


Microtubule-Stabilizer Epothilone B Delays Anesthetic-Induced Unconsciousness in Rats

Sana Khan,* Yixiang Huang,* Derin Timuçin,* Shantelle Bailey, Sophia Lee, Jessica Lopes, Emeline Gaunce, Jasmine Mosberger, Michelle Zhan, Bothina Abdelrahman, Xiran Zeng, and Michael C. Wiest

Neuroscience Department, Wellesley College, Wellesley, Massachusetts 01760

Visual Abstract



Abstract

Volatile anesthetics are currently believed to cause unconsciousness by acting on one or more molecular targets including neural ion channels, receptors, mitochondria, synaptic proteins, and cytoskeletal proteins. Anesthetic gases including isoflurane bind to cytoskeletal microtubules (MTs) and dampen their quantum optical effects, potentially contributing to causing unconsciousness. This possibility is supported by the finding that taxane chemotherapy consisting of MT-stabilizing drugs reduces the effectiveness of anesthesia during surgery in human cancer patients. In order to experimentally assess the contribution of MTs as functionally relevant targets of volatile anesthetics, we measured latencies to loss of righting reflex (LORR) under 4% isoflurane in male rats injected subcutaneously with vehicle or 0.75 mg/kg of the brain-penetrant MT-stabilizing drug epothilone B (epoB). EpoB-treated rats took an average of 69 s longer to become unconscious as measured by latency to LORR. This was a statistically significant difference corresponding to a standardized mean difference (Cohen's d) of 1.9, indicating a “large” normalized effect size. The effect could not be accounted for by tolerance from repeated exposure to isoflurane. Our results suggest that binding of the anesthetic gas isoflurane to MTs causes unconsciousness and loss of purposeful behavior in rats (and presumably humans and other animals). This finding is predicted by models that posit consciousness as a property of a quantum physical state of neural MTs.

Key words: consciousness; microtubules; neoadjuvant; orchestrated objective reduction; postoperative cognitive dysfunction; volatile anesthetics

Significance Statement

Our study establishes that action on intracellular microtubules (MTs) is the mechanism, or one of the mechanisms, by which the inhalational anesthetic gas isoflurane induces unconsciousness in rats. This

Received July 1, 2024; revised Aug. 8, 2024; accepted Aug. 9, 2024.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Author contributions: S.K., Y.H., S.B., S.L., and M.C.W. designed research; S.K., Y.H., D.T., S.B., S.L., J.L., E.G., J.M., M.Z., B.A., X.Z., and M.C.W. performed research; D.T., J.L., E.G., and M.C.W. analyzed data; D.T., J.L., E.G., and M.C.W. wrote the paper.

We are grateful to Wellesley College Vivarium Managers Megan Warner-Hough and Nancy Thomson and animal technicians Valerie LePage, Laporshia Sanford, and Abigail Huff for their attentive care of our animals and valuable technical support. We are also grateful for excellent suggestions from two anonymous reviewers.

Continued on next page.

finding has potential clinical implications for understanding how taxane chemotherapy interferes with anesthesia in humans and more broadly for avoiding anesthesia failures during surgery. Our results are also theoretically important because they provide support for MT-based theories of anesthetic action and consciousness.

Introduction

Volatile anesthetics reversibly abolish consciousness or motility in animals, plants, and single-celled organisms (Kelz and Mashour, 2019; Yokawa et al., 2019). For humans, they are a medical miracle that we have been benefiting from for over 150 years, but the precise molecular mechanisms by which these molecules reversibly abolish consciousness remain elusive (Eger et al., 2008; Hemmings et al., 2019; Kelz and Mashour, 2019; Mashour, 2024). The functionally relevant molecular targets for causing unconsciousness are believed to be one or a combination of neural ion channels, receptors, mitochondria, synaptic proteins, and cytoskeletal proteins.

The Meyer–Overton correlation refers to the venerable finding that the anesthetic potency of chemically diverse anesthetic molecules is directly correlated with their solubility in lipids akin to olive oil (S. R. Hameroff, 2018; Kelz and Mashour, 2019). The possibility that general anesthesia might be explained by unitary action of all (or most) anesthetics on one target protein is supported by the Meyer–Overton correlation and the additivity of potencies of different anesthetics (Eger et al., 2008). Together these results suggest that anesthetics may act on a unitary site, via relatively nonspecific physical interactions (such as London/van der Waals forces between induced dipoles).

Cytoskeletal microtubules (MTs) have been considered as a candidate target of anesthetic action for over 50 years (Allison and Nunn, 1968; S. Hameroff, 1998). Other membrane receptor and ion channel proteins were ruled out as possible unitary targets by exhaustive studies culminating in Eger et al. (2008). However, MTs (composed of tubulin subunits) were not ruled out and remain a candidate for a unitary site of anesthetic action. MTs are the major components of the cytoskeleton in all cells, and they also play an essential role in cell reproduction—and aberrant cell reproduction in cancer—but in neurons, they have additional specialized roles in intracellular transport and neural plasticity (Kapitein and Hoogenraad, 2015). MTs have also been proposed to process information, encode memory, and mediate consciousness (S. R. Hameroff et al., 1982; S. Hameroff and Penrose, 1996; S. Hameroff, 2022). While classical models predict no direct role of MTs in neuronal membrane and synaptic signaling, Singh et al. (2021a) showed that MT activities do regulate axonal firing, for example, overriding membrane potentials. The orchestrated objective reduction (Orch OR) theory proposes that anesthesia directly blocks quantum effects in MTs necessary for consciousness (S. Hameroff and Penrose, 2014). Consistent with this hypothesis, volatile anesthetics do bind to cytoskeletal MTs (Pan et al., 2008) and dampen their quantum optical effects (Kalra et al., 2023), potentially contributing to causing unconsciousness.

This hypothesis is further supported by observations of resistance to anesthesia in human recipients of taxane chemotherapy. The taxanes are a class of MT-stabilizing drugs used to treat multiple forms of cancer (Varidaki et al., 2018). Recipients of taxane chemotherapy had a significantly elevated blood pressure response to incision during surgery for breast cancer and required significantly more opioid analgesic, as compared with patients who did not receive taxane chemotherapy (Linganna et al., 2015). This result suggests that binding to MTs contributes to inducing unconsciousness under volatile anesthetics, but on the other hand, there was no significant change in the heart rate or anesthetic delivery during surgery in the taxane group as compared with controls. Similarly, the variety of pathological profiles among patients, the variety of anesthetics and other drugs used, and the variety of taxane therapies used in that study make it difficult to draw firm or specific conclusions.

