Mena-Segovia J (2014) A major external source of cholinergic innervation of the striatum and nucleus accumbens originates in the brainstem. *J Neurosci* 34:4509–4518. [CrossRef](#)
- Destexhe A, Contreras D, Steriade M (1999) Spatiotemporal analysis of local field potentials and unit discharges in cat cerebral cortex during natural wake and sleep states. *J Neurosci* 19:4595–4608. [CrossRef](#)
- DiFiglia M (1987) Synaptic organization of cholinergic neurons in the monkey neostriatum. *J Comp Neur* 255:245–258. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ding JB, Guzman JN, Peterson JD, Goldberg JA, Surmeier DJ (2010) Thalamic gating of corticostriatal signaling by cholinergic interneurons. *Neuron* 67:294–307. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Donoghue JP, Sanes JN, Hatsopoulos NG, Gaál G (1998) Neural discharge and local field potential oscillations in primate motor cortex during voluntary movements. *J Neurophysiol* 79:159–173. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Eckhorn R, Obermueller A (1993) Single neurons are differently involved in stimulus-specific oscillations in cat visual cortex. *Exp Brain Res* 95:177–182. [Medline](#)
- Eggermont JJ, Smith GM (1995) Synchrony between single-unit activity and local field potentials in relation to periodicity coding in primary auditory cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 73:227–245. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ellender TJ, Harwood J, Kosillo P, Capogna M, Bolam JP (2013) Heterogeneous properties of central lateral and parafascicular thalamic synapses in the striatum. *J Physiol* 591:257–272. [Cross-Ref Medline](#)
- English DF, Ibanez-Sandoval O, Stark E, Tecuapetla F, Buzsáki G, Deisseroth K, Tepper JM, Koos T (2012) GABAergic circuits mediate the reinforcement-related signals of striatal cholinergic interneurons. *Nat Neurosci* 15:123–130. [CrossRef](#)
- Ghosh KK, Burns LD, Cocker ED, Nimmerjahn A, Ziv Y, Gamal AE, Schnitzer MJ (2011) Miniaturized integration of a fluorescence microscope. *Nat Methods* 8:871–878. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Goldberg JA, Boraud T, Maraton S, Haber SN, Vaadia E, Bergman H (2002) Enhanced synchrony among primary motor cortex neurons in the 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine primate model of Parkinson's disease. *J Neurosci* 22:4639–4653. [Cross-Ref Medline](#)
- Goldberg JA, Ding JB, Surmeier DJ (2012) Muscarinic modulation of striatal function and circuitry. *Handb Exp Pharmacol* 208:223–241. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Goldberg JA, Teagarden MA, Foehring RC, Wilson CJ (2009) Non-equilibrium calcium dynamics regulate the autonomous firing pattern of rat striatal cholinergic interneurons. *J Neurosci* 29:8396–8407. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Goldberg JA, Rokni U, Boraud T, Vaadia E, Bergman H (2004) Spike synchronization in the cortex/basal-ganglia networks of Parkinsonian primates reflects global dynamics of the local field potentials. *J Neurosci* 24:6003–6010. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Graybiel AM, Aosaki T, Flaherty AW, Kimura M (1994) The basal ganglia and adaptive motor control. *Science* 265:1826–1831. [Medline](#)
- Kawaguchi Y (1992) Large aspiny cells in the matrix of the rat neostriatum *in vitro*: physiological identification, relation to the compartments and excitatory postsynaptic currents. *J Neurophysiol* 67:1669–1682. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kerr JN, Greenberg D, Helmchen F (2005) Imaging input and output of neocortical networks *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102:14063–14068. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kimura M, Rajkowski J, Evarts E (1984) Tonicly discharging putamen neurons exhibit set-dependent responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 81:4998–5001. [Medline](#)
- Klaus A, Plenz D (2016) A Low-correlation resting state of the striatum during cortical avalanches and its role in movement suppression. *PLoS Biol* 14:e1002582. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kosillo P, Zhang YF, Threlfell S, Cragg SJ (2016) Cortical control of striatal dopamine transmission via striatal cholinergic interneurons. *Cereb Cortex* 11:4160–4169. [CrossRef](#)
- Lapper SR, Bolam JP (1992) Input from the frontal cortex and the parafascicular nucleus to cholinergic interneurons in the dorsal striatum of the rat. *Neuroscience* 51:533–545. [Medline](#)
- Lass Y (1968) A quantitative approach to the correlation of slow wave and unit electrical activity in the cerebral cortex of the cat. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 25:503–506. [Medline](#)
- Lee S, Meyer JF, Park J, Smirnakis SM (2017) Visually driven neuropil activity and information encoding in mouse primary visual cortex. *Front Neural Circuits* 11:50. [CrossRef Medline](#)

- Lin MZ, Schnitzer MJ (2016) Genetically encoded indicators of neuronal activity. *Nat Neurosci* 19:1142–1153. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ma Y, Shaik MA, Kim SH, Kozberg MG, Thibodeaux DN, Zhao HT, Yu H, Hillman EM (2016) Wide-field optical mapping of neural activity and brain haemodynamics: considerations and novel approaches. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 371:20150360. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Mank M, Griesbeck O (2008) Genetically encoded calcium indicators. *Chem Rev* 108:1550–1564. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Mesulam MM, Mash D, Hersh L, Bothwell M, Geula C (1992) Cholinergic innervation of the human striatum, globus pallidus, subthalamic nucleus, substantia nigra, and red nucleus. *J Comp Neurol* 323:252–268. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Nelson AB, Hammack N, Yang CF, Shah NM, Seal RP, Kreitzer AC (2014) Striatal cholinergic interneurons drive GABA release from dopamine terminals. *Neuron* 82:63–70. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Pakhotin P, Bracci E (2007) Cholinergic interneurons control the excitatory input to the striatum. *J Neurosci* 27:391–400. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Paxinos G, Franklin K (2012) *The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates*, Ed 4. Cambridge: Academic.