In order to quantitatively assess the functional relevance of MTs as one of the anesthetic targets contributing to loss of consciousness, we administered the MT-stabilizing drug epoB to a group of rats and compared their times to fall unconscious under isoflurane anesthesia before and after epoB treatment.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experimental procedures were performed in accordance with the Wellesley College animal care committee's regulations. A total of 12 male Long–Evans rats (Charles

This work was supported in part by student research funds from the Wellesley College Neuroscience Department. S.B. was funded by the Nancy and Garry Hough Memorial Endowed Fund for Neuroscience, S.K. and E.G. were funded by the Helen Wallace '33 Summer Research Health Professions Fund, J.L. was funded by the Department of Neuroscience Klein Fund, and D.T. was funded by the Nancy and Garry Hough Memorial Endowed Fund for Neuroscience.

*S.K., Y.H., and D.T. share first authorship.

Correspondence should be addressed to Michael C. Wiest at mwiest@wellesley.edu.

Copyright © 2024 Khan et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium provided that the original work is properly attributed.

River Laboratories) were used in this study. Eight of these comprised our main experimental group for experiments with epoB. The other four animals were used for control experiments to estimate tolerance effects from repeated exposure to isoflurane in the absence of epoB treatment. At the start of the experiment, rats in the main experimental group ($N = 8$) were 2 months old and their weights ranged from 220 to 266 g. The rats from the additional “tolerance” group ($N = 4$) were 5 months old and had a weight range between 514 and 700 g at the start of their anesthesia sessions. Rats were housed in pairs in a dedicated animal care facility on a 12/12 h light/dark schedule (lights on at 6 A.M./off at 6 P.M.) with *ad libitum* access to food and water. Tails were marked with felt-tipped pens to identify individuals.

Drug preparation and administration. Epothilones are a class of MT-stabilizing drugs used for cancer treatment. Epothilones are distinguished from older MT-stabilizing drugs by their better ability to penetrate the blood–brain barrier and affect the brain and spinal cord. This has led to investigations of their therapeutic effects in animal models of Alzheimer’s disease and other tauopathies (Varidaki et al., 2018). Following previous work (Ruschel et al., 2015; Griffin et al., 2023), we prepared injections containing 0.75 mg/kg epoB or vehicle only. The vehicle was a 50/50% mixture of DMSO and saline. Injection volumes were <1 ml. (Griffin et al., 2023) compared with the effectiveness of intraperitoneal versus subcutaneous injections and found greater concentrations of the drug in the brain with subcutaneous injections. On this basis we administered epoB subcutaneously. Those authors also measured brain concentrations of epoB over time and found sustained levels of epoB in the brain through the 16 d period of their measurements. Note that known side effects of epoB include tiredness/weakness and fatigue (Sessa et al., 2007), but not hyperarousal, so epoB would not be expected to cause resistance to anesthesia through an off-target effect on arousal.

Anesthesia sessions. Following standard practice in the field (Campagna et al., 2003; Icaza et al., 2009; McCarren et al., 2013), we used latency to loss of righting reflex (LORR) as a proxy for time to become unconscious. Rats were placed in an induction chamber and exposed to 4% isoflurane in oxygen (flow rate 1.5 L/min). The LORR latency was recorded when the rat was fully unconscious as assessed by turning it on its back. If it failed to place all four paws back on the ground within 30 s, the LORR latency was recorded. If it did right itself within 30 s, then LORR latency was recorded as the time when it ultimately did fail the LORR test. Subcutaneous injection of vehicle or epoB (as described below) for the main experimental group was performed after recording the LORR data. This means that the first day of epoB injection is the last day of the control (vehicle) condition, not the first day of the epoB condition. Our experimental design involved injections during every experimental session. To avoid stressing the rats before taking LORR measurements, which would likely introduce large uncontrolled variability into the data, we gave injections after isoflurane induction. This preserved the integrity of the LORR latency data but unfortunately did introduce large variability into the emergence (latency to regaining of righting response) measurements, which prevented our drawing any firm conclusions from those data.

Blinding procedure and experimental schedule. To eliminate any possibility of experimenter bias, epoB and vehicle injections were prepared for each anesthesia session for each rat by two of us (Y.H. and M.C.W.) so that researchers taking the LORR latency measurements could not know whether the injections were epoB or vehicle. Moreover, they did not know when we would stop taking LORR latency measurements or whether we would provide additional doses of epoB after the first. All researchers were trained to use the identical procedure for determining LORR latency, and at least two researchers (both blind) were present for every experiment. Data were collected for up to 22 d after the first epoB injection. Six of eight rats were injected with epoB once, and all their other injections were vehicle (50/50% saline/DMSO). Two rats (I1 and I2) were given a second epoB injection on the 14th day after their first. These eight rats will be referred to as the “main experimental group.”

In a separate group of four rats from the eight taking part in the epoB experiments described above, we ran experiments to estimate the effect of repeated isoflurane anesthesia sessions on LORR latency. These four rats will be referred to as the “tolerance group.”

Statistics. We used a within-subject experimental design to compare LORR latencies in the same rats before and after epoB treatment. To evaluate the difference between LORR latencies pre- and post-epoB injection, we first separately averaged LORR latencies from pre- and post-epoB sessions for each rat. A two-tailed permutation t test ($N = 8$ rats) was used to compare average LORR latencies in the two conditions. The results were considered to be significant if the p value was <0.05.

Results

Average LORR latency increased after epoB injection

In order to test whether isoflurane causes unconsciousness in part by binding to MTs, we compared latencies to LORR under 4% isoflurane in eight male Long–Evans rats before and after injection with 0.75 mg/kg epoB. Researchers taking the LORR latency measurements and administering injections were blind to the injection and drug condition of the animal.

Figure 1 shows the average pre- and post-epoB LORR latencies (\pm SE) for each rat. Seven of the eight rats exhibited increased average LORR latencies in the epoB condition.




Figure 1. Average LORR latency for each rat in anesthesia sessions before and after epoB injection. Seven of eight rats show higher average LORR latency in the post-epoB injection condition. The numbers of sessions included in the pre- and post-epoB averages for each rat are shown in Table 1. Error bars represent standard errors computed using the variability across sessions. The same letter in two rats' names indicates cage mates.

Table 1. Numbers of anesthesia sessions in our main data set in the pre-epoB and post-epoB conditions

Rat	Pre-epoB sessions	Post-epoB sessions
F1	4	10
F2	4	10
G1	6	8
G2	6	8
H1	5	9
H2	5	9
I1	3	11
I2	3	11

To statistically evaluate the overall effect of epoB on LORR latency across the group of eight rats, we compared LORR latencies measured before and after epoB injection. The average LORR latency increased by 69 s in the epoB condition, and this difference was significant (two-tailed permutation t test; $N = 8$ rats; $p = 0.0016^a$; Fig. 2, Table 2). The magnitude of the difference corresponds to a standardized mean difference (Cohen's d) of 1.9, indicating a "large" normalized effect size. We identified eight outliers in post-epoB dataset, as values >75 th percentile LORR latency plus $1.5 \times$ interquartile range, or <25 th percentile value minus $1.5 \times$ interquartile range. Omitting outliers, the difference between the control and epoB conditions remained significant ($p = 0.005^b$; $d = 5.4$).

EpoB-induced LORR latency increase is not accounted for by rats' developing tolerance to isoflurane

The increased LORR latency we measured in the epoB condition could be due to the effect of the epoB treatment. However, since the epoB sessions were all conducted after the control sessions, the increased LORR latencies we observed might also be accounted for by rats' increasing resistance (tolerance) to isoflurane developed over repeated sessions (Chalon et al., 1983). To assess this possibility, we plotted LORR latency as a function of session in the pre-epoB period (Fig. 3A). A linear fit to these data resulted in a slope of -1.3 s/d, meaning an average LORR latency decrease of 1.3 s/d in the context of isoflurane anesthesia sessions taking place on average once every 3.1 d (Table 3). This decrease cannot account for the increase in average LORR latency shown in Figure 2.

However, this consideration is inconclusive because the interval between sessions in the post-epoB period was 2 d (SD, 0; Table 3), which is more frequent than in the pre-epoB sessions (Fig. 3A, Table 3). The tolerance effect might plausibly be larger in the condition with more frequent sessions. In order to construct a tolerance effect (slope) estimate when anesthesia sessions are repeated after an interval of 2 d, we identified pairs of sessions in the same rat separated by 2 d and calculated the LORR latency difference between each ordered pair. Averaging these latency differences (in seconds) across rats and dividing by the 2 d interval resulted in a 2 d interval slope estimate of 2.5 s/d [based on $n = 20$ session-pairs (i.e., intervals) in eight rats]. Although this slope estimate was not significantly different from zero slope (t test; $n = 20$ intervals; $p = 0.7^c$; no significant tolerance effect), conservatively using this slope to estimate the tolerance contribution to the difference we observed between pre-epoB and post-epoB sessions results in a predicted tolerance-related increase in LORR latency of 2.5 s/d $\times 16$ d = 40 s. This is not enough to plausibly account for the 69 s average LORR latency increase we observed in the post-epoB period as compared with the pre-epoB period.




Figure 2. Average LORR latency increased after epoB injection. Average LORR latencies were calculated for each rat based on all anesthesia sessions prior to and after subcutaneous epoB injection (0.75 mg/kg). Injections during all other anesthesia sessions were vehicle only (50% saline/DMSO). The mean difference between pre-epoB and post-epoB LORR latencies is shown in the above Gardner–Altman estimation plot (Ho et al., 2019). Both groups are plotted on the left axes; the mean difference is plotted on a floating axis on the right as a bootstrap sampling distribution. The mean difference is depicted as a dot; the 95% confidence interval is indicated by the ends of the vertical error bar. The average LORR latency is 69 s higher in the post-epoB condition as compared with the pre-epoB average, and this difference is statistically significant (two-sided permutation *t* test; *N* = 8 rats; *p* = 0.0016^b; Cohen’s *d* = 1.9). The difference remained significant when we omitted eight outliers from the post-epoB dataset (*p* = 0.005^b; *d* = 5.4). Superscripts on *p* values refer to rows of the statistical table, Table 2.

Table 2. Statistical table showing confidence intervals on the mean difference between conditions for each comparison (*p* value) reported in the text

<i>P</i> value	Data structure	Test	95% Confidence interval
a	Empirical	Permutation <i>t</i> test	[37.4, 109] s
b	Empirical	Permutation <i>t</i> test	[12.8, 43.0] s
c	Normal	Unpaired 2-tail <i>t</i> test	[−10.5, 14.5] s/d
d	Normal	Unpaired 2-tail <i>t</i> test	[−28.7, 5.2] s/d
e	Normal	Unpaired 2-tail <i>t</i> test	[23.9, 113.6] s
f	Normal	Unpaired 2-tail <i>t</i> test	[72.1, 145.3] s
g	Normal	Paired 2-tail <i>t</i> test	[29.4, 50.5] s
h	Normal	Unpaired 2-tail <i>t</i> test	[23.3, 72.2] s

Moreover, we also evaluated potential tolerance effects in a separate group of four rats over a longer period of time, as shown in Figure 3C. In this case the 2-d-interval slope analysis resulted in a negative slope estimate of −11.5 s/d. This estimate also failed to be significantly different from zero but was a stronger trend [*p* = 0.1^d; *n* = 36 session-pairs (i.e., intervals) in four rats] than the estimate from the pre-epoB period in the main experimental group. This supports that LORR latencies can actually drop across repeated sessions if the intersession interval is relatively short. Obviously, a drop in LORR latencies could not account for the increase we saw in the post-epoB condition. This result also supports that our estimate of 2.5 s/d of increased LORR latency across sessions separated by 2 d may be an upper bound on the true tolerance effect contribution to the change in LORR latency we saw after epoB injection.

Figure 3C also shows a linear fit to the LORR latency time course in the tolerance group, and Figure 3D shows a linear fit to LORR latency data taken from the same rats after a 41 d pause in anesthesia sessions. Before the pause, the linear fit resulted in an estimate of 0.97 s of increased LORR latency per day, in the context of anesthesia sessions taking place every 4.6 d on average. After the pause, the linear fit resulted in a slope of 4.5 s/d in the context of sessions taking place every 2.65 d on average (Table 3).

Isoflurane tolerance effect persists for more than a month after halting anesthesia sessions

Figure 3D shows our LORR latency measurements taken from the tolerance group after a 41 d hiatus from anesthesia sessions. A comparison of the initial sessions with the final sessions in the pre-pause period (Fig. 3C) shows that LORR latencies were significantly higher toward the end of that pre-pause period (mean difference, 69 s; unpaired *t* test; *n* = 12 early vs *n* = 9 late sessions; *p* = 0.009^e). This difference remained significant after omitting one outlier (mean difference, 109 s; *n* = 12 vs *n* = 8 sessions; *p* = 0.00019^f).