- Pisani A, Bernardi G, Ding J, Surmeier DJ (2007) Re-emergence of striatal cholinergic interneurons in movement disorders. *Trends Neurosci* 30:545–553. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Plotkin JL, Goldberg JA (2018) Thinking outside the box (and arrow): current themes in striatal dysfunction in movement disorders. *Neuroscientist*. Advance online publication. Retrieved October 18, 2018. doi: 10.1177/1073858418807887
- Pnevmatikakis EA, Soudry D, Gao Y, Machado TA, Merel J, Pfau D, Reardon T, Mu Y, Lacefield C, Yang W, Ahrens M, Bruno R, Jessell TM, Peterka DS, Yuste R, Paninski L (2016) Simultaneous denoising, deconvolution, and demixing of calcium imaging data. *Neuron* 89:285–299. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ravel S, Legallet E, Apicella P (2003) Responses of tonically active neurons in the monkey striatum discriminate between motivationally opposing stimuli. *J Neurosci* 23:8489–8497. [Medline](#)
- Raz A, Feingold A, Zelanskaya V, Vaadia E, Bergman H (1996) Neuronal synchronization of tonically active neurons in the striatum of normal and parkinsonian primates. *J Neurophysiol* 76:2083–2088. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Stamatakis AM, Schachter MJ, Gulati S, Zitelli KT, Malanowski S, Tajik A, Fritz C, Trulson M, Otte SL (2018) Simultaneous optogenetics and cellular resolution calcium imaging during active behavior using a miniaturized microscope. *Front Neurosci* 12:496. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Surmeier DJ, Mercer JN, Chan CS (2005) Autonomous pacemakers in the basal ganglia: who needs excitatory synapses anyway?. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 15:312–318. [CrossRef](#)
- Tanimura A, Lim SA, Aceves Buendia JJ, Goldberg JA, Surmeier DJ (2016) Cholinergic interneurons amplify corticostriatal synaptic responses in the Q175 model of huntington's disease. *Front Syst Neurosci* 10:102. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Teagarden M, Atherton JF, Bevan MD, Wilson CJ (2008) Accumulation of cytoplasmic calcium, but not apamin-sensitive afterhyperpolarization current, during high frequency firing in rat subthalamic nucleus cells. *J Physiol* 586:817–833. [CrossRef](#)
- Threlfell S, Lalic T, Platt NJ, Jennings KA, Deisseroth K, Cragg SJ (2012) Striatal dopamine release is triggered by synchronized activity in cholinergic interneurons. *Neuron* 75:58–64. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Wilson CJ (2004) Basal ganglia. In: *The synaptic organization of the brain*, Ed 2 (Shepherd GM, ed), pp 361–413. New York: Oxford UP.
- Wilson CJ, Chang HT, Kitai ST (1990) Firing patterns and synaptic potentials of identified giant aspiny interneurons in the rat neostriatum. *J Neurosci* 10:508–519. [Medline](#)
- Zhou P, Resendez SL, Rodriguez-Romaguera J, Jimenez JC, Neufeld SQ, Giovannucci A, Friedrich J, Pnevmatikakis EA, Stuber GD, Hen R, Kheirbek MA, Sabatini BL, Kass RE, Paninski L (2018) Efficient and accurate extraction of *in vivo* calcium signals from microendoscopic video data. *eLife* 7:e28728. [CrossRef Medline](#)