Figure 3. LORR latency time courses, for pre-epoB sessions (**A**), post-epoB sessions (**B**) for the main experimental group ($N = 8$ rats), and for another group of four “tolerance” rats not exposed to epoB (**C**, **D**). Panel **C** shows the initial time course for the tolerance group, and panel **D** shows results from additional sessions conducted after a 41 d hiatus. Each open circle represents the LORR latency measured for one rat during one anesthesia session. Based on linear fits (solid lines), LORR latencies decreased on average by 1.3 s/d for the pre-epoB experiments (**A**) and increased by 4.5 s/d for the post-epoB sessions (**B**), 0.97 s/d for the tolerance group (**C**), and 4.5 s/d for the tolerance group after a 41 d pause in anesthesia sessions (**D**). The 95% confidence limits and average intervals between sessions for each of these series of experiments are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Linear estimates of tolerance development due to repeated anesthesia sessions, in the main experimental group before and after epoB injection, and the tolerance group before and after a 41 d pause in anesthesia sessions

Groups	Slope (s/d)	95% CI	N	n	Avg Int (d)	SD
Main pre-epoB	-1.3	(-3.43, 0.90)	8	36	3.1	1.8
Main post-epoB	4.5	(-0.20, 9.11)	8	76	2	0
Tolerance pre-pause	0.97	(0.29, 1.6)	4	63	4.6	3.9
Tolerance post-pause	4.5	(1.57, 7.45)	4	38	2.65	1.0

The slope column shows the slope of the linear fit to the LORR latency data in seconds per day. CI shows the 95% (3-sigma) confidence interval on each slope, N is the number of rats, and n is the number of sessions included in the estimate; Avg Int is the average interval between sessions in days, and SD is the standard deviation of the interval data.

The average LORR latency after the hiatus was significantly higher than the average LORR latency in the same animals' first anesthesia sessions (mean difference, 40 s; paired t test; $n = 12$ earlier vs 12 later sessions; $p = 0.0017^9$), supporting that the increased LORR latencies that developed over the initial series of anesthesia sessions persisted after more than a month without exposure to isoflurane. This difference remained significant after omitting one outlier session (mean difference, 48 s; $n = 8$ earlier vs 12 later sessions; $p = 0.009^h$).

Neither rat weight nor age predicts LORR latency

Our dataset also afforded us the opportunity to examine the potential relationship between LORR latencies and the weights and ages of our rats. Figure 4A shows LORR latencies of $N=12$ rats in the no-epoB condition plotted against each rat's weight on the day of the LORR latency measurement. Figure 4B shows the same data plotted against each rat's age on the day of the LORR latency measurement. LORR latency was very weakly correlated with rat weight and age.

Discussion

Our main finding was that rats under the influence of the brain-penetrant MT-stabilizing drug epoB took longer to become unconscious under isoflurane, as measured by LORR latency, compared with the control condition (Fig. 2). We used only male rats to avoid potentially confounding interactions with estrous phase in females. Note that we arranged for researchers taking the LORR latency measurements to be blind to the rats' drug conditions even though the epoB condition came after the control condition for every rat (see Materials and Methods).

To determine whether the longer average LORR latency post-epoB might be accounted for in terms of our rats' developing a tolerance to isoflurane over time rather than an effect of epoB, we estimated tolerance effects during the control period in our main experimental group of eight rats (Fig. 3). The tolerance effect we estimated in the control period of our main experiment was 1.3 s of decreased LORR latency per day, in the context of isoflurane sessions repeated on average once every 3.1 d. This effect obviously cannot account for an increase in LORR latency. However, we also considered the tolerance effect calculated from sessions separated by 2 d like the sessions in the post-epoB period of the main experimental group. This calculation resulted in a predicted tolerance-related increase in latency of ~ 40 s in the post-epoB sessions. We emphasize that this is our most conservative estimate, given that our 2 d slope estimate in the separate tolerance group was negative like the overall slope of the pre-epoB data and that the 2 d slope we used to estimate a 40 s tolerance effect was not significantly different from zero (i.e., zero slope meaning no tolerance effect). In any case, 40 s is not enough to plausibly account for the 69 s average LORR latency increase we observed in the post-epoB as compared with the pre-epoB period.

Thus, since our main result cannot be accounted for by our rats' developing tolerance to isoflurane, it strongly suggests that binding to MTs is one of the molecular mechanisms by which isoflurane causes unconsciousness in rats.

Other work implicating MTs as a functional target of general anesthetics

Our findings are consistent with the report of partial anesthetic resistance in human surgical patients treated with taxane MT-stabilizing chemotherapy (Linganna et al., 2015) that was a primary motivation for our study in rats. Another study used MT-stabilizing agents epothilone D and discodermolide to directly implicate MTs as a functional target of general anesthetics in tadpoles (Emerson et al., 2013). They used anthracene and neurosteroid anesthetics rather than isoflurane, but they corroborate that perturbing MTs can contribute to unconsciousness.

In addition, detailed quantum chemical modeling of anesthetic and nonanesthetic molecules binding to the tubulin dimers that make up MTs found that anesthetic potency was predicted by the modeled disruption or failure to disrupt high-frequency vibrations inside MT protein subunits (Craddock et al., 2015, 2017). The latter study found a Meyer-Overton correlation for all eight anesthetics studied. This suggests possible unitary action of anesthetics in MTs.




Figure 4. Relationship between LORR latency and rat weights (**A**) and age (**B**). Data collected from 59 anesthesia sessions in the no-epoB condition, from $N=12$ rats. Linear fits to these data resulted in correlation coefficient squared (R^2) of 0.058 for the weight fit and 0.029 for the age fit.

Other molecular mechanisms of isoflurane-induced unconsciousness

Isoflurane potentiates inhibitory GABA receptor activity *in vitro* (Hall et al., 1994; Garcia et al., 2010), and studies of mice with mutant GABA_A receptors concluded that GABA_A receptors contribute to LORR under isoflurane (the standard proxy for loss of consciousness). Isoflurane directly activates sleep-promoting neurons of the hypothalamic ventrolateral preoptic nucleus, and this contributes to causing unconsciousness (Moore et al., 2012; Kottler et al., 2013; Jiang-Xie et al., 2019). Further review of evidence bearing on these and other anesthetic targets may be found in Campagna et al. (2003); Hemmings et al. (2019); and Kelz and Mashour (2019).

Longer-term and cumulative effects of exposure to volatile anesthetics

The average LORR latency was significantly higher in our tolerance group of rats after ~70 d of anesthesia sessions repeated on average every 4.6 d, indicating that the rats did develop a tolerance to isoflurane in that context (Fig. 3C). Moreover, the average LORR latency remained significantly elevated after a 41 d pause in anesthesia sessions (Fig. 3D). This result shows that the tolerance developed over the course of 70 d of regular exposure to isoflurane did not revert to the shorter initial LORR latencies, after more than a month without isoflurane.

The tolerance effect we estimated in the main experimental group, from the control period of our epoB experiment, was negative, indicating a greater sensitivity after repeated sessions rather than a growing insensitivity (aka tolerance). This could occur, for example, if isoflurane remained in the animal's system long enough to contribute to hypnosis in subsequent anesthesia sessions after relatively short intervals without isoflurane exposure. These sessions were repeated with an average interval of 3.3 d between sessions. Although the average effect we measured was negative, the results were statistically consistent with no tolerance effect of isoflurane sessions repeated at that 3.3 d average interval.

Our estimate from a larger dataset collected over a longer period of time was that LORR latency increased on average 0.97 s/d in the context of 4.6 d on average between sessions. The fact that our slope estimate based only on pairs of sessions separated by 2 d was negative suggests that multiple competing processes of facilitation and depression of sensitivity to isoflurane with different characteristic time scales are active simultaneously, so that if intersession interval varies, complex nonlinear interactions may result.

In mice, continuous exposure to 0.15–0.3% isoflurane for 2–3 weeks was not found to produce a tolerance effect based on time to LORR (Smith et al., 1979). Another study found that exposure to 1% isoflurane given once a day for 10 consecutive days may produce a tolerance effect in mice as shown by longer LORR latencies (Chalon et al., 1983). On the other hand, mice repeatedly exposed to 4% isoflurane for 45 min at 3–4 d intervals for 3 weeks did not display significant differences in terms of nest building behavior, home cage activity, body weight, or corticosterone concentrations when compared with control mice (Hohlbaum et al., 2017). A more comprehensive understanding of the processes that determine sensitivity to repeated isoflurane exposure will require further study.

Effects of weight or age on sensitivity to volatile anesthetics

Age

Our data did not reveal any significant relationship between latency to LORR and our rats' ages. This contrasts with a study which found that older rats took significantly longer to emerge from isoflurane-induced unconsciousness than younger rats (Chemali et al., 2015). The younger rats in that study were 6–8 months old, and the older group was 24–26 months old. Our rats ranged in age from 7 to 25 weeks, i.e., ~2–6 months old, which may not have been sufficient to show effects of advanced age. Moreover, effects of age in our experiments were confounded with potential effects of repeated isoflurane exposure and weight. In humans, anesthetic sensitivity generally increases with age, as indicated, for example, by the decrease in the minimum alveolar concentration of volatile anesthetics needed to abolish movement in response to a noxious stimulus, by ~6% per decade (Kanonidou and Karystianou, 2007).

Weight

We found no significant relationship between LORR latencies and our rats' varying weights. In humans, the surgically required concentration of inhalable anesthetics increases with body mass index, but the increase is small (Lemmens et al., 2008).

How might anesthetic binding to MTs cause or contribute to unconsciousness? Competing theories of consciousness

It is conceivable that binding to MTs by volatile anesthetics could impair intracellular transport, which might disrupt synaptic transmission, which might reduce neural activity generally. In classical neuroscientific models of consciousness, reduced firing results in unconsciousness when it results in functional disconnection among cortical areas (He, 2024; Mashour, 2024), because consciousness in these models is understood in terms of functional connectivity (Dehaene et al., 1998; Sergent and Dehaene, 2004; Tsuchiya et al., 2015; Mashour et al., 2020) or classical integrated information (Tononi, 2008; Tononi et al., 2016; Albantakis et al., 2023) among neurons, cortical areas, or parts of the system. These theories do not at present predict specific molecular targets of anesthetics.

In contrast, another class of quantum model understands consciousness in terms of physical vibrational states of MTs in neurons (S. Hameroff and Penrose, 1996, 2014; Craddock et al., 2017; S. Hameroff, 2022). In this picture, quantum dipole vibrations in MTs inside neurons mediate consciousness, modulate functional connectivity, and regulate neuronal firing. Anesthetics dampen the quantum dipole oscillations and cause unconsciousness. That is, these models predict that volatile anesthetics interfere directly with the vibrational state of MTs which are understood as the immediate substrate of consciousness. As we noted above, detailed quantum chemical modeling studies support that anesthetic potency is predicted by the modeled disruption or failure to disrupt high-frequency vibrational states of MT proteins (Craddock et al., 2015, 2017).

Our results are potentially consistent with classical models of consciousness, but they represent a more stringent test of these MT-based models, because while the classical models are agnostic about MTs as a functional anesthetic target, the MT-based models specifically predict that directly disrupting the physical state of MTs should contribute to loss of consciousness.

Moreover, while the classical membrane-centered viewpoint appears to predict that perturbing MTs would primarily contribute to unconsciousness by interfering with synaptic transmission, so far no evidence points to MTs as the cause of isoflurane-induced disruption of synaptic transmission.

In different studies, isoflurane's effect reducing synaptic transmission has been shown to proceed primarily via its effect on syntaxin 1A (Herring et al., 2009) or via its effect inhibiting mitochondrial function (Zimin et al., 2018). This is not necessarily inconsistent with the classical model, since a change in the functional state of MTs could conceivably have an effect on synaptic proteins such as syntaxin 1A, for example. However, it does show that isoflurane's effect on synaptic transmission might be fully accounted for in terms of its interaction with other proteins besides MTs. That would represent additional support for the alternative hypothesis about how binding to MTs contributes to isoflurane-induced unconsciousness—that is, the hypothesis that binding to MTs interferes with the physical substrate of consciousness directly rather than by (or in addition to) indirect effects on neural membrane voltage and spiking activity.

In order for a quantum MT-based model of consciousness to be viable, (1) the putative conscious MT physical state must be able to interact with the membrane potential of neurons, where we know perceptual information is encoded and through which our actions (i.e., muscle activations) must be implemented, and (2) the quantum interaction must be able to span multiple neurons if it is to solve the perceptual binding problem. Actually both (1) and (2) have been experimentally verified by Anirban Bandyopadhyay's group (Singh et al., 2021b). In cultured neuronal networks, they found megahertz and gigahertz oscillations from dendritic–somatic MTs correlated with axonal firings in the same and other neurons better than did membrane potentials.

Criticizing the Penrose–Hameroff Orch OR theory, Tegmark (Tegmark, 2000) calculated MT decoherence would occur at 10^{-13} s, too fast for functional effects. However, Tegmark erred, using a key term for superposition separation distance of tens of nanometers (10^{-8} m) rather than femtometers (10^{-15} m) as stipulated in Orch OR. Hagan et al. (2002) corrected Tegmark and calculated 10^{-5} – 10^{-4} s MT coherence time, potentially sufficient for Orch OR to influence neural processing. That paper also noted other inappropriate assumptions in Tegmark's analysis. These corrections were published in the same journal shortly after (Tegmark, 2000). Nevertheless, Tegmark's paper supported widespread skepticism that macroscopic or mesoscopic quantum effects could persist long enough at biological temperatures to be functionally relevant. The prediction of quantum coherence in MTs at high temperatures (i.e., room temperature) has now been experimentally verified (Saxena et al., 2020; Babcock et al., 2024).

A recent report describes theoretical predictions and experimental confirmation of superradiance—a fundamentally quantum effect (Dicke, 1954)—from MTs at room temperature that was enhanced as they were joined into larger structures (Babcock et al., 2024). Those authors cited theoretical work (Solano et al., 2017) in support of the “tantalizing possibility” that the physiological arrangement of MTs in axons could magnify the collective interaction “to an extremely long range.” Anirban Bandyopadhyay and colleagues explored resonant responses of MTs at frequencies varying over many orders of magnitude in “bare” MTs and in cultured neurons (Saxena et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2021a,b). They reported that electromagnetic waves transmitted to the MTs (acting like antennas) at MT resonant frequencies resulted in systematic modulation of the electric potential difference between two neighboring neurons. Their results support the conjecture of Babcock et al. that MTs can couple across neurons. Additionally, these results support that the MT vibrational state and resultant electromagnetic field can interact systematically with the membrane voltage that we know is closely related to mental function and behavior and again that this coupling can traverse the physical gap between neurons. In this context, it is also interesting to note a result demonstrating that isoflurane and sevoflurane were capable of interactions with quantum entangled photons, whereas nonhalogenated diethyl ether and all other sampled molecules were not, at the particular wavelength studied (Burdick et al., 2019). These experimental results corroborate the plausibility of the MT-based quantum physical model of consciousness and the hypothesis that isoflurane could contribute to abolishing consciousness by interacting with an entangled state of MTs.

The Orch OR MT-based consciousness theory was part of the Templeton World Charity Foundation program in Accelerating Research in Consciousness and produced the only confirmatory evidence among theories tested. The predictions were that (1) MT quantum effects would be shown at ambient temperature and (2) they would be inhibited by anesthesia (S. Hameroff, 2021). Kalra et al. (2023) showed that tryptophan fluorescence lifetimes propagated and persisted due to quantum exciton mechanisms, and these were dampened by two types of anesthetic, isoflurane and etomidate. Overall

the Orch OR theory, in which MTs mediate anesthetic action, has more explanatory power, biological connection, and experimental validation than the classical theories.

Future directions for experimentally testing the quantum MT-based consciousness model

Recent MRI (i.e., *nuclear* magnetic resonance imaging) studies appear to offer support for the general hypothesis that the physical substrate of consciousness in the brain must be a nontrivial macroscopic quantum state (C. M. Kerskens and Pérez, 2022; Pérez et al., 2023). The authors claim to demonstrate (1) the existence of a macroscopic quantum entangled state in the brain, (2) that this quantum brain state is likely related to consciousness and cognitive functioning, and (3) that this consciousness-related quantum state in the brain is capable of coupling to spin degrees of freedom in the nuclei of water molecules in the brain. They used an unconventional MRI protocol designed to isolate signals from entangled nuclear spins and observed MRI signals that closely resembled heartbeat-evoked potentials recorded with EEG. According to the authors, such signals should not be observable by MRI unless brain processes mediate entanglement among the nuclear spins in brain water. This implies the unknown brain process that mediates the entanglement is itself an entangled quantum state.

Because the fidelity of this putative spin-entanglement signal correlated with short-term memory performance (Pérez et al., 2023) and the presence or absence of the conscious state itself in sleep versus waking (C. M. Kerskens and Pérez, 2022), the authors concluded that the quantum brain processes are likely an important part of our cognitive and conscious brain functions. The interpretation in terms of entanglement has been challenged (Warren, 2023); however, that author did not propose an alternative classical account of the signal reported by Kerskens and Perez. Their response reaffirms their entanglement claim and provides further clarification of their argument (C. Kerskens and Pérez, 2023).

It remains to be seen whether a viable classical account of the signal observed by Kerskens and Perez will be proposed. However, their work is not the first experimental indication of functionally relevant coupling between nuclear spins and consciousness-related brain processes. Anesthesia experiments in mice demonstrated that isotopes of xenon with nuclear spin were less effective than isotopes without nuclear spin and that this difference could not be accounted for by other physical properties of the isotopes such as polarizability (Li et al., 2018). Nuclear spin is a physical property that in normal circumstances would not directly influence the chemical properties of the molecule or atom. Similarly, anesthetic experiments in fruit flies show that anesthetics perturb *electronic* spin states and that these spin responses are altered in anesthetic-resistant mutants (Turin et al., 2014), again supporting that a consciousness-related brain process can couple to spin degrees of freedom. Under the quantum MT picture, nuclear spin can affect anesthetic potency because anesthetics couple to electron clouds by quantum dipoles. Nuclear spin could actually promote electron entanglement by bosonic pair interactions (S. R. Hameroff, 2018). These experimental results may corroborate the MRI study above claiming the conscious brain process can interact with entangled nuclear spins.

Specific support for MTs as the actual physical substrate of consciousness in the brain could come from spectroscopic measurements of the brain tissue in living animals in anesthetized and awake conditions (Movasaghi et al., 2007), as suggested in Craddock et al. (2017). One or more spectroscopic peaks corresponding to the relevant resonance mode of the MTs in the conscious state would be expected to be shifted or reduced in amplitude during unconscious states produced by anesthesia or other means.

Along these lines, the Bandyopadhyay group has adapted methods from solid-state physics and quantum optics to noninvasively target known resonances of the MTs in living networks of neurons (Singh et al., 2021a,b; Ghosh et al., 2022). They have also developed a noninvasive extension of EEG, called DDG (“dodecanogram”), for measuring very high-frequency electromagnetic signals—some putatively originating from MT resonances internal to neurons—emitted from the scalps of awake humans (Singh et al., 2023, 2024). These recent technical developments support the hope that “some who are standing here will not taste death before they see” conclusive experimental tests of the quantum consciousness hypothesis.

References

- Albantakis L, et al. (2023) Integrated information theory (IIT) 4.0: formulating the properties of phenomenal existence in physical terms. *PLoS Comput Biol* 19:e1011465.
- Allison AC, Nunn JF (1968) Effects of general anaesthetics on microtubules: a possible mechanism of anaesthesia. *Lancet* 2:1326–1329.
- Babcock NS, Montes-Cabrera G, Oberhofer KE, Chergui M, Celardo GL, Kurian P (2024) Ultraviolet superradiance from mega-networks of tryptophan in biological architectures. *J Phys Chem B* 128:4035–4046.
- Burdick RK, Villabona-Monsalve JP, Mashour GA, Goodson T 3rd (2019) Modern anesthetic ethers demonstrate quantum interactions with entangled photons. *Sci Rep* 9:11351.
- Campagna JA, Miller KW, Forman SA (2003) Mechanisms of actions of inhaled anesthetics. *N Engl J Med* 348:2110–2124.
- Chalon J, Tang CK, Roberts C, Walpert L, Hoffman C, Ramanathan S, Turndorf H (1983) Murine auto- and cross-tolerance to volatile anaesthetics. *Can Anaesth Soc J* 30:230–234.
- Chemali JJ, Kenny JD, Olutola O, Taylor NE, Kimchi EY, Purdon PL, Brown EN, Solt K (2015) Ageing delays emergence from general anaesthesia in rats by increasing anaesthetic sensitivity in the brain. *Br J Anaesth* 115:i58–i65.
- Craddock TJA, Hameroff SR, Ayoub AT, Klobukowski M, Tuszyński JA (2015) Anesthetics act in quantum channels in brain microtubules to prevent consciousness. *Curr Top Med Chem* 15:523–533.
- Craddock TJA, Kurian P, Preto J, Sahu K, Hameroff SR, Klobukowski M, Tuszyński JA (2017) Anesthetic alterations of collective terahertz oscillations in tubulin correlate with clinical potency:

- implications for anesthetic action and post-operative cognitive dysfunction. *Sci Rep* 7:9877.
- Dehaene S, Kerszberg M, Changeux JP (1998) A neuronal model of a global workspace in effortful cognitive tasks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95:14529–14534.
- Dicke RH (1954) Coherence in spontaneous radiation processes. *Phys Rev* 93:99–110.
- Eger EI 2nd, Raines DE, Shafer SL, Hemmings HC Jr, Sonner JM (2008) Is a new paradigm needed to explain how inhaled anesthetics produce immobility? *Anesth Analg* 107:832–848.
- Emerson DJ, et al. (2013) Direct modulation of microtubule stability contributes to anthracene general anesthesia. *J Am Chem Soc* 135:5389–5398.
- Garcia PS, Kolesky SE, Jenkins A (2010) General anesthetic actions on GABA(a) receptors. *Curr Neuropharmacol* 8:2–9.
- Ghosh S, Singh P, Manna J, Saxena K, Sahoo P, Krishnanda SD, Ray K, Hill JP, Bandyopadhyay A (2022) The century-old picture of a nerve spike is wrong: filaments fire, before membrane. *Commun Integr Biol* 15:115–120.
- Griffin JM, Hingorani Jai Prakash S, Bockemuhl T, Benner JM, Schaffran B, Moreno-Manzano V, Buschges A, Bradke F (2023) Rehabilitation enhances epothilone-induced locomotor recovery after spinal cord injury. *Brain Commun* 5:fcad005.
- Hagan S, Hameroff SR, Tuszynski JA (2002) Quantum computation in brain microtubules: decoherence and biological feasibility. *Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys* 65:061901.
- Hall AC, Lieb WR, Franks NP (1994) Stereoselective and non-stereoselective actions of isoflurane on the GABAA receptor. *Br J Pharmacol* 112:906–910.
- Hameroff S (1998) Anesthesia, consciousness and hydrophobic pockets—a unitary quantum hypothesis of anesthetic action. *Toxicol Lett* 100–101:31–39.
- Hameroff SR (2018) Anesthetic action and “quantum consciousness”. *Anesthesiology* 129:228–231.
- Hameroff S (2021) Orch OR’ is the most complete, and most easily falsifiable theory of consciousness. *Cogn Neurosci* 12:74–76.
- Hameroff S (2022) Consciousness, cognition and the neuronal cytoskeleton - a new paradigm needed in neuroscience. *Front Mol Neurosci* 15:1–17.
- Hameroff S, Penrose R (1996) Orchestrated reduction of quantum coherence in brain microtubules: a model for consciousness. *Math Comput Simulat* 40:453–480.
- Hameroff S, Penrose R (2014) Consciousness in the universe a review of the ‘Orch OR’ theory. *Phys Life Rev* 11:39–78.
- Hameroff SR, Watt RC, Borel JD, Carlson G (1982) General-anesthetics directly inhibit electron-mobility - dipole dispersion theory of anesthetic action. *Physiol Chem Phys* 14:183–187.
- He BJ (2024) Integrating consciousness science with cognitive neuroscience: an introduction to the special focus. *J Cogn Neurosci* 36:1–5.
- Hemmings HC Jr, Riegelhaupt PM, Kelz MB, Solt K, Eckenhoff RG, Orser BA, Goldstein PA (2019) Towards a comprehensive understanding of anesthetic mechanisms of action: a decade of discovery. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 40:464–481.
- Herring BE, Xie Z, Marks J, Fox AP (2009) Isoflurane inhibits the neurotransmitter release machinery. *J Neurophysiol* 102:1265–1273.
- Ho J, Tumkaya T, Aryal S, Choi H, Claridge-Chang A (2019) Moving beyond P values: data analysis with estimation graphics. *Nat Methods* 16:565–566.
- Hohlbaum K, Bert B, Dietze S, Palme R, Fink H, Thone-Reineke C (2017) Severity classification of repeated isoflurane anesthesia in C57BL/6JRj mice—assessing the degree of distress. *PLoS One* 12:e0179588.
- Icaza EE, Huang X, Fu Y, Neubig RR, Baghdoyan HA, Lydic R (2009) Isoflurane-induced changes in righting response and breathing are modulated by RGS proteins. *Anesth Analg* 109:1500–1505.
- Jiang-Xie LF, Yin L, Zhao S, Prevosto V, Han BX, Dziras K, Wang F (2019) A common neuroendocrine substrate for diverse general anesthetics and sleep. *Neuron* 102:1053–1065.e4.
- Kalra AP, et al. (2023) Electronic energy migration in microtubules. *ACS Cent Sci* 9:352–361.
- Kanonidou Z, Karystianou G (2007) Anesthesia for the elderly. *Hippokratia* 11:175–177.
- Kapitein LC, Hoogenraad CC (2015) Building the neuronal microtubule cytoskeleton. *Neuron* 87:492–506.
- Kelz MB, Mashour GA (2019) The biology of general anesthesia from paramecium to primate. *Curr Biol* 29:R1199–R1210.
- Kerskens CM, Pérez DL (2022) Experimental indications of non-classical brain functions. *J Phys Commun* 6:1–11.
- Kerskens C, Pérez DL (2023) Reply to comment on: ‘experimental indications of non-classical brain function’ (2022 journal of physics communications 6 105001). *J Phys Commun* 7:1–3.
- Kottler B, Bao H, Zalucki O, Imlach W, Troup M, van Alphen B, Paulk A, Zhang B, van Swinderen B (2013) A sleep/wake circuit controls isoflurane sensitivity in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 23:594–598.
- Lemmens HJ, Saidman LJ, Eger EI 2nd, Laster MJ (2008) Obesity modestly affects inhaled anesthetic kinetics in humans. *Anesth Analg* 107:1864–1870.
- Li N, Lu D, Yang L, Tao H, Xu Y, Wang C, Fu L, Liu H, Chumum Y, Zhang S (2018) Nuclear spin attenuates the anesthetic potency of xenon isotopes in mice: implications for the mechanisms of anesthesia and consciousness. *Anesthesiology* 129:271–277.
- Linganna RE, Levy WJ, Dmochowski IJ, Eckenhoff RG, Speck RM (2015) Taxane modulation of anesthetic sensitivity in surgery for nonmetastatic breast cancer. *J Clin Anesth* 27:481–485.
- Mashour GA (2024) Anesthesia and the neurobiology of consciousness. *Neuron* 112:1553–1567.
- Mashour GA, Roelfsema P, Changeux JP, Dehaene S (2020) Conscious processing and the global neuronal workspace hypothesis. *Neuron* 105:776–798.
- McCarren HS, Moore JT, Kelz MB (2013) Assessing changes in volatile general anesthetic sensitivity of mice after local or systemic pharmacological intervention. *J Vis Exp* 80:e51079.
- Moore JT, Chen J, Han B, Meng QC, Veasey SC, Beck SG, Kelz MB (2012) Direct activation of sleep-promoting VLPO neurons by volatile anesthetics contributes to anesthetic hypnosis. *Curr Biol* 22:2008–2016.
- Movasaghi Z, Rehman S, Rehman IU (2007) Raman spectroscopy of biological tissues. *Appl Spectrosc Rev* 42:493–541.
- Pan JZ, Xi J, Eckenhoff MF, Eckenhoff RG (2008) Inhaled anesthetics elicit region-specific changes in protein expression in mammalian brain. *Proteomics* 8:2983–2992.
- Pérez DL, Bokde ALW, Kerskens CM (2023) Complexity analysis of heartbeat-related signals in brain MRI time series as a potential biomarker for ageing and cognitive performance. *Eur Phys J Spec Top* 232:123–133.
- Ruschel J, et al. (2015) Axonal regeneration. Systemic administration of epothilone B promotes axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. *Science* 348:347–352.
- Saxena K, Singh P, Sahoo P, Sahu S, Ghosh S, Ray K, Fujita D, Bandyopadhyay A (2020) Fractal, scale free electromagnetic resonance of a single brain extracted microtubule nanowire, a single tubulin protein and a single neuron. *Fractal Fract* 4:1–16.
- Sergent C, Dehaene S (2004) Neural processes underlying conscious perception: experimental findings and a global neuronal workspace framework. *J Physiol Paris* 98:374–384.
- Sessa C, Perotti A, Llado A, Cresta S, Capri G, Voi M, Marsoni S, Corradino I, Gianni L (2007) Phase I clinical study of the novel epothilone B analogue BMS-310705 given on a weekly schedule. *Ann Oncol* 18:1548–1553.
- Singh P, et al. (2023) Dodecanogram (DDG): advancing EEG technology with a high-frequency brain activity measurement device. *J Multiscale Neurosci* 3:13–26.
- Singh P, et al. (2024) Inventing the potential of a high-frequency EEG, namely dodecanogram (DDG): human subjects’ study. In, pp 257–269. Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

- Singh P, Sahoo P, Saxena K, Manna JS, Ray K, Ghosh S, Bandyopadhyay A (2021a) Cytoskeletal filaments deep inside a neuron are not silent: they regulate the precise timing of nerve spikes using a pair of vortices. *Symmetry* 13:2107–2116.
- Singh P, Saxena K, Sahoo P, Ghosh S, Bandyopadhyay A (2021b) Electrophysiology using coaxial atom probe array: live imaging reveals hidden circuits of a hippocampal neural network. *J Neurophysiol* 125:2107–2116.
- Smith RA, Winter PM, Smith M, Eger EI, 2nd (1979) Tolerance to and dependence on inhalational anesthetics. *Anesthesiology* 50:505–509.
- Solano P, Barberis-Blostein P, Fatemi FK, Orozco LA, Rolston SL (2017) Super-radiance reveals infinite-range dipole interactions through a nanofiber. *Nat Commun* 8:1857.
- Tegmark M (2000) Importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes. *Phys Rev E Stat Phys Plasmas Fluids Relat Interdiscip Topics* 61:4194–4206.
- Tononi G (2008) Consciousness as integrated information: a provisional manifesto. *Biol Bull* 215:216–242.
- Tononi G, Boly M, Massimini M, Koch C (2016) Integrated information theory: from consciousness to its physical substrate. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 17:450–461.
- Tsuchiya N, Wilke M, Frassle S, Lamme VAF (2015) No-report paradigms: extracting the true neural correlates of consciousness. *Trends Cogn Sci* 19:757–770.
- Turin L, Skoulakis EM, Horsfield AP (2014) Electron spin changes during general anesthesia in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111: E3524–E3533.
- Varidaki A, Hong Y, Coffey ET (2018) Repositioning microtubule stabilizing drugs for brain disorders. *Front Cell Neurosci* 12:226.
- Warren WS (2023) Comment on: ‘experimental indications of non-classical brain function’ 2022 6 105001. *J Phys Commun* 7:1–4.
- Yokawa K, Kagenishi T, Baluska F (2019) Anesthetics, anesthesia, and plants. *Trends Plant Sci* 24:12–14.
- Zimin PI, Woods CB, Kayser EB, Ramirez JM, Morgan PG, Sedensky MM (2018) Isoflurane disrupts excitatory neurotransmitter dynamics via inhibition of mitochondrial complex I. *Br J Anaesth* 120:1019–1032.